

## SECTORIAL OSCILLATION OF LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND ITERATED ORDER

ZHAO-JUN WU, DAO-CHUN SUN

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we investigate higher order linear differential equations with entire coefficients of iterated order. Using value distribution theory of transcendental meromorphic functions and covering surface theory, we extend a result on the order of growth of solutions published by Bank and Langley [2].

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In 1982, Bank and Laine [1] investigated the exponent of convergence of zeros of the solutions for the differential equation

$$f'' + A(z)f = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $A(z)$  is a transcendental entire function and  $E$  is the product of normalized linearly independent solutions  $f_1, f_2$  for (1.1). They proved that

$$\sigma(E) = \max\{\sigma(A), \lambda(E)\}.$$

A considerable number of research results concerning (1.1) have been proved. We refer the reader to the book by Laine [7] for a summary of those results. We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic results and notation of the Nevanlinna's value distribution theory of meromorphic functions (see [13],[5]), such as  $\sigma(f), \lambda(f)$  to denote, respectively the order and exponent of convergence of meromorphic function  $f$ .

For  $k \geq 2$ , we consider a linear differential equation

$$f^{(k)} + A_{k-2}f^{(k-2)} + \cdots + A_0f = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $A_0, \dots, A_{k-2}$  are entire functions with  $A_0 \neq 0$ . It is well known that all solutions of (1.2) are entire functions, and if some of the coefficients of (1.2) are transcendental, then (1.2) has at least one solution with order  $\sigma(f) = \infty$ . Now there exists a question: How to describe precisely the properties of growth of solutions of infinite order of (1.2)? It is to make use of iterated order of entire functions, see Laine [7]. Let us define inductively (see e.g. [3]), for  $r \in [0, +\infty)$ ,  $\exp^{[1]} r = e^r$  and

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34M10, 30D35.

*Key words and phrases.* Iterated order; iterated convergence exponent.

©2007 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted June 26 2007. Published October 12, 2007.

Supported by grants 10471048 from the NNSF of China, and KT0623, KZ0629 from the NSF of Xianning University.

$\exp^{[n+1]} r = \exp(\exp^{[n]} r)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For all  $r$  sufficiently large, we define  $\log^{[1]} r = \log r$  and  $\log^{[n+1]} r = \log(\log^{[n]} r)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We also denote  $\exp^{[0]} r = r = \log^{[0]} r$ ,  $\log^{[-1]} r = \exp^{[1]} r$  and  $\exp^{[-1]} r = \log^{[1]} r$ . We recall the following definitions and remarks (see [6, 9, 4]).

**Definition 1.1.** The iterated  $p$ -order  $\sigma_p(f)$  of a meromorphic function  $f(z)$  is defined by

$$\sigma_p(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f)}{\log r} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}).$$

**Remark 1.2.** (1) If  $p = 1$ , then we denote  $\sigma_1(f) = \sigma(f)$ . (2) If  $p = 2$ , then we denote by  $\sigma_2(f)$  the so-called hyper order (see [14]). (3) If  $f(z)$  is an entire function, then

$$\sigma_p(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p+1]} M(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

**Definition 1.3.** The growth index of the iterated order of a meromorphic function  $f(z)$  is defined by

$$i(f) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f \text{ is rational,} \\ \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sigma_n(f) < \infty\} & \text{if } f \text{ is transcendental and} \\ & \sigma_n(f) < \infty \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \infty & \text{if } \sigma_n(f) = \infty \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.4.** The iterated convergence exponent of the sequence of  $a$ -points ( $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ ) is defined by

$$\lambda_n(f - a) = \lambda_n(f, a) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n]} N(r, \frac{1}{f-a})}{\log r} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

and  $\bar{\lambda}_n(f - a)$ , the iterated convergence exponent of the sequence of distinct  $a$ -points is defined by

$$\bar{\lambda}_n(f - a) = \bar{\lambda}_n(f, a) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n]} \bar{N}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})}{\log r} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

**Remark 1.5.** (1)  $\lambda_1(f - a) = \lambda(f - a)$ . (2)  $\bar{\lambda}_1(f - a) = \bar{\lambda}(f - a)$ .

For the sake of convenience, we also make the following definitions and remarks.

