Determination of the depletion layer width and effects on the formation of double-2DEG in AlGaAs/GaAs heterostructures
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In this work, the influence of the surface depletion layer on the formation of a two-dimensional electron gas in AlGaAs/GaAs modulated doped heterostructures is studied. The authors explore a method for estimating the depletion region inside of the GaAs-based heterostructures by using the longitudinal optical and L-amplitude modes observed in Raman spectra, which are supported by the modeling results. The authors found that the position of the topmost doping layer changes the electron distribution in the heterostructure and decreases the influence of the depletion layer. Similar effects are perceived when an optimized solution of (NH4)2Sx and isopropanol is employed. The authors present a method to evaluate the formation of a double two-dimensional electron gas in a heterostructure by the adequate use of modulation line in the photoreflectance spectroscopy.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Molecular beam epitaxy (MBE), in conjunction with band gap engineering, brought to the world the high electron mobility transistor (HEMT), which was first demonstrated in 1980 at Fujitsu Labs by Mimura.1 The first demonstration of the modulation doped and HEMT took place in AlGaAs/GaAs heterostructures where the high mobility and highly confined nature of the two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) allowed the development of semiconductor devices that revolutionize the electronic systems.

The low temperature mobility was dramatically improved over time, leading to innovations in solid-state physics, high-frequency, and low noise electronics. Since market estimations for heterostructures show an expected increase in application and sales, it is necessary to increase the mobility at room temperature by the appropriate design of the layer sequence of AlGaAs/GaAs heterostructures where the high mobility and highly confined nature of the two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) allowed the development of semiconductor devices that revolutionize the electronic systems.

Thus, the optimization of the topmost layers in heterostructures plays an important role in the examination of physics related to the interruption of the translational symmetry and the further effects on the formation of the D-2DEG.7 For example, it has been demonstrated that the topmost atoms influence the carrier distribution and mobility of the 2DEG channels.8 The surface and interface physics, consequently, are of considerable importance for the science and technology of the 2DEG-based devices. In this work, in order to study and decrease the surface effects on the D-2DEG containing-heterostructures, we propose the implementation of nondestructive optical spectroscopies.
II. EXPERIMENT

The structures were grown on semi-insulating GaAs (100) substrates by MBE. Elemental sources were used in the growth chamber having a base pressure of \(<5\times10^{-11}\) mbar using a combination of ion and cryopumping. The growth rate composition was determined using the reflection high energy electron diffraction intensity oscillation technique. For the growth of the structures used in this study, a GaAs growth rate of 0.8 monolayer/s was used. Si was used as an n-type dopant. Epiready semi-insulating GaAs substrates were used with the oxide desorption, and annealing was carried out at approximately 600 °C. Growth was initiated at 580 °C using As4 from a valved cracker and a flux ratio of approximately 20. After the oxide-desorption process, a 100 nm thick GaAs buffer layer was grown followed by a ten periods AlGaAs/GaAs (5.6/5.6 Å) superlattice. The next layers were grown in the sequence depicted in Fig. 1. A- and B-samples were designed as single- and double-2DEG containing-heterostructures, respectively. With the intention of controlling the depletion layer width, \(D_L\), 4.5 \(\times 10^{12}\) cm\(^{-2}\) silicon δ-doping layers were grown at 35 and 100 nm below the surface for samples A1 and A2, respectively. Sample B1 is a symmetric double triangular quantum well with a GaAs capping layer of 25 nm. Two additional samples with a capping layer thickness of 60 (B1\(_{CT}=60\) nm) and 80 nm (B1\(_{CT}=80\) nm) were grown. Sample B2 consists of an asymmetric D-2DEG sample.\(^9\)

