

UNIFORM REGULARITY OF FULLY COMPRESSIBLE HALL-MHD SYSTEMS

JISHAN FAN, YONG ZHOU

Dedicated to Prof. Jiaying Hong on his 80th birthday

ABSTRACT. In this article we study a fully compressible Hall-MHD system. These equations include shear viscosity, bulk viscosity of the flow, and heat conductivity and resistivity coefficients. We prove uniform regularity estimates.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we consider the fully compressible Hall-MHD system [17],

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\partial_t(\rho u) + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla p - \mu \Delta u - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{rot} b \times b + \rho \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\partial_t(\rho e) + \operatorname{div}(\rho u e) - \operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla \theta) = \frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla u + \nabla u^T|^2 + \lambda (\operatorname{div} u)^2 + \eta |\operatorname{rot} b|^2, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\partial_t b + \operatorname{rot}(b \times u) + \xi \operatorname{rot} \left(\frac{\operatorname{rot} b \times b}{\rho} \right) = \eta \Delta b, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\operatorname{div} b = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^3 \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.5)$$

$$(\rho, u, \theta, b)(\cdot, 0) = (\rho_0, u_0, \theta_0, b_0) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{T}^3. \quad (1.6)$$

Here ρ, u, p, e, θ and b denote the density, velocity, pressure, internal energy, temperature, and magnetic field, respectively. The physical constants μ and λ are the shear viscosity and bulk viscosity of the flow and satisfy $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda + \frac{2}{3}\mu \geq 0$. $\kappa > 0$ is the heat conductivity. $\eta > 0$ is the resistivity coefficient. w is a given function. ξ is a Hall-constant. ∇u^T is the transpose of the ∇u . For simplicity, we consider the case that the fluid is a polytropic ideal gas; that is

$$e := C_V \theta, \quad p := R \rho \theta$$

with $C_V > 0$ and R being the specific heat at constant volume and the generic gas constant, respectively.

Applications of the Hall-MHD system cover a very wide range of physical objects, for example, magnetic reconnection in space plasmas, star formation, neutron stars, and geo-dynamo. For well-posedness, regularity and decay properties, and related

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 76W05, 35Q80, 70S15.

Key words and phrases. Hall-MHD; uniform regularity; compressible.

©2021 Texas State University.

Submitted November 10, 2020. Published March 21, 2021.

incompressible models, we refer to works [5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 20, 21, 22, 23] and references therein.

When the Hall effect term $\operatorname{rot}\left(\frac{\operatorname{rot} b \times b}{\rho}\right)$ is neglected, the system (1.1)-(1.5) reduces to the well-known fully compressible MHD system, which has been studied in [2, 4, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14]. The existence of local strong solution was proved by Fan-Yu [9]. Fan-Yu [8], Ducomet-Feireisl [4] and Hu-Wang [12, 13] established the global weak solutions. The low Mach number limit problem was studied by Jiang-Ju-Li-Xin [14] in \mathbb{R}^3 and Cui-Ou-Ren [2] in a bounded domain.

Before stating our main results, we recall the existence of local smooth solutions to (1.1)-(1.6). Since the system (1.1)-(1.6) is parabolic-hyperbolic, we have the following result.

Proposition 1.1 ([19]). *Let $\rho_0, u_0, \theta_0, b_0 \in H^3$ and $1/C_0 \leq \rho_0$, θ_0 for a positive constant C_0 . Then (1.1)-(1.6) has a unique smooth solution (ρ, u, θ, b) satisfying $\rho \in C^\ell([0, T]; H^{3-\ell})$, $u, \theta, b \in C^\ell([0, T]; H^{3-2\ell})$, $\ell = 0, 1$, and $1/C \leq \rho$, θ for some $0 < T \leq \infty$.*

The aim of this article is to prove uniform regularity estimates in $(\lambda, \mu, \kappa, \eta)$, as stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\xi^2 \leq C\eta$ and $w \in C([0, 1]; H^4)$, $0 < \mu < 1$, $0 < \lambda + \mu < 1$, $0 < \eta < 1$, $0 < \kappa < 1$, $0 < \frac{1}{C_0} \leq \rho_0$, $\theta_0 \leq C_0$, $\rho_0, u_0, b_0, \theta_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{T}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} b_0 = 0$ in \mathbb{T}^3 . Let (ρ, u, b, θ) be the unique local smooth solutions to (1.1)-(1.5). Then*

$$\|(\rho, u, b, \theta)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^3} \leq C \quad \text{in } [0, T] \quad (1.7)$$

holds for some positive constants C and T_0 ($\leq T$) independent of λ, μ, η and k .