**Definition 1.6.** The iterated sectorial convergence exponent of the sequence of  $a$ -points ( $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ ) is defined by

$$\lambda_{n,\alpha,\beta}(f - a) = \lambda_{n,\alpha,\beta}(f, a) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n]} n(r, X(\alpha, \beta), \frac{1}{f-a})}{\log r} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

and  $\bar{\lambda}_n(f - a)$ , the iterated sectorial convergence exponent of the sequence of distinct  $a$ -points is defined by

$$\bar{\lambda}_n(f - a) = \bar{\lambda}_n(f, a) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[n]} \bar{n}(r, X(\alpha, \beta), \frac{1}{f-a})}{\log r} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

where  $X(\alpha, \beta) = \{z | \alpha < \arg z < \beta\}$ ,  $0 < \beta - \alpha \leq \pi$  and  $n(r, X(\alpha, \beta), f = a)$  is the roots of  $f(z) - a = 0$  in  $\Omega(\alpha, \beta) \cap \{|z| < r\}$ , counting multiplicities, and  $\bar{n}(r, X(\alpha, \beta), f = a)$  is the corresponding notion ignoring multiplicities.

**Remark 1.7** ([11]). (1)  $\lambda_{1,\alpha,\beta}(f-a) = \lambda_{\alpha,\beta}(f-a)$ . (2)  $\bar{\lambda}_{1,\alpha,\beta}(f-a) = \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha,\beta}(f-a)$ .

**Definition 1.8.** The iterated radial convergence exponent of the sequence of  $a$ -points ( $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ ) is defined by

$$\lambda_{n,\theta}(f-a) = \lambda_{n,\theta}(f, a) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \lambda_{n,\theta-\varepsilon,\theta+\varepsilon}(f, a). \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

**Remark 1.9** ([11]). (1)  $\lambda_{1,\theta}(f-a) = \lambda_\theta(f-a)$ . (2)  $\bar{\lambda}_{1,\theta}(f-a) = \bar{\lambda}_\theta(f-a)$ .

In 1991, Bank and Langley considered the higher order linear differential equations and obtained the following result.

**Theorem 1.10** ([2]). *Let  $A_0, \dots, A_{k-2}$  be entire functions of finite order, and assume that (1.2) possesses a solution base  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  such that  $\lambda(f_i) < +\infty$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then the product  $E = f_1 \dots f_n$  is of finite order of growth,  $\sigma(E) < \infty$ .*

In this paper, we extend Theorem 1.10 by using value distribution theory of a transcendental meromorphic function due to Nevanlinna [8] and the covering surface theory (see e.g. [10]). In fact, we shall prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.11.** *Assume that some (or all) of  $A_0, \dots, A_{k-2}$  are transcendental entire functions, and  $p = \max\{i(A_j), j = 1, \dots, k-2\} < \infty$ . Suppose that (1.2) possesses a solution base  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ . If  $E := f_1 \dots f_n$  is of infinite iterated  $p$ -order growth, i.e.  $\sigma_p(E) = \infty$ , then there at least exists a ray  $L : \arg z = \theta$  such that  $\lambda_{p,\theta}(E) = \infty$ .*

From Theorem 1.11, we can deduce the following result.

**Corollary 1.12.** *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.11, we assume that (1.2) possesses a solution base  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  such that  $\lambda_p(f_i) < +\infty$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then the product  $E = f_1 \dots f_n$  is of finite iterated  $p$ -order growth, i.e.  $\sigma_p(E) < +\infty$ .*

When  $p = 1$ , Corollary 1.12 becomes Theorem 1.10.

## 2. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

Our proof requires the Nevanlinna's theory in an angular domain. Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic function and  $X(\alpha, \beta) = \{z | \alpha \leq \arg z \leq \beta\}$  be an angular domain, where  $0 < \beta - \alpha \leq 2\pi$ . Nevanlinna defined the following notation ([8]),

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f) &= \frac{k}{\pi} \int_1^r \left( \frac{1}{t^k} - \frac{t^k}{r^{2k}} \right) \{ \log^+ |f(te^{i\alpha})| + \log^+ |f(te^{i\beta})| \} \frac{dt}{t}; \\ B_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f) &= \frac{2k}{\pi r^k} \int_\alpha^\beta \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| \sin k(\theta - \alpha) d\theta; \\ C_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f) &= 2 \sum_{b \in \Delta} \left( \frac{1}{|b_v|^k} - \frac{|b_v|^k}{r^{2k}} \right) \sin k(\beta_v - \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where  $k = \frac{\pi}{\beta - \alpha}$ ,  $1 \leq r < \infty$  and the summation  $\sum_{b \in \Delta}$  is taken over all poles  $b = |b|e^{i\theta}$  of the function  $f(z)$  in the sector  $\Delta : 1 < |z| < r$ ,  $\alpha < \arg z < \beta$ , counting multiplicity. The corresponding notation  $\bar{C}(r, f)$  then applies to distinct poles. Furthermore, for  $r > 1$ , we define

$$D_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f) = A_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f) + B_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f), \quad S_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f) = C_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f) + D_{\alpha,\beta}(r, f).$$

For the sake of simplicity, we omit the subscript of all the notation and use the notation  $A(r, f)$ ,  $B(r, f)$ ,  $C(r, f)$ ,  $D(r, f)$  and  $S(r, f)$  instead of  $A_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f)$ ,  $B_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f)$ ,  $C_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f)$ ,  $D_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f)$  and  $S_{\alpha, \beta}(r, f)$ .