Additionally, in order to modify the surface states density, sulfur treatments at room temperature were performed on samples A2 and B1. First, the samples were etched in \(\text{HCl}:\text{H}_2\text{O}_2:\text{H}_2\text{O} (1/10/5000)\) for 18 s followed by a bath in \(\text{HCl}:\text{H}_2\text{O} (1/1)\) for 10 s. This process cleans the surface while removing 3 nm of the capping layer.\(^10\) The passivation process was carried out by using ammonium sulfdte \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{Sx [20%]}\) and \((\text{NH}_4)_2\text{Sx [10%]}\) diluted in deionized water, ethanol, and isopropanol.\(^11\)

For the characterization, room temperature photoreflectance spectroscopy (PR) was carried out by employing an experimental setup similar to that described elsewhere.\(^12\) The probe beam comes from a tungsten–halogen lamp. The laser wavelengths of 532, 405, and 325 nm with the power densities of 30, 1.6, and 15 mW/cm\(^2\), respectively, and chopped at a frequency of 200 Hz were used as modulation sources. The Raman spectroscopy (RS) was measured in air using a Horiba Xplora plus Raman spectrometer. The excitation was supplied by the 532 nm line in a backscattering geometry in order to measure both, the longitudinal optical (LO) phonon and coupled plasmon LO phonon (L-) modes scattering in (100) orientation.\(^13\)

III. THEORY AND MODELING

The MBE growth process is usually realized under the As-rich conditions. Under these growth conditions, the sample surface exhibits the \(2\times4\) and \(C(4\times4)\) surface reconstructions, depending on the substrate temperature.\(^14\) Prior to the removal of the samples from the high vacuum chamber, the samples are exposed at room temperature to the As molecular beam flux for several minutes, leading to a thin arsenic layer. Once the samples are taken out of the MBE system, the oxygen atoms interact with the GaAs (100) surface and a 25 Å thick outer amorphous layer, which mainly consists of Ga2O3 and As2O3 oxides, is formed.\(^15\) Consequently, the air exposed MBE grown samples leaves an oxidized surface producing energy states at midgap.\(^16\) When electrons migrate from their hypothetical bulk positions toward the acceptor-like low-energy surface states, the ionized host atoms lead to the formation of a \(D_L\) and the charge agglomeration in thin region of the surface, \(n_{SS}\), which is compensated by an opposite charge inside the semiconductor, the space charge layer \(N_{SC}\). This redistribution of charge is governed by the Poisson’s equation.\(^17\) The built in electric field close to the surface is

\[
E_S = \frac{N_{SC} D_L}{2 \varepsilon} \tag{1}
\]

where \(\varepsilon = \varepsilon_r \varepsilon_0\), and consequently, the voltage in that region can be described by

\[
V_S = E_S \frac{D_L}{2} \tag{2}
\]

The surface barrier height, \(\Phi = qV_S\), and \(D_L\) affect the formation of the D-2DEG, as will be shown later.
PR is a powerful tool to determine \( E_S \) through the following procedure. In the PR spectra of samples usually appears damping oscillations slightly above the semiconductor band-gap energy, \( E_g \), termed as Franz-Keldysh oscillations (FKO). \(^7,^{12,17,18}\) In the high electric field limit, it is demonstrated that the n-extrema of the FKO occurs when

\[
n \pi = \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{E_n - E_g}{\hbar \Omega} \right)^{1/2},
\]

where \( \hbar \Omega \) is the characteristic electro-optic energy given by

\[
\hbar \Omega = \left( \frac{q^2 E_{in}^2 \hbar^2}{2 \mu} \right)^{1/3},
\]

where \( q \) is the electron charge, \( E_{in} \) is the electric field strength that produce the FKO, and \( \mu \) the interband reduced mass involved in the transition. Equation (4) can be reordered as

\[
E_n = \hbar \Omega \left[ \frac{3\pi}{4} \left( n - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]^{2/3} + E_g.
\]

Thus, by plotting \( E_n \) as a function of \( F_n = [(3\pi/4) (n - (1/2))]^{2/3} \), from linear fitting, we can determine \( \hbar \Omega \) and \( E_g \) from the slope and the intersection with the ordinate at the origin, respectively. Recalling Eq. (4), \( E_{in} \) can be calculated by

\[
E_{in} = \sqrt{\frac{2\mu(\hbar \Omega)^3}{q^2 \hbar^2}}.
\]

When the FKO associated with surface have been identified, it is possible to establish the relation \( E_S = E_{in} \) and from Gauss’s law \( n_{SS} = \varepsilon E_S \).