Remark 1.3. By the uniform estimates, one can easily take the limits of λ, μ, η and k to zero, hence we omit the details here.

Our estimates are uniform in a with $a := (\lambda, \mu, \eta, k)$ while the ones in existence results of Hall-MHD depend on a .

We define

$$\begin{aligned} M(t) := & 1 + \|w\|_{C([0,1];H^4)} + \sup_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \left\{ \|(\rho, u, b, \theta)(\cdot, \tau)\|_{H^3} + \|\partial_t v(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2} \right. \\ & \left. + \|\partial_t \theta(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^2} + \left\| \frac{1}{\rho}(\cdot, \tau) \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \frac{1}{\theta}(\cdot, \tau) \right\|_{L^\infty} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Here we note that $v := u - w$.

Theorem 1.4. *For any $t \in [0, 1]$, we have*

$$M(t) \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)) \quad (1.9)$$

for some nondecreasing continuous functions $C_0(\cdot)$ and $C(\cdot)$.

From (1.9) it follows that [1, 3, 16]

$$M(t) \leq C. \quad (1.10)$$

In the following proofs, we will use the bilinear commutator and product estimates due to Kato-Ponce [15],

$$\|D^s(fg) - fD^s g\|_{L^p} \leq C(\|\nabla f\|_{L^{p_1}} \|D^{s-1}g\|_{L^{q_1}} + \|g\|_{L^{p_2}} \|D^s f\|_{L^{q_2}}), \quad (1.11)$$

$$\|D^s(fg)\|_{L^p} \leq C(\|f\|_{L^{p_1}} \|D^s g\|_{L^{q_1}} + \|D^s f\|_{L^{p_2}} \|g\|_{L^{q_2}}), \quad (1.12)$$

with $s > 0$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2}$.

We only need to show Theorem 1.4, which is given in the next section. Our proof consists of two steps. In step 1, we give the lower order estimates and in step 2, we show the higher order estimates.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

Step 1. Lower order estimates. First, testing (1.1) by ρ^{q-1} , we see that

$$\frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho^q dx = -\left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \int \rho^q \operatorname{div} u dx \leq \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} \int \rho^q dx,$$

and thus

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\rho\|_{L^q} \leq \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho\|_{L^q},$$

which gives

$$\|\rho\|_{L^q} \leq \|\rho_0\|_{L^q} \exp\left(\int_0^t \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} d\tau\right). \quad (2.1)$$

In the limit as $q \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain

$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty} \exp(tC(M)). \quad (2.2)$$

It follows from (1.1) that

$$\partial_t \frac{1}{\rho} + u \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} u = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Testing (2.3) by $(\frac{1}{\rho})^{q-1}$, we find that

$$\frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^q dx = \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) \int \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^q \operatorname{div} u dx \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) \left\|\frac{1}{\rho}\right\|_{L^q}^q \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty},$$

and therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\|\frac{1}{\rho}\right\|_{L^q} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) \left\|\frac{1}{\rho}\right\|_{L^q} \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty},$$

which gives

$$\left\|\frac{1}{\rho}\right\|_{L^q} \leq \left\|\frac{1}{\rho_0}\right\|_{L^q} \exp\left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right) \int_0^t \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} d\tau\right)$$

and we have

$$\left\|\frac{1}{\rho}\right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \left\|\frac{1}{\rho_0}\right\|_{L^\infty} \exp(tC(M)) \quad (2.4)$$

by letting $q \rightarrow +\infty$.