**Lemma 2.1** ([12]). *Suppose that  $f(z)$  is a meromorphic function and  $\Omega(\alpha, \beta)$  be an angular domain, where  $0 < \beta - \alpha \leq 2\pi$ . Then,*

(i) *for any value  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have*

$$S\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right) = S(r, f) + O(1),$$

*holds for any  $r > 1$ .*

(ii) *for any  $r < R$ ,*

$$A\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) \leq k\left\{\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^k \int_1^R \frac{\log T(t, f)}{t^{1+k}} dt + \log \frac{r}{R-r} + \log \frac{R}{r} + 1\right\},$$

$$B\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) \leq \frac{4k}{r^k} m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right).$$

We also need the Ahlfors' theory in an angular domain. We firstly recall some notation (see e.g. Tsuji [10]).

Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic function in an angular domain  $\Delta(\theta, \alpha_0) = \{z : |\arg z - \theta| \leq \alpha_0\}$  and  $\Delta(\theta, \alpha) = \{z : |\arg z - \theta| \leq \alpha\}$  be an angular domain which was contained in  $\Delta(\theta, \alpha_0)$ , where  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  and  $\alpha \leq \alpha_0$ . Let  $\Delta_0(r)$ ,  $\Delta(r)$  be the part of  $\Delta(\theta, \alpha_0)$ ,  $\Delta(\theta, \alpha)$ , which is contained in  $|z| \leq r$ , respectively. We put

$$S_0(r, \Delta(\theta, \alpha)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Delta(r)} \left(\frac{|f'(z)|}{(1+|f(z)|^2)}\right)^2 r d\theta dr, \quad z = re^{i\theta},$$

$$T_0(r, \Delta(\theta, \alpha)) = \int_0^r \frac{S_0(t, \Delta(\theta, \alpha))}{t} dt,$$

which is called as Ahlfors-Shimizu characteristics. We denote the above characteristic functions of  $f(z)$  in the whole complex plane by  $S_0(r, f)$ ,  $T_0(r, f)$ . From [5, Theorem 1.4], we have

$$|T(r, f) - T_0(r, f) - \log |f(0)|| \leq \frac{1}{2} \log 2. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $n(r, \theta, \alpha, a)$  be the number of zeros of  $f(z) - a$  contained in  $\Delta(r)$ , counting multiplicities. We can assume that  $f(0) \neq a$  and put

$$N(r, \theta, \alpha, a) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, \theta, \alpha, a)}{t} dt.$$

If not, then the definition has to be modified, in a well known manner. Now, we give the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2** ([10]). *Let  $f(z)$  be meromorphic in the complex plane, then*

$$S_0(r, \Delta(\theta, \alpha)) \leq 3 \sum_{i=1}^3 n(2r, \theta, \alpha_0, a_i) + O(\log r),$$

$$T_0(r, \Delta(\theta, \alpha)) \leq 3 \sum_{i=1}^3 N(2r, \theta, \alpha_0, a_i) + O(\log^2 r).$$

*where  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  be any three distinct points in  $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ .*

3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

*Proof of Theorem 1.11.* The Wronskian determinant  $W(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$  of the fundamental system of solutions  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$  is given by

$$W = W(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \frac{f'_1}{f_1} & \frac{f'_2}{f_2} & \dots & \frac{f'_n}{f_n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{f_1^{(n-1)}}{f_1} & \frac{f_2^{(n-1)}}{f_2} & \dots & \frac{f_n^{(n-1)}}{f_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Apply the [7, Proposition 1.4.8 pp.16], we can derive that  $W$  is a positive constant denoted by  $K$ . Hence

$$\frac{1}{E} = \frac{1}{K} \frac{W}{E} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \neq i_2 \leq n} (-1)^{\tau} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{f_{i_l}^{(l)}}{f_{i_l}}.$$

Let  $f \not\equiv 0$  be a solution of (1.2). It follows from [3, Theorem 4 (i)] that the iterated  $p$ -order of  $\log T(r, f)$  is at most  $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma < \infty$  is a constant.