The \( D_L \) can be estimated by RS using the amplitude of the peaks in the spectra. \(^{11,13,19} \) In the AlGaAs/GaAs heterostructures, the laser modulation depth, \( d \), depends on the absorption coefficient for a determined wavelength. When the condition \( D_L < d \) is satisfied, two contributions of GaAs alloy to Raman scattering appear. \(^{13,20} \) the LO phonon originated from \( D_L \) and the \( L^- \) mode associated with scattering inside the heterostructure. \( D_L \) can be estimated by taking the RS intensities ratio \( R = I(LO)/I(L^-) \) and the relation \(^{13} \)

\[
R = R_0 e^{2D_L/d} - 1,
\]

where \( R_0 \) is 1.44. \(^{19} \) This estimation has a relative error of 5%–10% due to the measurement process, \(^{13} \) the transition region between the \( D_L \) and the bulk, \(^{20} \) the presence of the \( D_L \) inside the AlGaAs layer, and by the slight increase of \( d \) in the ternary in contrast with GaAs.

In order to compare the experimental results with the theoretical values, we employed a commercial available technology computer aided design (TCAD) software. In the model, the equation of Schrödinger–Poisson is solved by taking into account two energy levels of surface states, which consist of a deep donor and one deep acceptor levels, as in Ref. 16. The layer sequence of the heterostructures in Fig. 1 was modeled in one-dimension in order to get the band profile and in the two-dimensional mode with the aim to calculate the electron distribution in the samples.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Control of the \( D_L \) by a doping layer

In a typical PR spectra of AlGaAs/GaAs heterostructures, three regions of oscillations at 300 K can be identified. Region I, between 1.3 and 1.45 eV, is associated with the AlGaAs/GaAs interface where the 2DEG is formed. \(^{24} \) Region II, from 1.45 to 1.8, presents FKO produced by \( E_S \) as described previously. \(^^{22} \) Above 1.8 eV, oscillations or transitions related to AlGaAs might occur, \(^^{12} \) depending on the aluminum fraction in the ternary. Figure 2(a) shows the PR spectra of the A-samples. FKO in region II are missing for A1 but appear for sample A2, and for which \( E_S = 2.9 \times 10^5 \) V/m.

![Figure 2](image-url)
The presence of FKO in A2 are due to photogenerated minority carriers that recombine with the charge trapped in surface states reducing $E_S$ and changing the reflection coefficient. The proximity of the $\delta$-doping layer to the surface contributes to short $D_L$ for A1. Therefore, the high field region is so thin that the incident light barely sees the depletion region not allowing for the modulation with the laser.\textsuperscript{23} Similar behavior was reported by Hsu \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{23} They placed a $\delta$-doping layer at different depths from surface ranging from 250 to 25 nm and found FKO for all samples except for the 25 nm sample, due to the short value of $D_L$.

In order to determine $D_L$ in the A-samples, we analyzed the RS of A1 and A2 shown in Fig. 2(b). Three peaks are present: LO, LO GaAs-like, and $L$- located at 287.26, 275.14, and 265.94 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. By using the formalism depicted in Sec. III, through the ratio $R$, the $D_L$ was calculated to be 9.25 and 49 nm for A1 and A2, respectively. The small $D_L$ for A1 is due to the position of the $\delta$-doping near to the surface that contributes to filling the surface states producing a short depletion layer; this result confirms the PR observations that in a short $D_L$, the modulation process is not carried out. In Fig. 2(c), the calculated spatial distribution of electrons by the TCAD model in the capping and barrier layer of A-samples is presented. The $D_L$ goes from $\sim$0 e/cm$^3$ in the GaAs capping layer (purple color) to $10^7$ e/cm$^3$ into the AlGaAs barrier layer (dark purple color). This lack of electrons was found at the depth of 10 nm for A1 and 50 nm for A2, which agrees and validates the values determined by Raman spectroscopy.