Testing (1.3) by θ^{q-1} and using (1.1) and denoting Q to be the right-hand side of (1.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{C_V}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho \theta^q dx + \kappa \int \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \theta^{q-1} dx \\ &= \int Q \theta^{q-1} dx - \int p \theta^{q-1} \operatorname{div} u dx \\ &\leq C(M) \|Q\|_{L^q} \|\rho^{1/q} \theta\|_{L^q}^{q-1} + C \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho^{1/q} \theta\|_{L^q}^q, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\rho^{1/q} \theta\|_{L^q} \leq C(M) \|Q\|_{L^q} + C \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho^{1/q} \theta\|_{L^q},$$

which, similarly to (2.2), implies

$$\|\theta\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \quad (2.5)$$

Multiplying (1.3) by $\frac{1}{\theta^2} \cdot \frac{1}{C_V}$, we deduce that

$$\rho \partial_t \frac{1}{\theta} + \rho u \cdot \nabla \frac{1}{\theta} + \frac{\kappa}{C_V} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta^2} \Delta \theta = \frac{R}{C_V} \rho \frac{\operatorname{div} u}{\theta} - \frac{Q}{\theta^2 C_V} \leq \frac{R}{C_V} \rho \frac{1}{\theta} \operatorname{div} u. \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly to (2.5), testing (2.6) by $(\frac{1}{\theta})^{q-1}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{q} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^q dx \leq \frac{R}{C_V} \int \rho \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^q \operatorname{div} u dx \leq \frac{R}{C_V} \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} \int \rho \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^q dx,$$

and thus

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\theta} \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \quad (2.7)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |v|^2 dx = 2 \int v \partial_t v dx \leq 2 \|v\|_{L^2} \|\partial_t v\|_{L^2} \leq C(M),$$

which implies

$$\|v\|_{L^2} \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \quad (2.8)$$

Testing (1.3) by b , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |b|^2 dx + \eta \int |\nabla b|^2 dx &= - \int (u \cdot \nabla b - b \cdot \nabla u + b \operatorname{div} u) b dx \\ &= - \int \left(\frac{1}{2} |b|^2 \operatorname{div} u - b \cdot \nabla u \cdot b \right) dx \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|b\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(M), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\|b\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta \int_0^t \int |\nabla b|^2 dx d\tau \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \quad (2.9)$$

Step 2. Higher order estimates. The equation (1.1)-(1.3) can be rewritten in the symmetric form

$$\frac{\theta}{\rho} \partial_t \rho + \frac{\theta}{\rho} u \cdot \nabla \rho + \theta \operatorname{div} u = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\rho \partial_t v + \rho u \cdot \nabla v + \rho \nabla \theta + \theta \nabla \rho - \mu \Delta v - (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} v = r + \operatorname{rot} b \times b, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\frac{\rho}{\theta} \partial_t \theta + \frac{\rho}{\theta} u \cdot \nabla \theta - \frac{\kappa}{\theta} \Delta \theta + \rho \operatorname{div} u = \frac{1}{\theta} Q, \quad (2.12)$$

where we have taken $R = C_V = 1$ for simplicity, and

$$r := \mu \Delta w + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} w - \rho u \cdot \nabla w. \quad (2.13)$$

