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ABSTRACT

Past geological greenhouse intervals are associated with Ocean Anoxic Events (OAEs), which result from an increase in marine primary productivity and/or an increase in the preservation of organic matter. The end point is widespread black shale deposition in the deep ocean combined with a long-term atmospheric positive $\delta^{13}C$ excursion and an increase in the burial of $^{12}C$. Some OAEs show a negative $\delta^{13}C$ excursion preceding the positive excursion, indicating a perturbation in the global carbon cycle prior to the initiation of these events.

The Rose Creek Pit (RCP) locality, southeastern Nebraska, is currently the only known terrestrial section that preserves OAE1d (Cretaceous, Albian-Cenomanian Boundary) and has abundant charcoal and plant cuticle. These features allow for a combined analysis of carbon isotopes and stomatal index (SI) to determine changes in the cycling between carbon pools (carbon isotope analysis) and their relation to paleo-CO$_2$ via changes in SI. To do this, RCP SI data were calculated from the cuticle of Pandemophyllum kvacekii (an extinct laurel) and related taxa, and fitted to $\delta^{13}C$ curves derived from fossil gymnosperm charcoal and vitrain, as well as other published charcoal and bulk organic $\delta^{13}C$ profiles from RCP and nearby sediment cores. Absolute values of CO$_2$ were estimated using three published transfer functions based on species of extant Lauraceae. This study represents the first attempt to quantify variation in CO$_2$ during OAE1d.

SI indicates changes in CO$_2$ coincident with changes in $\delta^{13}C$. Pre-excursion pCO$_2$ was relatively low (330–615 ppm) and was associated with a slight positive shift in $\delta^{13}C$ (~1‰) immediately preceding the negative excursion recorded in other RCP $\delta^{13}C$ curves. Those curves record a negative excursion (avg. $\approx 2.21‰$) beginning at or slightly below the floor of RCP. This study records a more modest negative shift of $\approx 1.2–1.47‰$ because sampling began after the beginning of the negative excursion. All RCP chemostratigraphy shows that negative excursion lasts through ~3.3 m of the section.
During this negative excursion, pCO$_2$ increases from the pre-excursion values to a high of ~380–800 ppm. After the negative excursion, all RCP chemostratigraphic curves and pCO$_2$ values show a slow return to pre-excursion values. Despite the finer sampling intervals of this study compared to others at RCP and in surrounding areas, fossil gymnosperm charcoal and vitrain $\delta^{13}$C curves do not record the positive excursion found in foraminifera and carbonate $\delta^{13}$C curves during OAE1d. This study confirms that $\delta^{13}$C of fossil wood, whether coalified or charcoalified, and SI from dispersed cuticle can reliably capture carbon cycle perturbations and changes in atmospheric CO$_2$ around OAEs.
I. INTRODUCTION

Goals of This Study

Ocean Anoxic Events (OAEs) are global climate cycle perturbations. The current injection of massive amounts of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) and methane (CH$_4$) due to anthropogenic activities is analogous in some ways to natural perturbations in the carbon cycle such as OAEs. Evidence for this is seen in the current negative carbon isotopic excursion attributed to anthropogenic CO$_2$ emissions (IPCC, 2007). Atmospheric CO$_2$, and presumably global temperatures, are expected to reach levels not seen since the greenhouse climates of the Cretaceous, during which OAEs were a relatively common occurrence (Beerling et al., 1993; Leckie et al., 2002; Montanez et al., 2011; Pachauri and Reisinger, 2007). In addition, modern oceans have anoxic areas that have grown exponentially in the past 50 years (Diaz and Rosenberg, 2008). While changes in atmospheric CO$_2$ have been quantified during other OAEs (Barclay et al., 2010; McElwain et al., 2005), it has never been inspected using fine sampling intervals, which will allow detailed comparisons of changes in atmospheric CO$_2$ and the carbon cycle. This is essential to understanding the nature and climatic tipping points of GHG-initiated OAEs. My master’s research is designed to evaluate this relationship by reconstructing changes in partial pressure of CO$_2$ (pCO$_2$) during OAE1d using Stomatal Index (SI).

With this study, I address three questions. First, can the carbon isotope excursion found in analysis of fossil charcoal and bulk organics by Gröcke et al. (2006) and Gröcke and Joeckel (2008) be reproduced using new collections of fossil charcoal and vitraine? Second, if the carbon isotope excursion can be reproduced, what was the pattern of
changes in atmospheric CO₂ during the perturbation? Finally, if there is a discernible pattern of change in atmospheric CO₂, how does the change compare to CO₂ changes found during OAEs by other researchers (Barclay et al., 2010; McElwain et al., 2005). My study is one of the few studies to use SI to reconstruct atmospheric CO₂ during a major perturbation of the global carbon cycle. It is also the first to use SI to reconstruct changes in atmospheric CO₂ during Ocean Anoxic Event 1d (OAE1d), which has been subject to less study than other OAEs. The questions I will attempt to answer about OAE1d will add to our knowledge of these events in general.

**Ocean Anoxic Events**

During the early days of exploration of deep ocean sediments, extremely organic carbon-rich sediments of Cretaceous age were recovered in the Indian, Atlantic, and Pacific oceans. Schlanger and Jenkyns (1976) correlated these organic-rich black shales with coeval Tethyan deposits in the Caribbean and Mediterranean regions, among others, suggesting widespread to global distribution. In addition, these sediments contained sapropelic markers such as pyrite, glauconite, phosphate, and dolomite, similar to well-studied Mediterranean sapropelic sediments attributed to anoxia due to limited local oceanic circulation (Erbacher et al., 2001; Schlanger and Jenkyns, 1976; Wilson and Norris, 2001). Because of the global nature of organic carbon-rich sediments throughout the Aptian-Albian and Cenomanian-Turonian stages, Schlanger and Jenkyns (1976) named these events Ocean Anoxic Events.

At least nine OAEs have been documented for the Cretaceous (Arthur et al., 1990; Jenkyns, 2010; Leckie et al., 2002; Takashima et al., 2006). They are: 1) Weissert Event
(Late Valanginian) (Erba et al., 2004), 2) Faraoni Event (Latest Haueterivian) (Baudin, 2005), 3) OAE1a (Selli Event, Early Aptian), 4) OAE1b (Paquier Event, Aptian-Albian Boundary), 5) OAE1c (Toolebuc Event, Late Albian), 6) OAE1d (Breistroffer Event, Albian-Cenomanian Boundary [ACB]) (Arthur et al., 1990; Erbacher and Thurow, 1997; Schlanger and Jenkyns, 1976), 7) Mid-Cenomanian Event (Coccioni and Galeotti, 2003), 8) OAE2 (Bonarelli Event, Cenomanian-Turonian Boundary) (Schlanger and Jenkyns, 1976), and 9) OAE3 (Coniacian-Santonian Boundary) (Jenkyns, 1980). While most common during the greenhouse climate of the Cretaceous, OAEs are not restricted to that period. Other OAEs include the Mid-Proterozoic (Arnold et al., 2004), Ordovician-Silurian Boundary, Devonian (Kellwasser Event) (Joachimski and Buggisch, 1993), Early Mississippian (Meyer and Kump, 2008), Jurassic (Posidonienschiefer Event, Toarcian; also known as the TOAE) (Jenkyns, 1988), the Cretaceous-Tertiary (K-T) Boundary (Kajiwara and Kaiho, 1992) and the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum (Jenkyns, 2010; Sluijs et al., 2006). Of the major Mesozoic OAEs, TOAE, OAE1a, and OAE2 are considered global in nature (Erba, 2004; Jenkyns, 2010; Leckie et al., 2002). Researchers disagree about the global vs. regional significance of remaining Cretaceous OAEs. OAE1b, OAE1c, and OAE1d are considered to have regional, but still widespread, significance by some (Erba, 2004), while others consider OAE1b and OAE1d to be global events (Jenkyns, 2010).

Most OAEs are marked by long-term ($10^4$–$10^6$ years) positive $\delta^{13}C$ excursions due to the increased burial of organic $^{12}C$-enriched sediments as black shales. There has been significant disagreement as to the cause of black shale deposition (Arthur et al., 1990; Pedersen and Calvert, 1990). Depending on the researcher, black shales are the
result of either an increase in marine primary productivity or an increase in preservation of organic material due to ocean anoxia and/or stagnation, or by some combination of the two mechanisms (Arthur et al., 1988; Erbacher et al., 2005; Hochuli et al., 1999).

Increased primary productivity is now thought to be the most important causal factor in black shale development (Erba, 2004; Jenkyns, 2010). Massive sequestration of large amounts of carbon in marine organisms and, ultimately, black shales leads to reduction in dissolved oceanic carbon dioxide (CO₂). This causes increased flux of CO₂ to the oceans and a drawdown of atmospheric CO₂ (Arthur et al., 1988; Barclay et al., 2010; Hochuli et al., 1999; Kuypers et al., 1999; McElwain et al., 2005; Stoll and Schrag, 2000; Weissert et al., 1998).

Schlanger and Jenkyns (1976) originally proposed a two-step cause for OAE1 and OAE2. First, marine transgression caused inundation of coastal plains and deltas, washing large amounts of plant organic matter into the ocean, as well as increasing the extent and volume of shallow seas with high marine primary productivity. In modern oceans, regions of extremely high organic productively are found in the Mediterranean and continental shelves. The ratio of these conditions to the entire ocean is 1:30 (Sverdrup et al., 1942). During the mid-Cretaceous, at the height of marine transgression, this ratio was 1:6, indicating that levels of organic carbon in oceans were much higher in the Cretaceous than modern oceans (Hays and Pitman, 1973; Jenkyns, 1980; Schlanger and Jenkyns, 1976). Second, the existence of worldwide warm, equable climate caused a disruption in oceanic circulation, which led to a decrease in influx of cold oxygenated water from polar regions (Schlanger and Jenkyns, 1976).

Other researchers have implicated greenhouse gases (GHG) and climatic warming
via volcanism as the primary causal factor in the initiation of Cretaceous OAEs. The simplest evidence for this is that these events are characteristic of “greenhouse” climates of the geologic past (Jenkyns, 2003). Furthermore, most Cretaceous OAEs are clustered in the Aptian-Turonian stages, which coincide with the formation of many Large Igneous Provinces (LIPs), instances of increased sea-floor spreading and hydrothermal vent activity, and/or an increase in crust production in general (Barclay et al., 2010; Erba, 2004; Jenkyns, 2003; Jones and Jenkyns, 2001; Kuroda et al., 2007; Larson and Erba, 1999; Leckie et al., 2002; Takashima et al., 2006; Turgeon and Creaser, 2008). In these GHG-initiated events, the injection of isotopically light carbon (CO$_2$ or methane [CH$_4$]) causes a pronounced, but relatively short-lived ($10^3$–$10^4$ years) negative $\delta^{13}$C excursion preceding the positive isotope excursion. Other evidence of initiation of some OAEs by volcanism is a decrease in $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr, $^{187}$Os/$^{188}$Os, and an increase in trace metal concentration (Jones and Jenkyns, 2001). Other OAEs are thought to have GHG origins by other mechanisms, such as the massive injection of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ to the atmosphere from the release of methane hydrates from the deep ocean (Erba, 2004; Hesselbo et al., 2000; Jahren et al., 2001), or massive injection of CH$_4$ to the atmosphere from the intrusion of magma into organic-rich sediments (specifically coals) (McElwain et al., 2005; Svenson et al., 2004).

A number of climate/volcanism-related secondary causes for OAEs have been proposed, including an increased hydrologic cycle, which, in turn, would cause increased continental weathering and enhanced nutrient discharge to oceans and lakes and increased upwelling of nutrient-rich waters (Menegatti et al., 1998). Heimhoffer et al. (2006) have argued that an increased hydrologic cycle would lead to significant addition
of terrestrial organic matter to the oceans. Others have implicated trace metal fertilization from marine volcanism in increased marine productivity (Larson and Erba, 1999). In line with the original hypothesis of Schlanger and Jenkyns (1976), some researchers have cited marine transgression as the causal factor in OAEs (Arthur and Sageman, 1994; Erbacher et al., 1996). Conversely, in some cases, marine regression is cited as a cause (Erbacher et al., 1996). Finally, changes in oceanic stratification and circulation have been shown to have a role in ocean anoxia (Erbacher et al., 2001; Watkins et al., 2005; Wilson and Norris, 2001).