In Fig. 3, the PR spectra of samples B1 for which the capping layer thickness was increased from 25 to 80 nm are presented. It is observed that as the thickness of the capping layer thickness was increased from 25 to 80 nm are

$$n_{SS} \text{ decreases from } 2.18 \text{ to } 1.06 \times 10^{12} \text{ e/cm}^2 \text{ when the } C_T \text{ changes from 25 to 80 nm. Nevertheless, even when } n_{SS} \text{ diminishes with the thickness of the capping, we found that } D_L \text{ increases from 47 to 90 nm for the same range of } C_T.$$  

### B. Control of the $D_L$ by passivation process

Sulfur treatment of the GaAs surface has been one of the most explored techniques to passivate the GaAs.\textsuperscript{11,13,19} This process produces a surface that is free of dangling bonds prohibiting the chemical absorption\textsuperscript{24} and reducing the surface state density.\textsuperscript{11} Poor acceptor-like surface with small $n_{SS}$ sustains the small intensity of $E_S$ and short $D_L$. The effect of the passivation on the AlGaAs/GaAs heterostructures is shown in Fig. 4(a) for sample A2. In this figure, the RS is presented prior to and after the passivation treatment, employing a solution of (NH$_4$)$_2$S$_X$ with isopropanol as solvent. The intensity of the LO mode in RS after the passivation process decreases and is an indication that the depletion layer has been reduced by the modification of the surface states. This demonstrates that even for heterostructures, the LO photon is sensitive to the modification of the surface depletion layer as it is in bulk materials. As a result of the passivation for A2, $D_L$ changes from 49 to 33 nm.

The passivation method is enhanced when the (NH$_4$)$_2$S$_X$ is diluted in low dielectric constant solvents as is summarized in Fig. 4(b) for sample A2. The $D_L$ changes from 49 nm in the as-grown sample to a 33 nm after the surface passivation with intermediate values when the dielectric constant of the solvent was varied in the passivation solution. The dependence of $D_L$ with the dielectric constant of the passivation solution was studied by Bessolov \textit{et al.} for bulk GaAs surface.\textsuperscript{11} They explain the phenomena to be a result of an exponential increase in the rate constant of the reaction of the sulfur coat formation. The passivation method for heterostructures exposed in this work conduces to similar results reported in Ref. 11 for bulk GaAs. Therefore, these surface treatments could be very useful for those cases where the surface states strongly affect the 2DEG.

### C. Effect of $D_L$ on the 2DEG formation

By the variation of the modulation source wavelength, $\lambda_m$, in PR, it is possible to evaluate the origin of the spectra signatures from the different interfaces in the heterostructures.\textsuperscript{12} For example, the UV laser $\lambda_m = 325$ nm is able to explore the topmost layers of the heterostructure, due to its low $d$ in GaAs.\textsuperscript{7,25} Therefore, the UV line is especially useful to study the B-samples designed as D-2DEG since it is necessary to discriminate the information coming from
topmost- and deeper-layers. In Fig. 5(a), the PR of B1 is shown with a $k_m = 325$ nm, and the total $d$ is 100 nm for this laser. We notice that the FKO associated with the surface electric fields dominate the spectra and the $E_S$ was calculated to be $3.5 \times 10^7$ V/m. This value is slightly higher than the intensity determined by measuring with $k_m = 532$ nm laser. The variation is caused by the differences in the power of the laser beams. Even when the FKO in region II of the Fig. 3(a) dominate the spectra, the oscillations in region I appear because of the larger $d$ of the $k_m = 532$ nm laser. Thus, by employing $k_m = 532$ nm, it was possible to modulate the electric fields located deeper in the structure, as those lying in the 2DEG interface of quantum well (QW)#1. Therefore, the absence of oscillations in region I indicates that the topmost 2DEG is not formed for the B1 sample. As shown in Fig. 5(b), after sample B1 passivation process, the PR neither exhibits oscillations in region I nor in region II. Then, despite that $E_S$ has been decreased, the surface states still hinder or forbid the formation of the 2DEG in QW#2. An additional evidence of the successful implementation of passivation procedures is demonstrated in the spectra of Fig. 5(b), since it is worth to note that there is no FKO oscillations in region II, attributed to the surface electric field strength reduction. In other words, $E_S$ was reduced below $1.7 \times 10^7$ V/m, strength less than the intermediate field intensity where the FKO may be present.