Taking D^3 on (2.10), testing by $D^3\rho$, using (1.1), (1.11) and (1.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \frac{\theta}{\rho} (D^3\rho)^2 dx + \int \theta D^3 \operatorname{div} u D^3\rho dx \\
&= - \int \left[D^3 \left(\frac{\theta}{\rho} \partial_t \rho \right) - \frac{\theta}{\rho} D^3 \partial_t \rho \right] D^3\rho dx \\
&\quad - \int \left[D^3 \left(\frac{\theta}{\rho} u \cdot \nabla \rho \right) - \frac{\theta}{\rho} u \cdot \nabla D^3\rho \right] D^3\rho dx \\
&\quad - \int (D^3(\theta \operatorname{div} u) - \theta D^3 \operatorname{div} u) D^3\rho dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int \partial_t \left(\frac{\theta}{\rho} \right) (D^3\rho)^2 dx - \int \left(\frac{\theta}{\rho} u \cdot \nabla D^3\rho \right) D^3\rho dx \\
&\leq C \left(\|\nabla \frac{\theta}{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|D^2 \partial_t \rho\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \frac{\theta}{\rho}\|_{L^2} \right) \|D^3\rho\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C \left(\|\nabla \frac{\theta u}{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3\rho\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \frac{\theta u}{\rho}\|_{L^2} \right) \|D^3\rho\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C (\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \theta\|_{L^2}) \|D^3\rho\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C \|\partial_t \frac{\theta}{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3\rho\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \frac{\theta u}{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3\rho\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C(M) (\|D^2 \partial_t \rho\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^\infty}) + C(M) + C(M) \|\partial_t \frac{\theta}{\rho}\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq C(M) + \frac{\kappa}{4} \int \frac{1}{\theta} (D^4\theta)^2 dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Here we have used the estimate [18]:

$$\|D^3 \frac{1}{\rho}\|_{L^2} \leq C(M) \|D^3\rho\|_{L^2} \leq C(M).$$

Applying D^2 to (2.11), testing by $D^2 \partial_t v$, using (1.11) and (1.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |D^3 v|^2 dx + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (D^2 \operatorname{div} v)^2 dx + \int \rho |D^2 \partial_t v|^2 dx \\
&= - \int D^2 \nabla p \cdot D^2 \partial_t v dx - \int D^2 (\rho u \cdot \nabla v) \cdot D^2 \partial_t v dx \\
&\quad - \int [D^2 (\rho \partial_t v) - \rho D^2 \partial_t v] D^2 \partial_t v dx + \int D^2 r \cdot D^2 \partial_t v dx \\
&\quad + \int D^2 \left(b \cdot \nabla b - \frac{1}{2} \nabla |b|^2 \right) D^2 \partial_t v dx \\
&\leq C \|D^3 p\|_{L^2} \|D^2 \partial_t v\|_{L^2} + C \|\rho\|_{H^2} \|u\|_{H^2} \|v\|_{H^3} \|D^2 \partial_t v\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C (\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty} \|D \partial_t v\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t v\|_{L^\infty} \|D^2 \rho\|_{L^2}) \|D^2 \partial_t v\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \|D^2 r\|_{L^2} \|D^2 \partial_t v\|_{L^2} + \|D^2 \left(b \cdot \nabla b - \frac{1}{2} \nabla |b|^2 \right)\|_{L^2} \|D^2 \partial_t v\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(M) \|D^2 \partial_t v\|_{L^2} + C(M) (\|D \partial_t v\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t v\|_{L^\infty}) \|D^2 \partial_t v\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C(M) \|D^2 \partial_t v\|_{L^2} + C(M) (\|\partial_t v\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|D^2 \partial_t v\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \\
&\quad + \|\partial_t v\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t v\|_{L^2}^{1/4} \|D^2 \partial_t v\|_{L^2}^{3/4}) \|D^2 \partial_t v\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C(M)\|D^2\partial_t v\|_{L^2} + C(M)(\|D^2\partial_t v\|_{L^2}^{1/2} + \|D^2\partial_t v\|_{L^2}^{3/4})\|D^2\partial_t v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int \rho |D^2\partial_t v|^2 dx + C(M), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\int_0^t \int |D^2\partial_t v|^2 dx d\tau \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \quad (2.15)$$