An important consequence of OAEs is their effect on marine organisms. The mid-Cretaceous, the time when most OAEs cluster, was also a time of major turnover in marine plankton, benthic foraminifera (forams), and mollusks (Leckie et al., 2002). Ocean Anoxic Events, in particular, are times of relative maxima of turnover of marine organisms. Erbacher et al. (1996) expanded upon the causes of Cretaceous OAEs and their effects on marine organisms by placing each into one of two categories.

One type is termed P-OAEs (P = productivity). These events are characterized by marine transgression, the presence of positive $\delta^{13}C$ excursions, heightened marine productivity and significant marine turnover. Erbacher et al. (1996) hypothesized that during these events, transgression caused leaching of nutrients from recently inundated coastal land, causing an explosion in aerobic marine productivity. The increased oxygen demand would expand the oxygen-minimum zone, causing extinction of radiolarians and forams. Evidence of this is seen in the high occurrence of type II (marine planktonic) kerogen in the black shales of P-OAEs. As the oxygen-minimum zones shrank to their normal bounds, radiation of new species would begin to fill the ecological niches
Using these criteria, Erbacher et al. (1996) classified OAE1a, OAE1b, OAE1d, the Mid-Cenomanian Event, and OAE2 as P-OAEs. This classification has been verified by other researchers who found major marine turnover clustered around the OAEs listed above (Erba, 2004; Leckie et al., 2002; Watkins et al., 2005).

The second type of OAE is characterized by marine regression, the lack of positive isotopic excursion, no increased marine productivity, and radiolarian radiations. In addition, this type of OAE is characterized by black shale containing type III (humic) kerogen, indicative of addition of large amounts of terrigenous organic matter (presumably plant matter) to the ocean (Pratt and King, 1986). Because of this, Erbacher et al. (1996) named this type of event D-OAE (D = Detritus). Only one Cretaceous OAE (OAE1c) is categorized as a D-OAE. In terms of marine organisms, the continual fall in sea levels during OAE1c caused a shortage of nutrients, stimulating an extinction event. This has been verified by other researchers, who have found significant radiolarian speciation around OAE1c (Leckie et al., 2002).

**Ocean Anoxic Event 1d**

Ocean Anoxic Event 1d (Breistroffer Event) is a time of widespread to global deposition of marine organic matter as black shales at the ACB (Wilson and Norris, 2001). Sediments that preserve this event occur in southeast France, (Bornemann et al., 2005; Bréhéret, 1994; Bréhéret and Delamette, 1989; Breistroffer, 1937; Gale et al., 1996; Giraud et al., 2003), central and northern Italy (Erbacher et al., 1996; Stoll and Schrag, 2000), Switzerland (Strasser et al., 2001), Spain (López-Horgue et al., 1999), Japan (Ando and Kakegawa, 2007; Kawabe et al., 2003), California (Robinson et al.,
Ocean Anoxic Event 1d is an interval of carbonaceous mudstone to black shale deposition, with an organic carbon content of up to ~25% by weight (/wt.) in some marine sections (Hofmann et al., 2000; Wilson and Norris, 2001). OAE1d occurred, in part, during a time of eustatic lowering of global sea levels (Haq et al., 1987; Haq and Schutter, 2008).

Wilson and Norris (2001) used δ¹⁸O of surface and thermocline-dwelling forams with known depth habitats isolated in pelagic marine carbonate to propose a possible cause for OAE1d. Due to the fractionation of oxygen isotopes related to temperature, δ¹⁸O can be used to infer paleotemperature in deep time. There is also a consistent offset in δ¹⁸O values of surface and thermocline-dwelling foraminiferal species due to depth-habitat temperature. At the beginning of OAE1d black shale deposition, the δ¹⁸O curves of surface and thermocline-dwelling species of foram converge. This result supports the “high productivity” model for black shale deposition, as the “increased preservation/stagnation” model invokes increased salinity and temperature stratification as a cause (Erbacher et al., 2001). In addition, the δ¹⁸O of the surface dwelling forams give an Atlantic sea surface temperature 3–5 °C warmer than today, most likely the result of GHG forcing (Wilson and Norris, 2001). These data led Wilson and Norris (2001) to conclude that OAE1d was a time of global black shale development caused by climatic warming, increased marine productivity, and a collapse of the upper ocean water column.
stratification due to a combination of increased of winter mixing and decreased summer stratification. However, some challenge the global aspect and role of productivity of this event, due to: 1) evidence of time-equivalent oxic sediments in other parts of the Atlantic, 2) time-equivalent sediments only slightly enriched in organic carbon, and 3) evidence of a modest increase in fertility during the event (Giraud et al., 2003; Hofmann et al., 2000; López-Horgue et al., 1999; Nederbragt et al., 2001; Scott et al., 2013).

Ocean Anoxic Event 1d has been the subject to detailed carbon isotope stratigraphy in planktonic forams (Wilson and Norris, 2001), bulk rock/marine carbonate (Bornemann et al., 2005; Erbacher and Thurow, 1997; Erbacher et al., 1996; Leckie et al., 2002; Nederbragt et al., 2001; Phelps, 2012; Reichelt, 2005; Robinson et al., 2008; Stoll and Schrag, 2000; Strasser et al., 2001; Watkins et al., 2005), bulk organics (Ando and Kakegawa, 2007; Gröcke and Joeckel, 2008; Gröcke et al., 2006; Scott et al., 2013), and fossil charcoal (Ando and Kakegawa, 2007; Gröcke et al., 2006). Though considerable variability exists between OAE1d \( \delta^{13}C \) curves, most of the curves show large positive excursions (~.5–2‰), marking deposition of organic-rich black shales (Bornemann et al., 2005; Erbacher et al., 1996; Leckie et al., 2002; Nederbragt et al., 2001; Reichelt, 2005; Robinson et al., 2008; Scott et al., 2013; Stoll and Schrag, 2000; Strasser et al., 2001; Watkins et al., 2005; Wilson and Norris, 2001). Though detailed estimates of CO\(_2\) during OAE1d are non-existent, there is evidence of rapid climatic cooling (relative to the hot climate of the mid-Cretaceous as a whole) from the \( \delta^{18}O \) paleothermometer at the ACB, implying a drawdown in atmospheric CO\(_2\) (Clarke and Jenkyns, 1999; Kawabe et al., 2003; Stoll and Schrag, 2000). Several of the OAE1d \( \delta^{13}C \) curves listed above show a pronounced negative excursion (~.5–3‰) (Bornemann et al., 2005; Gröcke and
Joeckel, 2008; Gröcke et al., 2006; Phelps, 2012; Reichelt, 2005; Watkins et al., 2005; Wilson and Norris, 2001), indicative of a release of isotopically light carbon. Some of the δ^{13}C curves show both the positive and negative excursion associated with OAE1d (Bornemann et al., 2005; Reichelt, 2005; Watkins et al., 2005; Wilson and Norris, 2001). In addition, several show many smaller negative and positive excursions around the largest “main” negative and positive excursions, indicating possible multiple instances of isotopically light carbon release and deposition (Bornemann et al., 2005; Gröcke and Joeckel, 2008; Gröcke et al., 2006; Watkins et al., 2005; Wilson and Norris, 2001).

Ocean Anoxic Event 1d also represents a time of major turnover in radiolarians (Erbacher and Thurow, 1997; Erbacher et al., 1996), planktonic forams (Leckie et al., 2002), and calcareous nannoplankton (Watkins et al., 2005), with species-level extinction of radiolarian and planktonic forams estimated to be 23–30% and 26–28%, respectively. This is confirmed by reports of large amounts of marine organic matter (type II kerogen) in OAE1d black shales (total organic content [TOC] up to ~25% /wt., commonly 0.5–2.5% /wt.) (Bréhéret, 1994; Bréhéret and Delamette, 1989; Erbacher et al., 1996; Wilson and Norris, 2001). This is consistent with the hypothesis of heightened marine productivity during OAEs, and, in combination with the positive isotope excursion, led to OAE1d being designated a P-OAE.

However, several researchers report a significant amount of terrigenous organic matter (type III kerogen) in individual black shales (Bornemann et al., 2005; Hofmann et al., 2000; Scott et al., 2013). Interestingly, the occurrence of terrigenous organic matter is highly variable between localities. In some cases it is a constant significant portion of the TOC throughout OAE1d, while in others the amount of terrigenous organic matter
peaks during black shales and drops off after, and in others it is the dominant form of organic matter throughout. In all cases, the studied sections are near-shore marine and the common hypothesis for the terrigenous content of organic matter is either an increase hydrologic cycle or marine transgression. If the terrigenous content of black shale organic matter is indicative of transgression, then OAE1d has all the characteristics of a P-OAE. However, OAE1d is hypothesized to coincide with a eustatic lowering of global sea levels, which Erbacher et al. (1996) evoked to explain the occurrence of terrigenous organic matter during D-OAEs (Haq et al., 1987; Haq and Schutter, 2008). Despite this, OAE1d is usually described as a P-OAE due to the inferred increase in productivity during the event.

**Stomatal Index**

E. J. Salisbury’s classic (1928) paper was the first analysis of the effect environmental factors on the number of stomata (gas exchange pores of the leaves of plants). In this paper, he measured stomatal density,

\[
(SD) = \frac{\# \text{ stomata}}{\text{mm}^2}
\]

[1], in leaves of differing type, size, position on the parent plant, and under various water and light availabilities. In addition, he looked at intra-leaf variation in SD. He found that all variables had an effect on SD, with the exception of light availability. However, he noted that differences in SD could be due to a greater number of stomata or by variation in the size of non-specialized epidermal cells. In order to eliminate the effect of epidermal cell size from his analysis of stomatal frequency, Salisbury (1928) formally proposed a new measure, Stomatal Index,
where $S$ is the number of stomata and $E$ is the number of epidermal cells excluding guard cells. Using SI, Salisbury (1928) found that variation in stomatal frequency under experimental parameters was due to changes in epidermal cell size, rather than changes in stomatal number.

The connection between stomatal frequency and atmospheric CO$_2$ was not established until Woodward (1987) compared SI (Eq. 2) and SD (Eq. 1) of various modern woody deciduous species with herbarium specimens collected during the Industrial Revolution and its resulting increase in CO$_2$. He found that plants show a decrease in SI (Eq. 2) and SD (Eq. 1) with increasing CO$_2$ levels, indicating that CO$_2$ levels (at least partially) control stomatal initiation in order to maximize Water Use Efficiency ([WUE], the ratio of photosynthesis and transpiration) (Woodward, 1987, 1993). Woodward (1987) hypothesized that this relationship exists because, at high CO$_2$ levels, an individual leaf can maintain its rate of carbon uptake while reducing water loss by having fewer stomata. Likewise, at lower atmospheric CO$_2$ levels, an individual leaf increases the number of stomata to maintain the rate of carbon assimilation, at the expense of increased transpiration (e.g. Royer, 2001).

Recent research suggests that the SI/CO$_2$ relationship in plants has a genetic component and is the product of long distance signaling from mature leaves to developing leaves. Developing leaves show reduced SD and SI as a result of exposing mature leaves contained within air-tight cuvettes to elevated CO$_2$ (Lake et al., 2001; Lake et al., 2002; Miyazawa et al., 2006). In addition, Gray et al. (2011) identified the gene $HIC$ (= High Carbon Dioxide) in Arabidopsis which codes for a negative regulator that
responds to CO₂ levels, and Ferris et al. (2002) found quantitative trait loci that control the SI/CO₂ relationship in species of Populus.