For any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small, we deduce from Lemma 2.1 (ii) in which  $R = 2r$  that

$$A_{\theta-\varepsilon, \theta+\varepsilon}(r, \frac{f'_i}{f_i}) = \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{if } p = 1, \\ O(\int_1^{2r} \frac{\log^+ T(t, f_i)}{t^{1+\frac{p}{2\varepsilon}}} dt) \\ = O(\int_1^{2r} \frac{e^{[p-1]t^{\sigma+1}}}{t^{1+\frac{p}{2\varepsilon}}} dt) = O(e^{[p-1]r^{\sigma+1}}). & \text{if } p \geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Since

$$m(r, \frac{f'_i}{f_i}) = O(\log rT(r, f_i)) = O(e^{[p-1]r^{\sigma+1}}), \quad r \notin F,$$

where  $F$  is a set of finite linear measure, we can deduce from lemma 2.1 (ii) that

$$B_{\theta-\varepsilon, \theta+\varepsilon}(r, \frac{f'_i}{f_i}) = \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{if } p = 1, \\ O(e^{[p-1]r^{\sigma+1}}). & \text{if } p \geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

holds for any  $r \notin F$ . Since

$$D_{\theta-\varepsilon, \theta+\varepsilon}(r, \frac{f_i^{(h)}}{f_i}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^h D_{\theta-\varepsilon, \theta+\varepsilon}(r, \frac{f_i^{(l)}}{f_i^{(l-1)}}) + O(1),$$

where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, h = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1$ . Therefore we have

$$D_{\theta-\varepsilon, \theta+\varepsilon}(r, \frac{f'_i}{f_i}) = \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{if } p = 1, \\ O(e^{[p-1]r^{\sigma+1}}). & \text{if } p \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

By the definition and Lemma 2.1 (i), we can deduce that for any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and any sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$S(r, E) \leq C(r, \frac{1}{E}) + O(e^{[p-1]r^{\sigma+1}}), \quad r \notin F \tag{3.3}$$

holds in the angular domain  $\{z | \theta - \varepsilon < \arg z < \theta + \varepsilon\}$ .

In the following, we shall prove that there exists a ray  $L : \arg z = \theta$  such that for any  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we have

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} S(r, E)}{\log r} = \infty \tag{3.4}$$

holds in the angular domain  $\{z|\theta - \varepsilon < \arg z < \theta + \varepsilon\}$ . Otherwise, for any  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , we have a  $\varepsilon_\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} S(r, E)}{\log r} < \infty. \quad (3.5)$$

holds in the angular domain  $\{z|\theta - \varepsilon_\theta < \arg z < \theta + \varepsilon_\theta\}$ . We deduce from Lemma 2.1 (i) that for any finite value  $a$ , we have  $S(r, \frac{1}{E-a}) = S(r, E) + O(1)$ . Since  $C(r, a) \leq S(r, \frac{1}{E-a})$ , then

$$C(r, \frac{1}{E-a}) \leq S(r, \frac{1}{E-a}) = S(r, E) + O(1). \quad (3.6)$$

On the other hand, it follows from  $\theta - \frac{\varepsilon_\theta}{2} < \beta_v < \theta + \frac{\varepsilon_\theta}{2}$  that  $\sin k(\beta_v - \theta + \frac{\varepsilon_\theta}{2}) \geq \sin \frac{\pi}{4} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ , where  $k = \frac{\pi}{2\varepsilon_\theta}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} C(2r, \frac{1}{E-a}) &\geq C_{\theta - \frac{\varepsilon_\theta}{2}, \theta + \frac{\varepsilon_\theta}{2}}(2r, \frac{1}{E-a}) \\ &\geq 2 \sum_{1 < |b_v| < r, \theta - \frac{\varepsilon_\theta}{2} < \beta_v < \theta + \frac{\varepsilon_\theta}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{|b_v|^k} - \frac{|b_v|^k}{(2r)^{2k}} \right) \sin k(\beta_v - \theta + \frac{\varepsilon_\theta}{2}) \\ &\geq \sqrt{2} \sum_{1 < |b_v| < r, \theta - \frac{\varepsilon_\theta}{2} < \beta_v < \theta + \frac{\varepsilon_\theta}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{|b_v|^k} - \frac{|b_v|^k}{(2r)^{2k}} \right) \\ &\geq \sqrt{2} \left[ \int_1^r \frac{1}{t^k} dn(t) + \frac{1}{(2r)^{2k}} \int_1^r t^k dn(t) \right] \\ &\geq \sqrt{2} \left[ k \int_1^r \frac{1}{t^{k+1}} n(t) dt + \frac{n(r)}{r^k} - \frac{r^k n(r)}{r^{2k}} + \frac{k}{(2r)^{2k}} \int_1^r t^{k-1} n(t) dt \right] \\ &\geq \sqrt{2} \left[ \frac{n(r)}{r^k} - \frac{r^k n(r)}{(2r)^{2k}} \right] \\ &\geq \sqrt{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^{2k}} \right) \frac{n(r)}{r^k}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $n(t) = n(t, \theta, \frac{\varepsilon_\theta}{2}, a)$ . From (3.5), (3.6) and the above equation,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} n(r, \theta, \frac{\varepsilon_\theta}{2}, a)}{\log r} < \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