Applying D^3 on (2.11), testing by D^3v , using (1.1), (1.11) and (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |D^3v|^2 dx + \mu \int |D^4v|^2 dx + (\lambda + \mu) \int (D^3 \operatorname{div} v)^2 dx \\ &+ \int \rho D^3 \nabla \theta \cdot D^3v dx + \int \theta \nabla D^3 \rho \cdot D^3v dx + \int (b \times D^3 \operatorname{rot} b) D^3v dx \\ &= - \int (D^3(\rho \partial_t v) - \rho D^3 \partial_t v) D^3v dx - \int (D^3(\rho u \cdot \nabla v) - \rho u \cdot \nabla D^3v) D^3v dx \\ &\quad - \int (D^3(\rho \nabla \theta) - \rho \nabla D^3 \theta) D^3v dx - \int (D^3(\theta \nabla \rho) - \theta \nabla D^3 \rho) D^3v dx \\ &\quad + \int D^3 r D^3v dx - \int (D^3(b \times \operatorname{rot} b) - b \times D^3 \operatorname{rot} b) D^3v dx \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty} \|D^2\partial_t v\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t v\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \rho\|_{L^2}) \|D^3v\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C(\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3(\rho u)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla(\rho u)\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3v\|_{L^2}) \|D^3v\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C(\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \rho\|_{L^2}) \|D^3v\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + C(\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \rho\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \theta\|_{L^2}) \|D^3v\|_{L^2} + C(M) \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu}{16} \|D^4v\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla b\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3b\|_{L^2} \|D^3v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(M) + C(M)(\|D^2\partial_t v\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t v\|_{L^\infty}) + \frac{\mu}{16} \|D^4v\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(M) + \|D^2\partial_t v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{16} \|D^4v\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Applying D^3 on (1.4), testing by D^3b , using (1.11) and (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |D^3b|^2 dx + \eta \int |D^4b|^2 dx + \int (b \times D^3u) D^3 \operatorname{rot} b dx \\ &= - \int (D^3(b \times u) - D^3b \times u - b \times D^3u) D^3 \operatorname{rot} b dx \\ &\quad - \int (D^3b \times u) D^3 \operatorname{rot} b dx + \xi \int D^3 \left(\frac{b}{\rho} \times \operatorname{rot} b \right) D^3 \operatorname{rot} b dx \\ &= - \int \operatorname{rot}(D^3(b \times u) - D^3b \times u - b \times D^3u) D^3b dx + \int (D^3b \times D^3 \operatorname{rot} b) u dx \\ &= - \int \operatorname{rot}(D^3(b \times u) - D^3b \times u - b \times D^3u) D^3b dx \\ &\quad + \int \left[\frac{1}{2} \nabla |D^3b|^2 - (D^3b \cdot \nabla) D^3b \right] u dx \\ &\quad + \xi \int \left(D^3 \left(\frac{b}{\rho} \times \operatorname{rot} b \right) - \frac{b}{\rho} \times D^3 \operatorname{rot} b \right) D^3 \operatorname{rot} b dx \\ &= - \int \operatorname{rot}(D^3(b \times u) - D^3b \times u - b \times D^3u) D^3b dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2} \int |D^3 b|^2 \operatorname{div} u \, dx + \int D^3 b \otimes D^3 b : \nabla u \, dx \\
& + \xi \int \left(D^3 \left(\frac{b}{\rho} \times \operatorname{rot} b \right) - \frac{b}{\rho} \times D^3 \operatorname{rot} b \right) D^3 \operatorname{rot} b \, dx \\
& \leq \| \operatorname{rot} (D^3 (b \times u) - D^3 b \times u - b \times D^3 u) \|_{L^2} \| D^3 b \|_{L^2} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \| D^3 b \|_{L^2}^2 \| \operatorname{div} u \|_{L^\infty} + \| D^3 b \|_{L^2}^2 \| \nabla u \|_{L^\infty} \\
& + C \sqrt{\eta} \left(\| \frac{b}{\rho} \|_{L^\infty} \| D^3 b \|_{L^2} + \| \nabla b \|_{L^\infty} \| D^3 \left(\frac{b}{\rho} \right) \|_{L^2} \right) \| D^4 b \|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C(M) + \frac{\eta}{16} \| D^4 b \|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $a \cdot \nabla a + a \times \operatorname{rot} a = \frac{1}{2} \nabla |a|^2$.