The SI response of plants to changes in CO₂ is species specific (Royer, 2001) and non-linear at high CO₂ levels (Kürschner et al., 2008; Royer et al., 2001). Stomatal Index is insensitive to local environmental factors, such as irradiance (Kürschner, 1997; Poole et al., 1996; Salisbury, 1928; Sharma and Dunn, 1968, 1969; Wagner, 1998), water availability/humidity (Clifford et al., 1995; Estiarte et al., 1994; Salisbury, 1928; Sharma and Dunn, 1968, 1969), position of leaf in the forest canopy (Royer, 2001; Salisbury, 1928), and habitat, as well as other factors such as woodiness, stature, and ploidy level (Rowson, 1943; Woodward and Kelly, 1995). Temperature has been shown to have an effect on both SD and SI by some (Ferris et al., 1996; Wagner, 1998), and no effect on SI by others (Reddy et al., 1998). However, most plants mitigate the effect of temperature fluctuation by adjustment of the timing of leaf development, possibly negating the effect on stomatal initiation (Wagner, 1998). The apparent insensitivity of SI (vs. SD and other measures) to confounding environmental factors makes SI a powerful tool for deep time studies where such factors are unknown.

The inverse relation of SI and atmospheric CO₂ proposed by Woodward (1987) has been corroborated by many different methods. The relation has been observed by the growth of modern plants in sealed chambers at various levels of increased CO₂ (Barclay et al., 2010; Beerling et al., 1998; Beerling and Woodward, 1995, 1997; Carpenter, 2005; Ceulemans et al., 1995; Clifford et al., 1995; Haworth et al., 2011; Kürschner et al., 1998; Lomax, 2001; Malone et al., 1993; Thomas and Harvey, 1983; Woodward, 1986; Woodward and Bazzaz, 1988). In addition, the relation has been verified by the
comparison of SI in subfossil material, including, 1) collected leaves and plants growing close to a CO₂ spring (Beerling and Woodward, 1997; Jones et al., 1995), 2) cored leaf material from a single tree or several trees growing in an aquatic environment with high preservation (Kouwenberg et al., 2003; Rundgren and Beerling, 1999; van Hoof et al., 2006; Wagner et al., 1996; Wagner et al., 2005), and 3) herbarium specimens (Greenwood et al., 2003; Kouwenberg et al., 2003; Kürschner, 1996; Kürschner et al., 1996; McElwain et al., 1995; Penuelas and Matamala, 1990; Van Der Burgh et al., 1993; van Hoof et al., 2006; Wagner et al., 2005) with known CO₂ levels measured at the Mauna Loa observatory (Wagner et al., 1996) and in ice core samples (Friedli et al., 1986; Indermühle et al., 1999; Kouwenberg et al., 2003; Kürschner, 1996; Kürschner et al., 1996; McElwain et al., 1995; Neftel et al., 1985; Penuelas and Matamala, 1990; Rundgren and Beerling, 1999; Van Der Burgh et al., 1993). Finally, the relationship has been verified by comparison of modern SI measurements with Salisbury’s (1928) SI calculations (Beerling and Kelly, 1997).

Studies that utilize SI in the deep geologic past are also common due to the relative insensitivity of SI to all parameters beside CO₂. However, extant plants have been exposed to CO₂ levels of 180–280 ppm over the last 800,000+ years (Petit et al., 1999). Therefore, SI studies have often utilized plants grown in chambers at elevated CO₂. These data are combined with data from herbarium specimens to make stomatal response curves, from which transfer functions are derived. Stomatal Index from the cuticle of fossil plants is plugged into a transfer function for their closest living relative to estimate paleo-CO₂. Using this method, researchers have used SI to reconstruct atmospheric pCO₂ in the Holocene (Beerling et al., 1992; McElwain et al., 1995; Rundgren and Beerling,
1999; Wagner et al., 1999), Pleistocene (Beerling et al., 1993; Van Der Burgh et al., 1993), Pliocene (Kürschner, 1996; Kürschner et al., 1996; Van Der Burgh et al., 1993), Miocene (Kürschner et al., 2008), Eocene (Greenwood et al., 2003; McElwain, 1998), across the Cretaceous-Tertiary Boundary (Beerling et al., 2002b), Cretaceous (Barclay et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2001; Haworth et al., 2005; Passalia, 2009; Quan et al., 2009; Retallack, 2009; Wan et al., 2011), Jurassic (McElwain, 1998; McElwain and Chaloner, 1996; McElwain et al., 2005; Retallack, 2009), the Jurassic-Triassic Boundary (McElwain et al., 1999; Steinthorsdottir et al., 2011), Permian (McElwain and Chaloner, 1995; Retallack, 2009), Pennsylvanian (Cleal et al., 1999), and Devonian (Edwards et al., 1998; McElwain and Chaloner, 1995).

Though *Ginkgo* and *Metasequoia* have often been used in deep time SI studies due to their status as “living fossils” (Royer, 2003), Lauraceae are a plant family with strong potential for reconstructing changes in atmospheric CO$_2$ during the Cretaceous. Lauraceae could rival those plants’ utility, being present in most Late Cretaceous leaf assemblages from the middle latitudes. In addition, the climatic tolerances of Lauraceae (subtropical to tropical) are a much better match to the warm to hot climates of the Cretaceous than the climatic tolerances of *Ginkgo* and *Metasequoia* (temperate). Barclay et al. (2010) used transfer functions derived from extant lauraceous species (*Laurus nobilis* and *Hypodaphnis zenkeri*) to reconstruct pCO$_2$ levels for comparison to $\delta^{13}C$ around OAE2, which marks the Cenomanian-Turonian Boundary. They found that the positive $\delta^{13}C$ excursions of OAE2 were accompanied by dramatic, synchronous decreases in atmospheric pCO$_2$. In addition, Kürschner et al. (2008) used transfer functions derived from SI in the extant lauraceous species *L. nobilis* and *Ocotea foetens*
and the fossil Lauraceous species *Laurophyllum pseudoprinceps* to reconstruct pCO$_2$ in the Miocene. I have used fossil Lauraceae and the transfer functions of Barclay et al. (2010) to reconstruct atmospheric CO$_2$ levels for intervals of the Late Cretaceous and found a similar decline inferred by other proxy methods (Richey, 2011; Royer, 2010). These studies showed the potential for the use of fossil Lauraceae in the estimation of pCO$_2$ levels and interpretation of OAEs.

**Fossil Charcoal, Vitrain, and Carbon Isotopes**

Many factors control the carbon isotopic composition of C$_3$ plants (trees, shrubs forbs, lianas, and some grasses). First and foremost, the $\delta^{13}$C of plants ($\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{plant}}$) is controlled by the isotopic composition of the atmosphere (Recent $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{atm}} \approx -8.0$‰, pre-Industrial Revolution $\approx -7$‰), the source of CO$_2$ for photosynthesis. Second, it is controlled by the discrimination against the heavier carbon isotope, in the form of $^{13}$CO$_2$, during C$_3$ photosynthesis. Farquhar (1989) derived the following equation to describe the discrimination ($\Delta$) against the carbon 13 in plants,

$$\Delta = a + (b - a) \frac{p_l}{p_a}$$

[3],

where $a$ is the fractionation ($[\Delta\delta]$) during the diffusion of CO$_2$ in air though the stomatal pore ($\Delta\delta \approx 4.4$‰) (Farquhar, 1983), the diffusion of CO$_2$ in air though the boundary layer to the stomatal pore ($\Delta\delta \approx 2.9$‰) (O'Leary, 1981), and the diffusion of dissolved CO$_2$ though the aqueous cellular environment of photosynthetic cells ($\Delta\delta \approx 0.7$‰) (O'Leary, 1984), $b$ is the second, stronger, fractionation during the addition of CO$_2$ to ribulose 1,5 bisphosphate by the enzyme ribulose 1,5 bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCo), which discriminates against $^{13}$CO$_2$ for kinetic reasons ($\Delta\delta \approx 30.0$‰) (Roeske
and O’Leary, 1984), and $p_i/p_a$ is the ratio of intercellular pCO$_2$ to pCO$_2$ surrounding an individual leaf (note: this term is commonly expressed as concentrations ($c_i/c_a$) instead of pressure) (Farquhar et al., 1989; O’Leary, 1981). With unlimited CO$_2$, enzymatic fractionation is the only factor affecting $\delta^{13}C_{\text{plant}}$, and C$_3$ plants would have a $\delta^{13}C_{\text{plant}} \approx -37\%$ (Hoefs, 2009; Michener and Lajtha, 2008; O’Leary, 1981). With CO$_2$ as a limiting factor, diffusion of CO$_2$ into leaves plays more important role in fractionation, and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{plant}}$ increases to its observed range for C$_3$ of $-23$–$-34\%$ (avg. = $-27\%$) (Farquhar and Richards, 1984; Smith and Epstein, 1971).

Other factors that can cause variation in $\delta^{13}C_{\text{plant}}$ of C$_3$ biomass, such as species (Ehleringer et al., 1987), latitude/altitude (Bird et al., 1996; Bird et al., 1994; Körner et al., 1991), precipitation/humidity/soil water deficit (Lipp et al., 1991; Stewart et al., 1995), topographic position (Balesdent et al., 1993), salinity (Guy et al., 1980), and use of recycled (i.e. respired) CO$_2$ in closed forest canopies (Broadmeadow et al., 1992; Graham and Freeman, 2013; Van der Merwe and Medina, 1989; Vogel, 1978) (Bird and Ascough, 2012; Gröcke, 1998). In addition, there can be considerable variation in $\delta^{13}C$ within an individual plant. There are significant differences between the dominant components of wood, with cellulose being isotopically heavier than whole plant tissue by 1–2\% and lignin being isotopically lighter than whole plant tissue by 2–6\% (Benner et al., 1987). There is also variation between leaves and wood (3–4\%), leaves of an individual plant (up to 4\%) (Leavitt and Long, 1991), twigs and branches (2–3\%) (Leavitt and Long, 1986), and early wood and late wood (1–2\%) (Leavitt and Long, 1982).

There are also changes in the carbon isotopic composition of wood during the
process of charcoalification. Charcoal (i.e. fusain in the fossil record and inertinite as a component of coal) is the product of the incomplete combustion of plants and is indicative of wildfire (Scott, 1989, 2010; Scott and Glasspool, 2007). Though oxygen (O₂) is inherently necessary for wildfires to form, charcoal is formed in a relative lack of O₂ (pyrolysis) (Pyne et al., 1996; Scott and Jones, 1991b). This paradoxical relationship is due to the nature of plant tissue, which contains little to no O₂. While the outer plant tissue layers combust, heat penetrates the low O₂ environment of the plant interior, breaking down cellulose and releasing carbon monoxide (CO), CO₂, and CH₄, which in turn, combust, feeding the fire (Pyne et al., 1996). If this reaction ends before complete combustion can occur, charcoal is produced. The remaining charcoal shows characteristic cracking, often appearing as 1 cm³ cubes that are relatively inert and easily preserved in the fossil record, depending on depositional environment and other factors (see following paragraphs) (Scott, 2000; Scott and Glasspool, 2007; Scott and Jones, 1991a). Charcoal fragments are brittle, have a splintery/powdery fracture, are lustrous, and preserve the anatomy of the parent plant material, allowing them to be identified down to the genus and species level (Scott, 2001, 2010).

The average change in δ¹³C during charcoalification is +.36‰ – —1.24‰, with changes of up to ±2.0‰ reported (Ascough et al., 2008; Bird and Gröcke, 1997; Cachier et al., 1985; Czimczik et al., 2002; Ferrio et al., 2006; Jones and Chaloner, 1991; Jones et al., 1993; Krull et al., 2003; Leavitt et al., 1982; McParland et al., 2007; Poole et al., 2002; Turney et al., 2006). There are observable patterns in changes in δ¹³C of charcoal (δ¹³C_char) with increasing temperature during formation. There is an initially an enrichment in δ¹³C_char values up to ~100 °C, attributed to the loss of isotopically light
minor wood elements and/or the physical trapping of isotopically heavy cellulose carbon within the charcoal structure (Czimczik et al., 2002; Hakkou et al., 2006; Jones et al., 1993; Poole et al., 2002). Up to ~300°C, $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ decreases by 1–2‰ due to the preferential loss of isotopically heavy cellulose, which is much less thermally stable than lignin (Ascough et al., 2008; Benner et al., 1987; Bird and Gröcke, 1997; Cachier et al., 1985; Czimczik et al., 2002; DeNiro and Hastorf, 1985; Jones and Chaloner, 1991; Jones et al., 1993; Loader et al., 2003; Maunu, 2002; Turney et al., 2006; Williams and Besler, 1996; Xiao et al., 2001). During the preceding temperature changes, the carbon content of charcoal increases, while O$_2$ and hydrogen content decreases due to the breakdown of lignin and cellulose and formation of aromatic carbon rings (Bird and Ascough, 2012).