Because  $[0, 2\pi]$  is compact and  $[0, 2\pi] \subset \cup\{(\theta - \frac{\varepsilon_\theta}{4}, \theta + \frac{\varepsilon_\theta}{4}), \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}$ , then we can choose finitely many  $(\theta_i - \frac{\varepsilon_{\theta_i}}{4}, \theta_i + \frac{\varepsilon_{\theta_i}}{4}) (i = 1, 2, \dots, T)$ , such that  $[0, 2\pi] \subset \cup\{(\theta_i - \frac{\varepsilon_{\theta_i}}{4}, \theta_i + \frac{\varepsilon_{\theta_i}}{4}), i = 1, 2, \dots, T\}$ .

By using Lemma 2.2, for any three distinct complex numbers  $a_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_0(r, f) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^T S_0(r, \Delta(\theta_i, \frac{\varepsilon_{\theta_i}}{4})) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^T \{ 3 \sum_{j=1}^3 n(2r, \theta_i, \frac{\varepsilon_{\theta_i}}{2}, a_j) \} + O(\log r) \end{aligned}$$

From (2.1), (3.7) and the definition of  $T_0(r, f)$  and the above equation, we can get that  $E$  is of finite  $p$ -iterated order. This contradicts with the hypothesis and so (3.4) follows.

From (3.3), (3.4) and definition 1.1, we know that there exists a ray  $L : \arg z = \theta$  such that for any  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we have

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} C(r, \frac{1}{E})}{\log r} = \infty \quad (3.8)$$

holds in the angular domain  $\{z | \theta - \varepsilon < \arg z < \theta + \varepsilon\}$ . Since  $C(r, \frac{1}{E}) \leq 2n(r, \theta, \varepsilon, E = 0)$ , then  $\lambda_{p, \theta - \varepsilon, \theta + \varepsilon}(E) = \infty$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we have  $\lambda_{p, \theta}(E) = \infty$ . Therefore, we can deduce that Theorem 1.11.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Bank and I. Laine, *On the oscillation theory of  $f'' + Af = 0$  where  $A$  is entire*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273(1982), 351-363.
- [2] S. Bank and J. Langley, *Oscillation theory for higher order linear differential equations with entire coefficients*, Complex Variables Theory Appl., 16(1991), 163-175.
- [3] Luis G. Bernal, *On growth  $k$ -order of solutions of a complex homogenous linear differential equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 101(1987), 317-322.
- [4] T.-B. Cao, *Complex oscillation of entire solutions of higher order linear differential equations*, Electronic Journal of Diff. Eqs. 2006(2006), no. 81, 1-8.
- [5] W. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [6] L. Kinnunen, *Linear differential equations with solutions of finite iterated order*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 22(1998), no. 4, 385-405.
- [7] I. Laine, *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1993.
- [8] R. Nevanlinna, *Über die Eigenschaften meromorpher Funktionen in einem Winkelraum*, Acta Soc. Sci. Fenn., 50(1925), 12:1-45.
- [9] D. Sato, *On the rate of growth of entire functions of fast growth*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69(1963), 411-414.
- [10] M. Tsuji., *Potential theory in modern function theory*, Maruzen Co. Ltd, Tokyo, 1959.
- [11] S.-P. Wang., *On the sectorial oscillation theory of  $f'' + A(z)f = 0$* , Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A I Math. Diss, **92**, (1994).
- [12] S.-J. Wu, *On the location of zeros of solution of  $f'' + Af = 0$  where  $A(z)$  is entire*, Math. Scand., 74(1994), 293-312.
- [13] L. Yang, *Value Distribution Theory and New Research*, Science Press, Beijing, 1982.
- [14] C.-C. Yang and H.-X. Yi, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, Science Press Kluwer, Beijing, 2003.

ZHAO-JUN WU

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, XIANNING UNIVERSITY, HUBEI XIANNING, 437100, CHINA

*Current address:* School of Mathematics, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, 510631, China

*E-mail address:* wuzj52@hotmail.com

DAO-CHUN SUN

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU, 510631, CHINA

*E-mail address:* sundch@scnu.edu.cn