Applying D^2 on (1.3), testing by $D^2 \partial_t \theta$, using (1.11) and (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (D^3 \theta)^2 \, dx + \int \rho |D^2 \partial_t \theta|_{L^2}^2 \, dx \\
& = - \int D^2 (p \operatorname{div} u) D^2 \partial_t \theta \, dx - \int D^2 (\rho u \cdot \nabla \theta) D^2 \partial_t \theta \, dx \\
& \quad - \int [D^2 (\rho \partial_t \theta) - \rho D^2 \partial_t \theta] D^2 \partial_t \theta \, dx + \int D^2 Q \cdot D^2 \partial_t \theta \, dx \quad \text{with } C_V = 1 \\
& \leq \| D^2 (p \operatorname{div} u) \|_{L^2} \| D^2 \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2} + \| D^2 (\rho u \cdot \nabla \theta) \|_{L^2} \| D^2 \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2} \\
& \quad + C (\| \nabla \rho \|_{L^\infty} \| D \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2} + \| \partial_t \theta \|_{L^\infty} \| D^2 \rho \|_{L^2}) \| D^2 \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2} + \| D^2 Q \|_{L^2} \| D^2 \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C(M) \| D^2 \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2} + C(M) (\| D \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2} + \| \partial_t \theta \|_{L^\infty}) \| D^2 \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C(M) \| D^2 \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2} + C(M) (\| \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2}^{1/2} \| D^2 \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2}^{1/2} + \| \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2} \\
& \quad + \| \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2}^{1/4} \| D^2 \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2}^{3/4}) \| D^2 \partial_t \theta \|_{L^2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \int \rho |D^2 \partial_t \theta|^2 \, dx + C(M),
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\int_0^t \int |D^2 \partial_t \theta|^2 \, dx \, d\tau \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \quad (2.17)$$