Above ~400 °C, polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are formed, which eventually form ordered microcrystalline structures that are chemically stable and highly decay resistant (especially in anoxic environments) (Czimczik et al., 2005; Eckmeier et al., 2007; Masiello, 2004; Preston and Schmidt, 2006). Some researchers suggest a further depletion of $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ during the formation of carbon double bonds in PAHs, though the magnitude of the depletion has not been quantified (Krull et al., 2003; Qian et al., 1992).

The studies listed above also indicate that O$_2$ levels affect $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$. They found significant differences in $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ produced in “natural” fires vs. charcoal produced in a vacuum. The lower $\delta^{13}C$ of vacuum-produced charcoal is attributed to the accelerated loss of cellulose during formation (Krull et al., 2003; Leavitt et al., 1982; Turney et al., 2006). There is also evidence of differing effects of oxygen levels depending on starting material. For example, Ascough et al. (2008) reported that O$_2$ levels affected the $\delta^{13}C$ of gymnosperm charcoal (*Pinus sylvestris*), while angiosperm charcoal (*Rhizophora*
*apiculata* was unaffected.

Though charcoal has been reported to be highly stable and decay resistant (Czimczik et al., 2005; Preston and Schmidt, 2006), oxidative weathering in the post-depositional environment can play a significant role in some cases, either by the addition of oxygen to aromatic rings in PAHs or by addition of carboxylic (COOH- and COO-) groups to PAHs (Ascough et al., 2008; Cohen-Ofri et al., 2006). This effect can be extreme, such that it can affect the overall abundance of charcoal in some localities. For example, some archeological sites, where cooking and other fires were known to occur, show a complete loss of all charcoal over a few millennia (Bird et al., 2002), while other sites show a reduction in charcoal abundance in as few as 50 years (Bird et al., 1999).

Vitrain (or vitrinite) is coalified plant material (Scott, 2010; Taylor et al., 1998). Vitrain is an important (and most abundant) component (maceral) of coal (Scott, 2002). Vitrain fragments are generally 1 mm³ in size, have a bright luster, conchoidal fracture, and, when broken, have a glassy appearance in which no anatomy of the parent plant material can be seen (Scott, 2010). The transformation of wood to vitrain begins in the peat stage, where cellulose is preferentially degraded until completely gone and lignin is preferentially preserved (Hatcher and Clifford, 1997). From there, many chemical changes occur in the remaining lignin, such as dehydroxylation, alkylation, and demethylation, among others, as the plant material moves from lignite rank to sub-bituminous rank (Hatcher and Clifford, 1997). In addition, during the peatification process, anaerobic bacteria-mediated alteration and recombination of humic material causes woody material to become gelified (Bechtel et al., 2004; Bechtel et al., 2003). This gel fills the intracellular spaces of the plant material (Scott, 2002). When the plant
material reaches the sub-bituminous rank, cellular structure is no longer visible and the material has the characteristic glassy appearance of vitrain (Hatcher et al., 1994; Scott, 2002).

While much work has been done on carbon isotopes of coal, little work has been done in relation to $\delta^{13}C$ of specific coal macerals (Rimmer et al., 2006). Because, like charcoal, vitrain is derived from plant material, it is subject to all of the factors that affect the $\delta^{13}C$ of plants (listed in detail earlier in this section). The carbon isotopic composition of coals ($\delta^{13}C_{\text{coal}}$) range from $-22$ to $-27\%o$, which falls within range reported ($-23$ to $-34\%o$) for $C_3$ vegetation (Gröcke, 1998; Rimmer et al., 2006; Whiticar, 1996). Rimmer et al. (2006) performed carbon isotope analysis on vitrain-rich coal fractions and found an average value of $-23.5\%o$, which falls in the range for fossil plants ($-23$ to $-27\%o$) and $C_3$ vegetation. However, the preferential loss of isotopically heavy cellulose and gellation by anaerobic bacteria (through bacterial carbon recycling) should theoretically make the value of vitrain isotopically light (Bechtel et al., 2004; Bechtel et al., 2003; Rimmer et al., 2006).

Rimmer et al. (2006) also performed carbon isotope analysis on inertinite-rich coal fractions and generated an average of $-23\%o$. This result agrees with that of Whiticar (1996), who found that inertinite is slightly isotopically heavier than vitrain, and that of Jones et al. (1993), who found that fusain was isotopically heavier than vitrain by 0.8–2$\%o$. It also agrees with the slight positive shift at low temperatures seen the charring experiments discussed in detail above (Czimczik et al., 2002; Hakkou et al., 2006; Poole et al., 2002). Despite this, other researchers have stated that there is little systemic difference between coalified and charcoalified wood (Hesselbo et al., 2000; Hesselbo et
Scholle and Arthur (1980) were the first researchers to suggest that $\delta^{13}C$ excursions recorded in marine carbonate could be communicated to land plants through changes in $\delta^{13}C_{atm}$. The $\delta^{13}C$ of dissolved inorganic (oceanic) carbon is thought to be related to the partitioning of global carbon between oxidized (CO$_2$/carbonate/bicarbonate) and reduced (organic) carbon (Gröcke et al., 1999). Therefore, the burial of excess isotopically-light organic carbon causes a positive excursion in $\delta^{13}C$ and a drawdown of atmospheric CO$_2$, as stated previously. Decreased dissolved and atmospheric CO$_2$ levels would lead to a decrease in fractionation in organic matter and a positive shift in $\delta^{13}C$ of organics ($\delta^{13}C_{org}$) and wood ($\delta^{13}C_{wood}$). The two factors listed above (partial pressure and $\delta^{13}C$ of atmospheric CO$_2$) work in concert to make isotopic shifts in both marine and terrestrial organic matter larger than those found in carbonate (Gröcke et al., 1999). In addition, since atmospheric CO$_2$ levels are affected by other factors (rates of weathering, depositional rate of calcium carbonate [CaCO$_3$], volcanism), shifts in $\delta^{13}C_{org}$ and carbonate isotope ($\delta^{13}C_{carb}$) curves may not be in phase with each other (Arthur et al., 1988; Arthur et al., 1985; Lini et al., 1992).

Despite the sources of variation in $\delta^{13}C_{org}$ listed above, changes in $\delta^{13}C_{org}$ (bulk organics, fossil wood, coalified wood, charcoal/fusain/inertinite, vitrain/vitrinite cuticle, etc.) have been correlated to changes in $\delta^{13}C_{carb}$ at the Triassic-Jurassic Boundary (Hesselbo et al., 2002), in the Middle Jurassic (Hesselbo et al., 2003), Toarcian (Hesselbo et al., 2000), Early Cretaceous (Gröcke, 1997; Gröcke et al., 2005; Jahren et al., 2001; Robinson and Hesselbo, 2004), Aptian through Albian (Ando and Kakegawa, 2007; Ando et al., 2002, 2003; Gröcke et al., 1999; Heimhofer et al., 2003), the ACB (Ando and
Kakegawa, 2007; Gröcke and Joeckel, 2008; Gröcke et al., 2006; Scott et al., 2013), the Cenomanian-Turonian Boundary (Hasegawa, 1997), the Late Cretaceous (Hasegawa et al., 2003), the Paleocene-Eocene Boundary (Koch et al., 1992; Stott et al., 1996), and throughout the geologic past (Beerling and Royer, 2002). Most of the examples listed above are studies of OAEs. All $\delta^{13}C_{\text{org}}$ curves show the same isotopic excursions seen in $\delta^{13}C_{\text{carb}}$ with a few exceptions. As hypothesized, excursions in $\delta^{13}C_{\text{org}}$ are often much larger and/or out of phase with $\delta^{13}C_{\text{carb}}$ (Arthur et al., 1988; Arthur et al., 1985; Gröcke et al., 1999; Lini et al., 1992). In addition, some $\delta^{13}C_{\text{org}}$ curves are missing aspects of $\delta^{13}C_{\text{carb}}$ excursions due to depositional hiatuses. Despite this, these studies show the utility of $\delta^{13}C$ of terrestrial organic matter in illuminating changes in the carbon cycle.

**The Rose Creek Pit, Southeastern Nebraska**

The Rose Creek Pit (RCP) (Figs. 1A–B) is an inactive clay pit that exposes fluvial-estuarine deposits laid down on the eastern margin of the Western Interior Seaway (WIS) (Fig. 2) (Upchurch and Dilcher, 1990). The lower section consists of mudstone, interbedded with sandstones with abundant charcoal and vitrain along the margins in the lowest portion (below the first resistant sandstone; below ~1 m), with the Rose Creek leaf beds occurring between ~1–3 m (Figs. 1B and 3). The upper section is predominantly claystone, with a lignitic layer (5.3–5.55 m) containing abundant leaves, charcoal, and vitrain and abundant sphaerosiderites and pyrite in the paleosol (6.3–6.75 m) and above (Figs. 1B and 4). The sphaerosiderites and pyrite are indicative of wetland conditions due to a change in base-level caused to a eustatic lowering of sea levels at the ACB (Gröcke et al., 2006; Haq et al., 1987; Haq and Schutter, 2008; Ludvigson et al., 1998).
The Rose Creek Pit, Southeastern Nebraska

Figure 1: The Rose Creek Pit, Southeastern Nebraska. A) Map showing the location of the Rose Creek Pit. B) Google Earth (2013) satellite image of the RCP Locality, with locations of 2012 and 2013 sampling labeled. GPS coordinates were recorded at the center of RCP.
The locality has been the site of palynological dating. Based on this analysis, RCP was originally dated as Cenomanian (Farley and Dilcher, 1986). Subsequent analysis by Robert Ravn found definitive Upper Albian palynomorphs (*Disaltriangulisporites perplexus* and *Podocarpidites multesusim*) low in the section and definitive Lower Cenomanian palynomorphs (*Foveogleicheniidites confossus* and *Artilopollis indivisus*) high in the section (Fig. 5) (Gröcke et al., 2006). This indicates that RCP preserves the ACB, the D2 sequence boundary defined in Brenner et al. (2000), and OAE1d (Fig. 5) (Gröcke and Joeckel, 2008; Gröcke et al., 2006).

The Rose Creek Pit also preserves an abundant record of plant macrofossils and dispersed cuticle related to Lauraceae and other families (Figs. 3–4). Perhaps the best known plant fossil from RCP is the Rose Creek flower, which represents the oldest
Figure 3: Lower Section at the Rose Creek Pit, 2012. The section was measured by Matt Joeckel, Greg Ludvigson, John Smith, Garland Upchurch, and Jon Richey in August 2012. From right to left, this figure features: 1) Measurement of the section in meters, starting at 0 m and ending at 4.5 m, 2) Lithology of the section, 3) Rough approximation of the location of plant fossils and minerals of note at RCP, 4) Description of the color of sediments within a lithological unit, 5) Features of lithological units.
Figure 4: Upper Section at the Rose Creek Pit, 2012. The section was measured by M. Joeckel, G. Ludvigson, J. Smith, G. Upchurch, and J. Richey in August 2012. From right to left, this figure features: 1) Measurement of the section in meters, starting at 4.5 m and ending at 9 m, 2) Lithology of the section, 3) Rough approximation of the location of plant fossils and minerals of note at RCP, 4) Description of the color of sediments within a lithological unit, 5) Features of lithological units.
known eudicot flower of the clade Pentapetalae (Basinger and Dilcher, 1984; Cantino et al., 2007). The systematic affinities of the RCP leaf and cuticle flora is well established, because it is one of the few Cretaceous leaf macrofloras to be monographed using modern methods of foliar architecture and cuticular anatomy (Upchurch and Dilcher, 1990).

The Rose Creek locality also preserves charcoal and vitrain throughout the section and has been subject to detailed chemostratigraphic analysis (Figs. 3–4). Gröcke et al. (2006) produced $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ and $\delta^{13}C$ of bulk organics ($\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$) curves (Fig. 8B) that were correlated with a $\delta^{13}C$ curve of foraminifera ($\delta^{13}C_{\text{foram}}, Rotalipora$ spp.) recovered at Ocean Drilling Project (ODP) site 1052 (Fig. 8G) (Wilson and Norris, 2001). The negative excursion found by Wilson and Norris (2001) during OAE1d was also seen in the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$, but the subsequent positive excursion was missing (Fig. 8A). This was interpreted as a $\approx$0.5 million year (Myr) depositional hiatus due to a global marine regressive event documented at the ACB (Gröcke et al., 2006). Gröcke and Joeckel (2008) later confirmed the reproducibility of the Gröcke et al. (2006) $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curves with two additional $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curves from sediment cores collected from within several meters of the RCP section face (Rose Creek Pit Upper Core [RCP UC]) and from $\sim$2.6 km SSW of RCP (Core 13A05) (Fig. 8E). These core data were compared to the data of Gröcke et al. (2006) by aligning the beginning of the negative excursion. Both of these core $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curves reproduced the negative excursion of Gröcke et al. (2006) and Wilson and Norris (2001) (Fig. 8E).

An important concern about the use of material collected at the RCP in $\delta^{13}C$ analysis is the possible marine influence at the locality. *Brachidontes* bivalves have been
recovered at RCP within the leaf beds, including one specimen in life position (Retallack and Dilcher, 1981). In addition, pyrite nodules are common, and there is evidence of rapid sediment deposition and polymodal crossbedding near RCP (Farley and Dilcher, 1986). This evidence suggests marine influence and higher-than-freshwater levels of salinity at RCP. This fits with the hypothesis of Hattin (1967) of extensive coastal swamps along the margins of the Western Interior Seaway during the Cretaceous. This poses a problem as salinity can have a significant effect on $\delta^{13}C_{\text{plant}}$ (Guy et al., 1980).

Evidence for this conclusion can be found at RCP. The leaf beds and dispersed cuticle of this locality are dominated by angiosperms (Upchurch and Dilcher, 1990). In fact, no gymnosperm cuticle has been observed in our section at RCP, despite the large amounts of cuticle isolated for this study. However, when I inspected fossil charcoal isolated at RCP, I found gymnosperm charcoal to be dominant, with some angiosperm charcoal present. These observations are consistent with facies analysis of Retallack and Dilcher (1981) and indicate that angiosperms grew in and around the depositional environment at RCP, while gymnosperms inhabited higher ground such as levees and the dry floodplains of the hinterland and were transported to RCP because charcoal floats, takes a great deal of time to waterlog, and has dispersal distances much greater than that of leaves. Therefore, for isotopic work at RCP, it is essential to perform the analysis on gymnosperm charcoal.
II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

On August 1–4, 2012, the RCP section was measured, and bulk sediment samples were collected at 30cm intervals throughout the ~9–10 m of exposed section at RCP by Jon Richey, Dr. Garland R. Upchurch, Dr. Matt Joeckel (University of Nebraska), Dr. Greg Ludvigson, and Dr. John Smith (Kansas Geological Survey) (Figs. 3–4). In addition, I made a second trip in 2013 to re-measure and verify the 2012 section (with the help of Dr. Matt Joeckel) and collected macro-charcoal samples where they occurred in the section in the manner of Gröcke et al. (2006). These samples were collected at the same interval as the collections of Gröcke et al. (2006), but the upper part of the section, in particular, was taken at a different position in the pit and shows significant differences to upper part of the Gröcke et al. (2006) section (Figs. 1, 3–5).

The lower part of the section was measured at the western end of the south wall of RCP, at approximately the same position of the lower part of the Gröcke et al. (2006) section (Figs. 1B and 5). However, the Gröcke et al. (2006) section began at the top of the resistant sandstone floor of the pit, while the section in this study began at the base of the vertical exposure, leading to a slight offset between the two sections (Fig. 5). For instance, a thin resistant sandstone layer, marking the bottom of the RCP leaf beds, is at ~1.1–1.2 m in the Gröcke et al. (2006) section (Fig. 5) and at ~1–1.04 m in the 2012 section, indicating an ~0.1 m offset. Also, the 2012 lower section was 4.5 m in height, while the Gröcke et al. (2006) lower section was 7 m (Fig. 5).

The upper section from Gröcke et al. (2006) was measured on the east end of the south wall of the pit (Figs. 1B and 5). However, this portion of the pit has undergone significant weathering in the 12 years between the measuring of the two sections.
Figure 5: Comparison of the Lower and Upper Sections of This Study and the Lower and Upper Sections of Gröcke et al. (2006). The section of Gröcke et al. (2006) was measured by Stuart Robinson, Darren Gröcke, G. Ludvigson, and M. Joeckel in October 2002. The gray lines connect resistant sandstones and carbonaceous beds and the black line connects the ACB in Gröcke et al. (2006) to the approximate location of the ACB in the section from this study (Note: the upper lignite in Gröcke et al. (2006) section corresponds to a carbonaceous mudstone in my section). The stars show the location of palynological analysis by Gröcke et al. (2006).
collections for Gröcke et al. (2006) took place in 2002) and a large gully has formed near where the Gröcke et al. (2006) upper section was measured. Due to this, the 2012 upper section was measured 3–4 m north, on the east end of the north wall of the pit (i.e. on the opposite side of the gully) (Fig. 1B). The upper section of the RCP features two carbonaceous layers. In the Gröcke et al. (2006) section, there are lignites at ~5.2–5.6 m and ~6.1–7.6 m, respectively. In the 2012 section, there is a lignite at 5.3–5.55 m that corresponds to the lower lignite in Gröcke et al. (2006). However, in my section the second carbonaceous layer (6.75–7.35 m) was found to be carbonaceous claystone (Fig. 5). This discrepancy is most likely due to lateral variation in facies. In addition, the upper part of RCP contains 2 thin layers of very fine sandstone. In the Gröcke et al. (2006) section, they are found at ~7.5–7.65 m and 7.8–8.15 m. In the 2012 section, they are at 7.9–7.97 m and 8.2–8.28 m (fig. 5). This is most likely due to lateral variation in the thickness of individual lithologies, as both the thicknesses of those listed above and the sediment that separate them vary. During the return trip I made to RCP in 2013, I attempted to reconcile the differences in the 2012 section and the section of Gröcke et al. (2006). I verified that the 2012 section was accurate and the sections of Gröcke et al. (2006) and this study are similar enough to make comparisons of the individual geochemistry curves (Fig. 5). In summary, the discrepancies between the Gröcke et al. (2006) section and my section probably result from: 1) the difference in height of the two component sections, and 2) the difference in location of the two upper sections.

Gröcke and Joeckel (2008) later generated two additional δ^{13}C_{bulk} curves from sediment cores collected by the University of Nebraska-Lincoln Conservation and Survey Division in 2004 within several meters of the RCP section face (Rose Creek Pit Upper
Core [RCP UC, Fig. 1B] and ~2.6 km SSW of RCP (Core 13A05). These core data were compared to those of Gröcke et al. (2006) by aligning the beginning of the negative excursion and will be compared to this study by the same means.

For this study, bulk sediment samples were collected at ~0.3 m intervals throughout the 9 m pit face at RCP. Bulk sediment samples were restricted to the width of the chisel edge rock hammer (~2.5 cm) to minimize time-averaging. In addition to those samples, samples were collected without the 2.5 cm restriction when larger samples were needed to isolate sufficient amounts of cuticle and charcoal for analysis. For each sample, ~300–1000 g of sediment were disaggregated by soaking in 10% hydrochloric acid (HCl) overnight, repeated rinsing until neutral, and treatment by a saturated solution of sodium pyrophosphate with a few milliliters of 30% hydrogen peroxide added. Cuticle, charcoal, and vitrain were isolated and separated using 90 and 500 µm sieves. Dispersed cuticle was macerated in various combinations of 10% chromium trioxide, household bleach, and/or sodium pyrophosphate, and mounted in glycerin jelly. Cuticle was observed with a Zeiss Photoscope I microscope using brightfield optics and photographed with a Cannon Eos Digital Rebel XSi camera (12-megapixel resolution) at 200x magnification. In cases where cuticle was highly folded and/or degraded, image stacks were made using CombineZP© and were manipulated to improve contrast using Adobe Photoshop® CS6 (Adobe®, 2012; Hadley, 2010). ImageJ© was used to count epidermal cells and stomata for calculating SI (Rasband, 1997). The target species was Pandemophyllum kvacekii (Fig. 6A), but morphotypes were established for all lauraceous cuticles (Figs. 7A and 7B) and these morphotypes were counted. Pabiania variloba (Fig. 6B), whose systematic affinities are with Lauraceae, Hernandiaceae, and related families
Species Used in Stomatal Index Analysis.

Figure 6A: Species Used in Stomatal Index analysis. Cuticle of *P. kvacekii* collected at RCP (125x, scale = 50µm). This cuticle features brachyparacytic to hemiamphibrachyparacytic to amphibrachyparacytic stomata, spindle-shaped embedded guard cells, and scale-shaped thickening that do not extend to the full length of the guard cells. The scale shaped thickenings are generally best developed where the inner subsidiary cells meet the guard cells. Stomatal complexes of *P. kvacekii* also have embedded subsidiary cells below the inner pair of subsidiary cells (black arrows). Some specimens also have trichome bases with heavily cutinized angular to round pores and radially aligned surrounding cells.

Figure 6B: Species Used in Stomatal Index analysis. Cuticle of *P. variloba* collected at RCP (125x, scale = 50µm). This cuticle features brachypancytic stomata, but also has rare laterocytic, hemiparacytic, and cyclopetal stomata. Some specimens have lamellar shaped thickenings that do not extend the full length of the guard cells, others are missing this feature. Trichome bases are rarely present. Specimens with trichome bases have heavily-cutinized pores and some associated cells.
**Pandemophyllum** Morphotypes Used in Stomatal Index Analysis.

Figure 7A: *Pandemophyllum* Morphotypes Used in Stomatal Index Analysis. Cuticle of Morphotype 5 collected at RCP (125x, scale = 50µm). This cuticle features brachyparacytic to hemiamphibrachyparacytic to amphibrachyparacytic stomata, spindle-shaped embedded guard cells, and scale-shaped thickening that mostly do not extend to the full length of the guard cells. This species also has common trichome bases with heavily cutinized angular to round pores and radially aligned surrounding cells in most bases (black arrow). These features are identical to *P. kvacekii*. However, Morphotype 5 has much thicker lamellar-shaped thickenings that are thickest on the inner and outer edges.

Figure 7B: *Pandemophyllum* Morphotypes Used in Stomatal Index Analysis. Cuticle of Morphotype 8 collected at RCP (125x, scale = 50µm). This cuticle shared all the features listed for *P. kvacekii* and Morphotype 5. It has lamellar-shaped thickenings similar to Morphotype 5. The most notable difference between Morphotype 5 and the other *Pandemophyllum* species/morphotypes is that no trichome bases were observed in this species and that the guard cells and inner subsidiary cells are often missing (“stomatal punchouts”[Upchurch, Pers. Comm.], black arrow).
of Laurales, was abundant throughout the section and also was counted (Upchurch and Dilcher, 1990). Overall SI was calculated by taking the average of all lauraceous cuticles counted in each sampling interval, excluding *P. variloba*. In addition, SI (Eq. 2) was calculated for each morphotypes and *P. variloba*. This allowed me to determine the extent to which taxonomy influenced my results given that SI is species specific. A total of 5000 cells were counted in each individual cuticle, when possible, because this is the number of cells where variation in SI was decreased. A total of 172,812 epidermal cells were counted among all samples.