Taking D^3 on (2.12), testing by $D^3 \theta$, using (1.11) and (1.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \frac{\rho}{\theta} (D^3 \theta)^2 \, dx + \kappa \int \frac{1}{\theta} (D^4 \theta)^2 \, dx + \int \rho D^3 \operatorname{div} u D^3 \theta \, dx \\
& = \kappa \int \frac{\nabla \theta}{\theta^2} D^3 \theta \nabla D^3 \theta \, dx + \kappa \int \left[D^3 \left(\frac{1}{\theta} \Delta \theta \right) - \frac{1}{\theta} \Delta D^3 \theta \right] D^3 \theta \, dx \\
& \quad - \int \left[D^3 \left(\frac{\rho}{\theta} \partial_t \theta \right) - \frac{\rho}{\theta} D^3 \partial_t \theta \right] D^3 \theta \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \partial_t \left(\frac{\rho}{\theta} \right) (D^3 \theta)^2 \, dx \\
& \quad - \int \left[D^3 \left(\frac{\rho u}{\theta} \nabla \theta \right) - \frac{\rho u}{\theta} \nabla D^3 \theta \right] D^3 \theta \, dx - \int \frac{\rho u}{\theta} \nabla D^3 \theta \cdot D^3 \theta \, dx \\
& \quad - \int (D^3 (\rho \operatorname{div} u) - \rho D^3 \operatorname{div} u) D^3 \theta \, dx + \int D^3 \left(\frac{Q}{\theta} \right) D^3 \theta \, dx \\
& \leq \frac{\kappa}{8} \int \frac{1}{\theta} (D^4 \theta)^2 \, dx + C(M) \| \nabla \theta \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| D^3 \theta \|_{L^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \kappa C \left(\left\| \frac{\nabla \theta}{\theta^2} \right\|_{L^\infty} \|D^4 \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta \theta\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \frac{1}{\theta}\|_{L^2} \right) \|D^3 \theta\|_{L^2} \\
& + C \left(\|\nabla \frac{\rho}{\theta}\|_{L^\infty} \|D^2 \partial_t \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \frac{\rho}{\theta}\|_{L^2} \right) \|D^3 \theta\|_{L^2} \\
& + C \|\partial_t \frac{\rho}{\theta}\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C \left(\|\nabla \frac{\rho u}{\theta}\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \frac{\rho u}{\theta}\|_{L^2} \right) \|D^3 \theta\|_{L^2} \\
& + C \|\nabla \frac{\rho u}{\theta}\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C (\|\nabla \rho\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 u\|_{L^2} + \|\operatorname{div} u\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \rho\|_{L^2}) \|D^3 \theta\|_{L^2} \\
& + C \left(\left\| \frac{1}{\theta} \right\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 Q\|_{L^2} + \|Q\|_{L^\infty} \|D^3 \frac{1}{\theta}\|_{L^2} \right) \|D^3 \theta\|_{L^2} \\
\leq & \frac{\kappa}{4} \int \frac{1}{\theta} (D^4 \theta)^2 dx + C(M) + C(M) (\|D^2 \partial_t \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^\infty}) \\
& + \frac{\mu}{2} \|D^4 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2} \|D^3 \operatorname{div} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\eta}{16} \|D^4 b\|_{L^2}^2 \\
\leq & \frac{\kappa}{4} \int \frac{1}{\theta} (D^4 \theta)^2 dx + \|D^2 \partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M) \\
& + \frac{\mu}{2} \|D^4 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2} \|D^3 \operatorname{div} v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\eta}{16} \|D^4 b\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing (2.14), (2.16) and the above inequality, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\frac{\theta}{\rho} (D^3 \rho)^2 + \rho |D^3 v|^2 + |D^3 b|^2 + \frac{\rho}{\theta} (D^3 \theta)^2 \right) dx + \frac{\mu}{2} \int |D^4 v|^2 dx \\
& + \frac{\lambda + \mu}{2} \int (D^3 \operatorname{div} v)^2 dx + \frac{\eta}{2} \int |D^4 b|^2 dx + \frac{\kappa}{2} \int \frac{1}{\theta} (D^4 \theta)^2 dx \\
\leq & - \int \theta D^3 \operatorname{div} u D^3 \rho dx - \int \rho D^3 \nabla \theta \cdot D^3 u dx - \int \theta D^3 \nabla \rho \cdot D^3 u dx \\
& - \int \rho D^3 \operatorname{div} u D^3 \theta dx + \|D^2 \partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|D^2 \partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M) \\
& + \int (b \times D^3 \operatorname{rot} b) D^3 w dx + \int \rho D^3 \nabla \theta \cdot D^3 w dx + \int \theta D^3 \nabla \rho \cdot D^3 w dx \\
\leq & \int D^3 u D^3 \rho \nabla \theta dx + \int D^3 u D^3 \theta \nabla \rho dx + \|D^2 \partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|D^2 \partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C(M) \\
& + \left| \int \operatorname{rot}(b \times D^3 w) D^3 b dx \right| + \left| \int D^3 \theta \operatorname{div}(\rho D^3 w) dx \right| + \left| \int D^3 \rho \operatorname{div}(\theta D^3 w) dx \right| \\
\leq & C(M) + \|D^2 \partial_t u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|D^2 \partial_t \theta\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that

$$(b \times D^3 \operatorname{rot} b) \cdot D^3 u + (b \times D^3 u) \cdot D^3 \operatorname{rot} b = 0.$$

Using (2.15) and (2.17), we have

$$\|D^3(\rho, u, b, \theta)(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \quad (2.18)$$

On the other hand, from (1.2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_t v\|_{L^2} & = \left\| \frac{1}{\rho} \left(b \cdot \nabla b - \frac{1}{2} \nabla |b|^2 + \mu \Delta u + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} u - \nabla p - \rho u \cdot \nabla u \right) \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)).
\end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2} \leq C_0(M_0) \exp(tC(M)). \quad (2.20)$$

Combining (2.4), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), (2.18), (2.19) and (2.20), we conclude that (1.10) holds. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the referee for helpful suggestions. This work is partially supported by NSFC (No. 11971234).