Transfer functions derived from modern and fossil lauraceous species were used to estimate CO$_2$ levels from SI calculated in this study (Barclay et al., 2010; Kürschner et al., 2008). Kürschner et al. (2008) derived an equation to predict Miocene CO$_2$ using the fossil lauraceous species *Laurophyllum pseudoprinceps* (Eq. 4). To do this, Kürschner et al. (2008) first calculated stomatal response curves from the modern species *Laurus nobilis* and *Ocotea foetens* using herbarium specimens and historic CO$_2$ concentrations. They then cross-calibrated *Laurophyllum pseudoprinceps* with these two extant species of Lauraceae and *Ginkgo biloba* in strata were they co-occur. The *L. pseudoprinceps* equation is,

\[
CO_2 = -46.011 \times SI_f + 993.37
\]  

[4],

where SI$_f$ = SI from fossil lauraceous cuticle. In order to assess the range of possible CO$_2$ values using the Kürschner et al. (2008) transfer function, the standard deviation (SD) of the average *Pandemophyllum* SI was calculated when possible and SI ± one SD was inserted into the equation above.
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Barclay et al. (2010) used herbarium specimens of *Hypodaphnis zenkeri* and the *L. nobilis* data of Kürschner et al. (2008) to create two new transfer functions to predict CO$_2$ during OAE2 using fossil lauraceous cuticle (Eq 5–6). This resulted in the following relationship for *L. nobilis*,

$$\text{CO}_2 = (-168.39 \times \ln[\text{SI}_f]) + 790.93$$  \[5\],

and this relationship for *H. zenkeri*,

$$\text{CO}_2 = (-27.447 \times \text{SI}_f) + 559.67$$  \[6\].

Barclay et al. (2010) also derived separate ± 95% confidence interval (CI) equations to estimate the error in the statistical relationship between SI and CO$_2$ for modern species (Eq 7–10). For *L. nobilis* they are,

$$-95\% \text{ CI} = (-9.3347 \times \text{SI}_f) + 467.28$$  \[7\]

$$+95\% \text{ CI} = (0.9177 \times [\text{SI}_f]^2) - (42.578 \times \text{SI}_f) + 777.21$$  \[8\],

and for *H. zenkeri* they are,

$$-95\% \text{ CI} = (-10.279 \times [\text{SI}_f]^2) + (132.43 \times \text{SI}_f) - 64.375$$  \[9\]

$$+95\% \text{ CI} = (19.634 \times [\text{SI}_f]^2) + (401.15 \times \text{SI}_f) + 2341.8$$  \[10\].

Carbon isotope values were measured using fossil gymnosperm charcoal ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{gym}}$) and vitrain ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{vit}}$). Charcoal and vitrain were separated from cuticle and other organics by swirling each sample in H$_2$O and pouring off the cuticle until only charcoal and vitrain remained. Charcoal in each sample was inspected under a stereomicroscope, and angiosperm charcoal was separated from gymnosperm charcoal. This was not possible with vitrain isolated at RCP, because vitrain does not preserve anatomy. Angiosperm charcoal was not used to construct the isotopic curve. This is because, at certain levels in the section, the $\delta^{13}$C of angiosperm charcoal was 2–3‰ more negative.
than the $\delta^{13}C$ of gymnosperm charcoal, while at other levels there was no difference. The lower $\delta^{13}C$ of angiosperms at certain stratigraphic levels most likely reflects greater hydraulic conductance, which increases stomatal conductance and $p_{v}/p_{a}$ (Eq. 3), and therefore, lowers $\delta^{13}C$ and Water Use Efficiency (WUE) (McCarroll and Loader, 2004).

As reviewed earlier, many factors (irradiance, nutrient availability, water stress, salinity, taxonomy, etc.) affect the $\delta^{13}C$ signal from plants. In this study, salinity is a particular concern due to the marine influence at the RCP locality. To minimize the effect of these environmental factors on $\delta^{13}C$, charcoal and vitrain samples were sorted according to size, and the smallest fraction was homogenized for analysis. This was done because it has been suggested that homogenized organic matter from a sufficiently large area represents a regional $\delta^{13}C$ signal, which dampens local environmental effects (Ando and Kakegawa, 2007; Hasegawa, 2003).

Charcoal and vitrain samples were placed in 3M HCl to remove any carbonates present, and heated to 60°C to remove pyritic compounds. Isotope values were calculated using a Costech Elemental Analyzer© located in the lab of Dr. Marina Suarez at the University of Texas at San Antonio. This machine works by combusting material at 1000°C, to produce CO$_2$ gas, which is then analyzed via continuous-flow isotope ratio mass spectrometry on a ThermoFinnigan Delta + XP. Carbon isotope values are measured vs. internal working gas and calibrated using international standards with external precision of ±0.06‰.
III. RESULTS

Geochemistry

Carbon isotope values ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{char}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{bulk}}$) from across Hwy. 15 from RCP indicate that pre-excursion values were $\sim -23$ to $-23.7\%$ (avg. = $-23.38\%$) (Fig. 8B) (Gröcke et al., 2006). In this study, at 0 m in the RCP section (i.e. immediately above the resistant sandstone floor), $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{gym}}$ begins at $-25.15\%$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{vit}}$ begins at $-24.74\%$ (Fig. 8C). Between 0.9 m and 1.2 m, both curves are most negative, with a depletion of 1.2\% in $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{gym}}$ and 1.47\% in $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{vit}}$ compared to the samples at 0 m, and a depletion of 2.96\% in $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{gym}}$ and 2.83\% in $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{vit}}$ compared to the Gröcke et al. (2006) pre-excursion samples (Fig. 8D). In both curves, the negative $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ excursion ends (i.e. the last negative value before $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ returns to $\sim$per-excursion values) at $\sim$3.3–3.6 m. Vitrain $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values hover at or slightly above pre-excursion values through the rest of the section.

However, in the $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{gym}}$ curve, there in a slight positive excursion, with samples more positive that pre-excursion values at 4.5 m (+0.56\%), 5.1 m (+2.27\%), and 5.4 m (+0.92\%), with values at or above pre-excursion values between those samples (Fig. 8C).

From this slight positive enrichment, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{gym}}$ hovers at or around pre-excursion values throughout the final 3.5 m of section.

The $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{gym}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{vit}}$ curves are remarkably similar during many portions of the section at RCP. Values at 0–0.3 m are closely grouped ($-24.74$ to $-25.55\%$) and both curves are most negative at 0.9–1.2 m (Fig. 8C). In addition, the duration of the negative excursions are similar in length and both show a slight enrichment in values during th
Figure 8. Chemostratigraphy of the Rose Creek Pit Area, Compared to Wilson and Norris (2001). The brown line is the ACB. The blue box marks the negative excursion. A) The RCP section from this study. B) δ^{13}C_char (red squares) and δ^{13}C_bulk (black circles) from RCP and across NE Hwy 15 (Gröcke et al., 2006). C) δ^{13}C_gym (blue triangles) and δ^{13}C_vit (orange hexagons) from RCP. D) Data from fig. 3B and 3C combined. E) Data from fig. 3B and 3C combined with δ^{13}C_bulk from cores drilled close to RCP (RCP UC, maroon right-facing triangles and Core 13A05, green left-facing triangles) (Gröcke and Jöckel, 2008). F) Scatterplot of all Southeastern Nebraska geochemistry (black boxes). Red line is a 3-pt. moving average. (Gröcke and Jöckel, 2008; Gröcke et al., 2006). G) δ^{8}C_foram (Rotalipora spp.) isolated from ODP Site 1052, Blake Nose, western Atlantic. The black bar indicates occurrence of black shale (Wilson and Norris, 2001).
overall negative excursion at 1.8 m. Also, values between 6.6–9 m are very similar and show the same general trend. The largest difference between the sections is the slight enrichment in \(\delta^{13}C_{gym}\) from 4.5–5.4 m.

As stated earlier, \(\delta^{13}C_{char}\) and \(\delta^{13}C_{bulk}\) samples from across Hwy. 15 from RCP indicate that pre-excursion values were \(-23\) to \(-23.7\‰\) (Gröcke et al., 2006) (Fig. 8B). Gröcke et al. (2006) collected charcoal below the resistant sandstone floor at RCP. Charcoal \(\delta^{13}C\) values from this interval shows an enrichment (\(+1.5\‰\)) before the negative excursion begins. Bulk samples began at the floor of RCO and, therefore, \(\delta^{13}C_{bulk}\) does not capture this enrichment (Fig. 3). At the end of the slight enrichment in the \(\delta^{13}C_{char}\) curve, and at the level of the sandstone on the floor of RCP or slightly above, \(\delta^{13}C_{bulk}\) undergoes a rapid negative excursion, going from \(-24.14\‰\) to its most negative value \(-26.28\‰\) at \(-0.44\) m (Fig. 8B). Charcoal \(\delta^{13}C\) values also shows a negative excursion of \(-2.31\‰\), but the onset of the negative excursion is delayed relative to the \(\delta^{13}C_{bulk}\) curve and the \(\delta^{13}C_{gym}\) and \(\delta^{13}C_{vit}\) curves. However, this appears to be a relative lack of data during the negative excursion, as the delayed shift is due to a single data point at 0.9 m, which is \(-2.5–3\‰\) higher than any data point. Gröcke and Joeckel (2008) suggested the possibility that that particular sample could be reworked from older sediments and not representative of the true \(\delta^{13}C\) during that interval. In the Gröcke et al. (2006) \(\delta^{13}C_{char}\) curve, the most negative value is found at 2.2 m (Fig. 5B).

The duration of the negative excursion in the \(\delta^{13}C_{char}\) and \(\delta^{13}C_{bulk}\) in the Gröcke et al. (2006) study is \(-3.64\) m and \(-3.88\) m, respectively, a duration similar to that of \(\delta^{13}C_{gym}\) and \(\delta^{13}C_{vit}\) in of this study (3.3–3.6 m) (Fig. 8D). From that level, \(\delta^{13}C_{char}\) and \(\delta^{13}C_{bulk}\) values hover at or slightly above pre-excursion values, similar to the \(\delta^{13}C_{gym}\) and
$\delta^{13}C_{\text{vit}}$ curves of this study with a few exceptions. However, there are a few discrepancies between our curves (Fig. 5). First, Gröcke et al. (2006) found or collected no bulk organic matter or charcoal between 6.6–8.6 m due to a paleosol in that interval, which they hypothesized was due to a ~0.5 Myr depositional hiatus caused by a regressive event at the ACB (Fig. 8B and D). They further hypothesized that the absence of the positive phase of the excursion at RCP indicated a depositional hiatus in the interval of the paleosol (Gröcke et al., 2006). Second, several $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ values high up in the section were enriched relative to pre-excursion values. In the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ curve, those enriched values were found at 4.41 m (+0.41‰), 5.27 m (+0.74‰), and 6.64 m (+0.53‰), and in the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curve, they were found at 5.58 m (+0.78‰) and 6.05 m (+0.69‰). The interval in which the enriched values appear in the Gröcke et al. (2006) $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curves (4.41–6.64 m) overlaps the interval where enriched values occur in the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{gym}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{vit}}$ curves (4.5–5.4 m) (Figs. 8B and D).

The RCP UC and Core 13A05 $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curves from Gröcke and Joeckel (2008) also show a high level of similarity to the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{gym}}$, $\delta^{13}C_{\text{vit}}$, $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$, and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curves of this study and Gröcke et al. (2006), despite being collected in different locations and being compared to the other curves by aligning the negative excursion (Fig. 8E). During the lowest part of the two core $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curves, there is a distinct offset in average $\delta^{13}C$ values of ~1‰, which was attributed to possible differing organic inputs and/or preservation between the two cores (Gröcke and Joeckel, 2008). Despite this, both core curves show the enrichment seen in the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ curve of Gröcke et al. (2006). The enrichment is ~1.4‰ for the RCP UC core and ~1‰ for Core 13A05, similar to the enrichment in the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ curve of Gröcke et al. (2006) (~1.5‰) (Fig. 8E). Following
this enrichment, both core curves show rapid negative excursions. For the Core 13A05
$\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curve, the magnitude of the negative excursion is $\sim 2.4\%$, while the RCP UC
$\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curve shows a negative excursion of $\sim 2.0\%$. This is similar to the magnitude of
the negative excursions in the other $\delta^{13}C$ curves ($2.14$–$2.96\%$) (Fig. 8E). For the Core
13A05 $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curve, the duration of the negative excursion ($\sim 3$ m) is similar to the
duration of the negative excursion in the other curves ($3.3$–$3.88$ m). However, the
duration of the negative excursion for the RCP UC $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curve is not known, as that
curve does not contain a return to pre-excursion value, meaning it does not capture the
full negative excursion (Fig. 8E).

When the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{gym}}, \delta^{13}C_{\text{vits}}, \delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}, \text{and } \delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ data of this study, Gröcke et al.
(2006), and Gröcke and Joeckel (2008) are plotted as a scatterplot with a 3-point moving
average, the trends discussed above are clear (Fig. 8F). The pre-excursion values from
samples collected across Hwy. 15 from RCP hover around $\sim 23.4\%$. From there, there
appears to be a slight negative shift, but this is an artifact of the offset between the
absolute $\delta^{13}C$ values from RCP UC and Core 13A05. Despite this, the enrichment before
the negative is captured in the 3-point moving average, though the magnitude to the
enrichment is dampened because of the offset between values from the two cores (Fig.
5F). Following the enrichment, the rapid negative excursion occurs, with the 3-point
moving average moving from $\sim 23\%$ at $\sim 0.1$ m to $\sim 26.1\%$ at $\sim 0.46$ m. The duration of
the negative excursion is $\sim 3.3$ m (Fig. 8F). From there, the curve hovers at or slightly
above pre-excursion values throughout the negative excursion. The slight enrichment
seen in some $\delta^{13}C_{\text{gym}}, \delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}, \text{and } \delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ data points of this study and Gröcke et al.
(2006) from 4.41–6.64 m is not evident in the 3-point moving because there are only
four enriched data points interspersed among many data points in the interval that are at or slightly more negative than pre-excursion values (Fig. 8F).

Gröcke et al. (2006) related the negative excursion in their $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curves to the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{foram}}$ curve (Rotalipora spp. isolated from ODP Site 1052, Blake Nose, western Atlantic) of Wilson and Norris (2001) (Fig. 8G). The sedimentary duration of the negative excursion in SE Nebraska (avg. $\approx 2.21\%$ over $\sim 3.3$ m of section) corresponds to a $\sim 1.4\%$ negative excursion in $\delta^{13}C_{\text{foram}}$, spanning $\sim 1$ m of pelagic marine sediment.

**Stomatal Index and CO$_2$**

At the beginning of the negative excursion, average SI for Pandemophyllum is $\sim 8.2$ (Fig. 9C). Among all $\delta^{13}C$ curves, the sedimentary duration of the negative excursion is $\sim 3.3$ m, with the exception of the RCPU core, where it is impossible to know if the full negative excursion has been sampled (Figs. 9A and B). Stomatal Index changes during the negative excursion in $\delta^{13}C$ with a gradual decrease from $\sim 8.2$ to 5.1, though this trend is not constant (Fig. 9C). After the initial drop in SI from 8.2 to 6.15, SI suddenly rises back to 8.3 at $\sim 1.2$ m, then continues its descent, with another small rise in values $\sim 1.8$ m. The duration of this gradual decrease in SI is the same as the duration of the negative isotopic excursion ($\sim 3.3$ m). Average SI tracks the return to pre-excursion values by rising to $\sim 7.97$. Following this, there is an interval where no cuticle samples were recovered (4.5–5.35 m). At 5.35 m (the lignite layer), average SI drops to 7.57. No cuticle samples were recovered above 5.35 m at RCP, despite processing large quantities of sample (Fig. 9C). The gradual decrease in SI during the negative $\delta^{13}C$ excursion, as
Figure 9: Carbon Isotopes and Stomatal Index from Rose Creek Pit, Southeastern Nebraska.  
A) Scatterplot of all SE Nebraska geochemistry ($\delta^{13}C_{\text{gym}}, \delta^{13}C_{\text{vit}}, \delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}, \delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$; black open boxes).  Red line is a 3-point moving average. (Gröcke and Joekel, 2008; Gröcke et al., 2006). B) $\delta^{13}C_{\text{gym}}$ (blue triangles) and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{vit}}$ (orange hexagons) from RCP. C) Average SI of all cuticles counted in each sample, excluding $P$. variloba (solid black boxes). Error bars = 1 SD. D) SI from Pandemophyllum kvacekii (red circles) and Pandemophyllum morphotypes 5 (green diamonds) and 8 (blue right-facing triangles) in each sample. Error Bars = 1 SD. E) SI from $P$. variloba (black downward-facing triangles). Error bars = 1 SD.
well as the brief increases in SI during the overall trend of decrease, and the following rise in SI values as $\delta^{13}C$ returns to pre-excursion values is seen in $P. kvacekii$, the *Pandemophyllum* morphotypes and $P. variloba$ SI curves (Figs. 9D–E). However, subtle differences exist between the SI curves, particularly when the *Pandemophyllum* morphotypes are compared to $P. variloba$.

As stated, after the initial drop in SI low in the RCP section, SI briefly rises back to values approximately equal to those at 0 m (Fig. 9C). This is seen in $P. kvacekii$ and morphotype 5, which are the only *Pandemophyllum* morphotypes found from 0–2.4 m (Fig. 9D). In $P. variloba$, SI initially rises slightly from ~10.51 to ~11.51, and then shows a much greater decrease in SI values (to ~7.86) than the *Pandemophyllum* morphotypes (Fig. 9E). *Pabiania* also shows the increase in values (to ~8.48) following the initial decrease, but does not increase back to the values at 0 m like the *Pandemophyllum* morphotypes (Figs. 9D–E). In addition, while the *Pandemophyllum* morphotypes continue to decrease throughout the negative excursion, $P. variloba$ shows a second increase in SI values to ~8.01 (Figs. 9D–E). All three *Pandemophyllum* morphotypes show the return to values similar to those low in the section as $\delta^{13}C$ returns to pre-excursion values (Fig. 9D). No *P. variloba* samples were isolated above 3.6 m in the section, so the return to higher values is not captured (Fig. 9E).

At 0 m in the RCP section (i.e. the approximate beginning of the negative excursion), the Barclay et al. (2010) *L. nobilis* (Eq. 5) transfer function gives an inferred CO$_2$ level of 437 ppm ($\pm 95\%$ CI [Eq. 7–8] = 391–490 ppm) and the *H. zenkeri* (Eq. 6) transfer function gives an inferred CO$_2$ level of 334 ppm ($\pm 95\%$ CI [Eq. 9–10] = 330–372 ppm) (Fig. 10B). The Kürschner et al. (2008) *L. pseudoprinceps* (Eq. 4) gives
Figure 10: Carbon Isotopes and pCO₂ from Rose Creek Pit, Southeastern Nebraska. A) Scatterplot of all SE Nebraska geochemistry (δ¹³C_Chan, δ¹³C_Vis, δ¹³C_Bulk; black open boxes). Red line is a 3-point moving average (Gröcke and Joeckel, 2008; Gröcke et al., 2006). B) pCO₂ estimates from transfer functions derived by Barclay et al. (2010) from extant lauraceous species Laurus nobilis (maroon hexagons) and Hypodaphnis zenkeri (green pentagons). Error bar = 95% CI equations also derived by Barclay et al. (2010). C) pCO₂ estimates from a transfer function derived by Kürschner et al. (2008) from the extinct lauraceous species Laurophyllum pseudoprinceps cross calibrated with Miocene CO₂ inferred from three extant species (Laurus abchasica, Ocotea hradekensis, and Ginkgo biloba). Error bars = SI plus SD (in samples SD was calculated) used in the L. pseudoprinceps equation.
an inferred CO₂ level of 616 ppm (Fig. 10C). At the end of the negative excursion (~3.3 m), CO₂ levels reach their highest value. The Barclay et al. (2010) *L. nobilis* transfer function gives an inferred CO₂ level of 515 ppm (±95% CI = 419–582 ppm) and the *H. zenkeri* transfer function gives an inferred CO₂ level of 419 ppm (±95% CI = 345–800 ppm) (Fig. 10B). The Kürschner et al. (2008) *L. pseudoprinceps* gives an inferred CO₂ level of 757 ppm. CO₂ responds to the return to pre-excursion values (~4.5 m) by, likewise, returning to the approximate values seen at 0 m (Fig. 10C). The Barclay et al. (2010) *L. nobilis* transfer function gives an inferred CO₂ level of 441 ppm (±95% CI = 393–496 ppm) and the *H. zenkeri* transfer function gives an inferred CO₂ level of 340 ppm (±95% CI = 338–392 ppm) (Fig. 10B). The Kürschner et al. (2008) *L. pseudoprinceps* gives an inferred CO₂ level of 626 ppm (SI ± SD = 612–641 ppm) (Fig. 10C). Finally, at the level of the lignite, CO₂ increases slightly. The Barclay et al. (2010) *L. nobilis* transfer function gives an inferred CO₂ level of 450 ppm (±95% CI = 397–508 ppm) and the *H. zenkeri* transfer function gives an inferred CO₂ level of 352 ppm (±95% CI = 349–431 ppm) (Fig. 10B). The Kürschner et al. (2008) *L. pseudoprinceps* gives an inferred CO₂ level of 645 ppm (SI ± SD = 608–682 ppm) (Fig. 10C).
IV. DISCUSSION

The $\delta^{13}C_{\text{gym}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{vit}}$ curves generated in this study match the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curves of Gröcke et al. (2006), the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curves generated from sediment cores (RCP UC and Core 13A05) of Gröcke and Joeckel (2008) (Fig. 8E), and the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{foram}}$ curve of Wilson and Norris (2001) (Fig. 8G). The $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{vit}}$ curves capture the negative excursion seen in the $\delta^{13}C_{\text{char}}$ and $\delta^{13}C_{\text{bulk}}$ curves from RCP and surrounding areas (Fig. 8E) (Gröcke and Joeckel, 2008; Gröcke et al., 2006), as well as marine $\delta^{13}C$ curves from bulk rock/carbonate (Fig. 8G) (Bornemann et al., 2005; Phelps, 2012; Reichelt, 2005; Watkins et al., 2005; Wilson and Norris, 2001). This, along with the work of many other researchers (see the “Fossil Charcoal, Vitrain, and Carbon Isotopes” sub-section in chapter I), verifies the original assertion of Scholle and Arthur (1980) that $\delta^{13}C$ excursions recorded in marine carbonate could be communicated to land plants through changes in $\delta^{13}C_{\text{atm}}$. Furthermore, the gradual return to pre-excursion values is captured (Fig. 8E). I was able to recover charcoal and vitrain samples at position of paleosol, located between 6.6–8.6 m in the Gröcke et al. (2006) section. These show a $\delta^{13}C$ comparable to that of underlying samples that post-date the negative excursion.

SI from RCP cuticle (*P. kvacekii*, *P. variloba*, and related morphotypes) (Figs. 9C–E) and atmospheric CO$_2$ (Figs. 10B–C) correspond to changes in $\delta^{13}C$ in the general manner found by other researchers (Barclay et al., 2010; McElwain et al., 2005). During the negative $\delta^{13}C$ excursion, SI decreases and CO$_2$ gradually increases, indicating an increase in the amount of atmospheric carbon during the release of isotopically-light carbon. This is most likely due CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ from the concurrent eruption of a LIP, the
central Kergeulen Plateau (100–101 Myr). SI returns to pre-excursion values in parallel with $\delta^{13}C$. One issue with the CO$_2$ inferred from the transfer functions of Barclay et al. (2010) (Eqs. 5–10) and Kürschner et al. (2008) (Eq. 4) is that they are based on herbarium specimens of extant species of Lauraceae, which have been exposed to CO$_2$ levels of $\sim$180–280 ppm for the last 800,000+ years, and do not include plants grown in chambers at elevated CO$_2$ (Petit et al., 1999). This makes it unlikely that they will be able to predict CO$_2$ levels in the mid-Cretaceous, when inferred CO$_2$ levels could have been as high as $\sim$1800 ppm (Berner, 1998; Breecker et al., 2010; Royer, 2010). For instance, with the anomalously low SI of 1, the transfer function of Kürschner et al. (2008) gives a CO$_2$ of 947 ppm, well below the high end of estimates at or near the ACB. Looking at the estimates for the ACB in this study, the highest CO$_2$ estimate of 800 ppm is likewise well below the high end of estimates at the ACB. In the future, I plan to improve the existing transfer functions with data from lauraceous plants grown at high CO$_2$.