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Alazard; *Low Mach number limit of the full Navier-Stokes equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 180 (2006), 1–73.
- [2] W. Cui, Y. Ou, D. Ren; *Incompressible limit of full compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with well-prepared data in 3-D bounded domains*. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 427 (2015), 263–288.
- [3] C. Dou, S. Jiang, Y. Ou; *Low Mach number limit of full Navier-Stokes equations in a 3D bounded domain*. J. Differential Equations, 258 (2015), 379–398.
- [4] B. Ducomet, E. Feireisl; *The equations of magnetohydrodynamics: on the interaction between matter and radiation in the evolution of gaseous stars*. Comm. Math. Phys., 266 (2006), 595–629.
- [5] J. Fan, B. Ahmad, T. Hayat, Y. Zhou; *On well-posedness and blow-up for the full compressible Hall-MHD system*. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 31 (2016), 569–579.
- [6] J. Fan, B. Alsaedi, T. Hayat, G. Nakamura, Y. Zhou; *On strong solutions to the compressible Hall-magnetohydrodynamic system*. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 22 (2015), 423–434.
- [7] J. Fan, X. Jia, G. Nakamura, Y. Zhou; *On well-posedness and blowup criteria for the magnetohydrodynamics with the Hall and ion-slip effects*. Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 66 (2015), 1695–1706.
- [8] J. Fan, W. Yu; *Global variational solutions to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations*. Nonlinear Anal., 69 (2008), 3637–3660.
- [9] J. Fan, W. Yu; *Strong solution to the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum*. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 10 (2009), 392–409.
- [10] F. He, B. Ahmad, T. Hayat, Y. Zhou; *On regularity criteria for the 3D Hall-MHD equations in terms of the velocity*. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 32 (2016), 35–51.
- [11] F. He, B. Samet, Y. Zhou; *Boundedness and time decay of solutions to a full compressible Hall-MHD system*. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 41 (2018), 2151–2162.
- [12] X. Hu, D. Wang; *Global solutions to the three-dimensional full compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows*. Comm. Math. Phys., 283 (2008), 255–284.
- [13] X. Hu, D. Wang; *Global existence and large-time behavior of solutions to the three-dimensional equations of compressible magnetohydrodynamic flows*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 197 (2010), 203–238.
- [14] S. Jiang, Q. Ju, F. Li, Z. Xin; *Low Mach number limit for the full compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with general initial data*. Advances in Math., 259 (2014), 384–420.
- [15] T. Kato, G. Ponce; *Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 41 (1988), 891–907.
- [16] G. Metivier, S. Schochet; *The incompressible limit of the non-isentropic Euler equations*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 158 (2001), 61–90.
- [17] D. Shaikh, G. P. Zank; *Spectral features of solar wind turbulent plasma*. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 400 (2009), 1881–1891.
- [18] H. Triebel; *Theory of Function Spaces, in: Monographs in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser, Verlag, Basel, Boston, 1983.
- [19] A. I. Vol’pert, S. I. Hudjaev; *The Cauchy problem for composite systems of nonlinear differential equations*. Math. USSR. SB., 16 (1972), 504–528.
- [20] R. Wan, Y. Zhou; *On global existence, energy decay and blow-up criteria for the Hall-MHD system*. J. Differential Equations, 259 (2015), 5982–6008.
- [21] R. Wan, Y. Zhou; *Low regularity well-posedness for the 3D generalized Hall-MHD system*. Acta Appl. Math., 147 (2017), 95–111.

- [22] R. Wan, Y. Zhou; *Global well-posedness, BKM blow-up criteria and zero h limit for the 3D incompressible Hall-MHD equations*. J. Differential Equations, 267 (2019), 3724-3747.
- [23] R. Wan, Y. Zhou; *Global well-posedness for the 3D incompressible Hall magnetohydrodynamic equations with Fujita-Kato type initial data*. J. Math. Fluid Mech., 21 (2019), Paper No. 5, 16 pp.

JISHAN FAN

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NANJING FORESTRY UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210037, CHINA

Email address: fanjishan@njfu.edu.cn

YONG ZHOU (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, ZHUHAI 519082, CHINA.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZHEJIANG NORMAL UNIVERSITY, JINHUA 321004, CHINA

Email address: zhouyong3@mail.sysu.edu.cn