Gröcke et al. (2006) proposed that the inferred depositional hiatus at RCP was responsible for the absence of the positive isotopic shift found in other studies of OAE1d (Bornemann et al., 2005; Erbacher et al., 1996; Leckie et al., 2002; Nederbragt et al., 2001; Reichelt, 2005; Robinson et al., 2008; Scott et al., 2013; Stoll and Schrag, 2000; Strasser et al., 2001; Watkins et al., 2005; Wilson and Norris, 2001). However, in the interval above the negative excursion and below the paleosol and the inferred position of the ACB, seven slightly enriched values (avg. = 0.66‰) were found in $\delta^{13}C_{gym}$, $\delta^{13}C_{char}$, and $\delta^{13}C_{bulk}$ in the interval from 4.41–6.64 m, both in this study and Gröcke et al. (2006) (Fig. 8D). One $\delta^{13}C_{gym}$ sample at 5.1 m gave a significantly enriched value (~2.21‰).
The relatively tight clustering (six of the eight enriched values occur 4.5–5.58 m) suggests that this might represent the positive phase of the isotopic excursion during OAE1d. However, in the same interval there are many δ13C values that are equal to or slightly more negative than pre-excursion values. If sedimentation rates are relatively constant, and the negative excursion covers ~3.3 m, then the much longer positive excursion at RCP would be expected to be many meters thick.

It is possible that some unknown environmental factor is either hiding the expression of the positive excursion or causing the enriched values in the RCP section. One possibility is that the charcoal and vitrain from the interval of the enriched values represents closed canopy vegetation using respired CO2. This could cause: 1) more negative values that might reduce or hide positive values (Van der Merwe and Medina, 1989), or 2) δ13C values to vary by up to 6‰, which could explain the slightly negative and slightly positive swings in the interval (Graham and Freeman, 2013). Another environmental factor of concern is an increase in salinity. Increased salinity could cause a positive δ13C shift and could be responsible for the enriched values, though homogenized gymnosperm charcoal was used in this study to counteract this effect (Guy et al., 1980; Hasegawa, 2003). In addition, increased salinity would be at odds with the regression event beginning ~0.5 Myr before the ACB that was evoked by Gröcke et al. (2006) to explain the depositional hiatus (Haq et al., 1987). In the absence of an obvious environmental factor, CO2, inferred from SI, could give a possible location of the positive excursion at RCP, or possibly give insight into source of the positive values.

Among the samples that were restricted to a width of 2.5 cm, no cuticle samples were isolated above 4.5 m in the section, despite the fact that up to 1 kg of material was
processed for these higher samples. However, the lignitic horizon (5.3–5.55 m) at RCP contains abundant cuticle and charcoal (Fig. 8A). This horizon was also not collected using the 2.5 cm thick samples at 30 cm intervals, and instead was collected from the interval of 5–5.35 m. Because of its greater thickness, the sample might average a longer interval of time, assuming similar depositional rates for the clay and lignite. This could explain the large variation in SI of the *Pandemophyllum* morphotypes at that level (*P. kvacekii* = 7.39, morphotype 5 = 7.07, morphotype 8 = 8.71; SD of *Pandemophyllum* morphotypes = 0.8) (Fig. 9D). While morphotype 8 shows the dramatic increase in SI and an inferred decrease in CO2 that might be expected to coincide with a positive isotopic excursion, both *P. kvacekii* and Morphotype 5 show decreases in SI and corresponding increases in inferred levels of CO2 (Fig. 9D). Due to this, it is impossible to assess whether the enriched δ13C values generated in this study and Gröcke et al. (2006) coincide with significantly higher SI values and lower CO2 values or are simply the result of some unknown environmental factor.

However, the pattern of changes in SI during the negative δ13C excursion and estimates of carbon release, radiative forcing, and temperature change during OAE1d offer a way to compare this event to other carbon cycle perturbations. Barclay et al. (2010) used δ13C of terrestrial organics and SI in fossil lauraceous cuticle to infer changes in atmospheric CO2 at the Cenomanian-Turonian Boundary and OAE2. They found that excursions δ13C during OAE2 were accompanied with synchronous changes in atmospheric CO2, and hypothesized that the pattern of CO2 change indicated the release of CO2 via volcanism. The absence of a negative excursion during OAE2 is attributed to the δ13C of mantle CO2, which is approximately equal to that of the atmosphere (pre-
Industrial Revolution $\delta^{13}C_{atm} \approx -7\%$ (Barclay et al., 2010). This event is not directly comparable to OAE1d due to the lack of a negative excursion, but the behavior of atmospheric CO$_2$ is in line with what has been hypothesized by other researchers (i.e. the positive excursions are accompanied by a drawdown of atmospheric CO$_2$) (Arthur et al., 1988). In a similar study of changes in SI in various plants and $\delta^{13}$C of fossil wood during the TOAE, McElwain et al. (2005) found that the TOAE negative excursion was accompanied by initial rise in SI (decrease in CO$_2$), followed by a rapid drop in SI values. They hypothesized that this was due to a release of isotopically-light CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ due to intrusion of magma from the Karoo-Farrar LIP of southern Gondwana organic-rich, coal bearing sediments. The pattern of change in SI and CO$_2$ during this event is comparable to what I have found for OAE1d, though the increase in SI early in the OAE1d excursion is only due to one sample (Fig. 9C). However, the increase in CO$_2$ during TOAE was much greater ($1200 \pm 400$ ppm) than OAE1d ($141-470$ ppm).

Estimates of the change in atmospheric carbon during the negative phase of OAE1d are much lower than those estimated from SI for comparable phases during other major carbon cycle perturbations. Siegenthaler and Sarmiento (1993) described the following relationship between increases in atmospheric CO$_2$ and mass of carbon:

$$1 \text{ ppm CO}_2 = \sim 2 \text{ gigatons \ (Gt \ [10^{15}\ g]) carbon}$$

Using this relationship and the changes in CO$_2$ inferred using SI, I estimate that the mass of carbon in the atmosphere during the negative phase of OAE1d increased by $\sim282-940$ Gt relative to pre-excursion values. The lower estimate was calculated using the greatest rise in CO$_2$ inferred from lauraceous transfer functions (141 ppm). The higher estimate was calculated using the greatest possible rise in CO$_2$ inferred from the 95% CI equations.
of Barclay et al. (2010) (470 ppm). It is important to note that due to the limitations of
the transfer functions of Barclay et al. (2010) and Kürschner et al. (2008) (Eqs. 4–10), the
actual amount of carbon in the atmosphere could have been much larger. In addition, due
to increased uptake of carbon by the ocean, soils, and terrestrial biosphere, the increase in
the size of the atmospheric reservoir of carbon represents the minimum amount of carbon
released.

Wilson and Norris (2001) suggested that the pattern of changes in OAE1d
(specifically the geologically brief negative excursion, in particular) was comparable to
those of OAE1a and TOAE, which at the time were hypothesized to be caused by
methane release (Hesselbo et al., 2000; Jahren and Arens, 1998; Jahren et al., 2001;
Opdyke et al., 1999). Jahren et al. (2001) estimated that ~ 300 Gt of carbon was released
during OAE1a. However, they treated the atmosphere as a single independent system,
had the methane release only affect the atmospheric system, and did not account for
changes in the terrestrial biosphere (i.e. changes in photosynthetic productivity, among
others). Hesselbo et al. (2000) estimated that approximately 1500–2700 Gt of methane
were released during the TOAE, much more than the higher estimate of carbon release
presented in this work. Conversely, McElwain et al (2005) estimated that 2,600–4,400 Gt
of carbon was added to the atmosphere during TOAE and noted that the estimate of
carbon release could be >10,000 Gt if uptake up the oceanic carbon pool was taken into
account. However, they concluded that the methane hydrate pool was not large enough
to produce a carbon release of that size (McElwain et al., 2005; Milkov, 2004). Beerling
et al. (2002a), using a Mass Balance approach, increased the estimate of carbon release
during OAE1a to 3000 Gt, and the estimate of release during TOAE to 5000 Gt, 10–16
times higher than the lower estimate for OAE1d and 3–5 times larger than the higher estimate of carbon release presented here for OAE1d. In addition, other major carbon cycle perturbations, though not caused solely by methane, show evidence of much higher levels of carbon release. The Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum is the last known time of widespread ocean anoxia (Dickens et al., 1995). Estimates of carbon released during this event range from 3000–6800 Gt (Panchuk et al., 2008; Zeebe et al., 2009). The bolide impact at the K-T Boundary is resulted in the instantaneous release of 4,600+ Gt of carbon and an increase in atmospheric CO₂ of 2000+ ppm (Beerling et al., 2002b). The data above indicate that OAE1d was a smaller carbon release event than many of the major carbon cycle perturbations of the geologic past.

Estimates of radiative forcing (∆F) and change in global surface temperature (ΔT) from increased CO₂ also indicate that OAE1d was a much smaller event (in terms of global effects) than other major climate cycle perturbations. Radiative Forcing is the measure (in watts per square meter [W/m²]) of the ability of specific greenhouse gases to restrict long-wave radiation from escaping the atmosphere and returning to space (Parker, 2003). Using estimates of changes in atmospheric CO₂, radiative forcing can be estimated via the following equation,

\[ ∆F = \propto \ln(C/C₀) \]

where \( \propto \) is a constant (5.35), C is the final concentration of CO₂, and C₀ is the initial concentration of CO₂ (Houghton et al., 2001). Equation 10 gives a ∆F ≈ 4.74 W/m² for the upper estimate of changes in CO₂ (330 ppm to 800 ppm) and ∆F ≈ 1.1 W/m² for the lower estimate of changes in CO₂ (616 ppm to 757 ppm). The lower estimate is
comparable to the estimate of radiative forcing due to anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2} release during the Industrial Revolution ($\Delta F \approx 1.46 \text{ W/m}^2$).

Estimates of radiative forcing can be used to estimate the change in global temperature during OAE1d via the following equation:

$$\Delta T_s = \lambda \Delta F$$  \[11\],

where $\lambda$ is climate sensitivity, the response of global temperature to changes in radiative forcing (in units of degrees Kelvin per watts per square meter [K/W/m\textsuperscript{2}]). Climate sensitivity is normally cited as 0.8 K/W/m\textsuperscript{2}. Estimates of change in global temperature produced via equation 11 are in units of Kelvin, but since the scale of Kelvin and Celsius are equal, they can be expressed in Celsius. Using equation 11, I estimate a global temperature increase of 0.88–3.8 °C. This is far below estimate of temperature change for other major carbon cycle perturbations based on atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2}. Estimates of global temperature increase for the TOAE, K-T Boundary, and PETM range from 5–8 °C or more.

In summation, OAE1d is a global and significant perturbation to the global carbon cycle which had significant effect on marine turnover. It does not, however, appear to be on par with the largest carbon cycle perturbations of the Mesozoic and Cenozoic (i.e. OAE1a, OAE2, TOAE, K-T Boundary, PETM), based estimates of the amount of carbon released and the estimated change in global temperature. The pattern of change in SI and CO\textsubscript{2} (i.e. slow rise in CO\textsubscript{2} throughout the negative excursion) is most likely due to the concurrent eruption of a LIP, the Central Kerguelen Plateau (100–101 Myr). Moving forward, I hope to improve the existing lauraceous transfer functions so that I can refine
estimates of changes in atmospheric CO$_2$, carbon release, and temperature change during OAE1d.
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