As in the case of the sample B1 before the passivation process, the PR spectra of B1$_{CT = 80}$ with $\lambda_m = 325$ nm shows FKO in region II, even though the oscillations originated at the 2DEG are not exhibited. This is induced by the larger $D_L$ (of 90 nm) in the heterostructure, superior value than the distance from surface to QW#2. The same behavior in the PR is shown by the B1$_{CT = 60}$ sample. Even when the distance from the surface has been increased, $D_L$ still affects the formation of a 2DEG in the QW#2 in this set of samples, as will be shown.

As presented in the layered structure of Fig. 1, QW#2 in sample B2 is located at a larger distance from the surface as compared with B1. Then, we used an additional modulation line of 405 nm to explore B2, which is shown in Fig. 5(d). The PR spectra of sample B2 exhibit small amplitude oscillations in region I, indicating the formation of a 2DEG in QW#2. It is also observed that FKO are absent in region II, which is attributed to the reduction in $D_L$ by the presence of the AlGaAs n-type layer, labeled as S3 in Fig. 1.

Numerical analyses of the conduction band profile, $C_R$, of the B-samples were performed in order to get comprehensive understanding of the effects of $D_L$ on the formation of the topmost 2DEG and corroborate that with the presence of the oscillation in region I as an indication of the formation of the 2DEG. Figure 6 shows TCAD simulations of $C_R$ of samples B. The red line corresponds ideal conduction band profile for sample B1, i.e., without any disturbance of the surface states. In this case, the surface states density does not affect the carrier distribution in the device, and the band bending close to
the surface is barely perceived. As a consequence, QW#2 lies under the Fermi level (dotted line), and the formation of the 2DEG is possible. In the case where the filling of the surface states is considered, \( n_{SS} = 2.1 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), a \( \Phi = 0.7 \text{ eV} \) is formed at the surface, and a significant band bending occurs, pulling the QW#2 out of the Fermi level, avoiding the formation of the 2DEG. After the passivation process, the RS analysis indicates that \( D_L \) of B1 is 30 nm, so the position of QW#2 is still inside \( D_L \), not allowing for the formation of a 2DEG. On the other hand, the \( C_B \) for B2 is shown in the inset of Fig. 6(a). According to RS for B2, the doping layer S3 reduces the influence of the surface on the topmost layers contributing to a \( D_L \) as thin as 11 nm. As observed in the inset of Fig. 6(a), the near surface band bending now occurs in a narrow region, allowing the formation of a D-2DEG system, since both of the QWs are below the Fermi level. The concept of isolating the effect of the surface and reducing \( D_L \) by a doping profile is explored by numerical simulation of B1 layer sequence on Fig. 1 with \( C_T = 45 \text{ nm} \) and a \( \delta \)-doping profile of \( 4.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) located at 15 nm from surface, the result of which is illustrated in Fig. 6(b). The simulation indicates that \( D_L \) is \( \sim 13 \text{ nm} \) and, as in the case of B2, this short value does not disturb the formation of a 2DEG in QW#2. In this case, the layer of a \( \delta \)-doping profile presents a lower mobility in comparison with the linear profile in the S3 layer of sample B2 at room temperature, making this concept useful to reduce the parasitic current in heterostructures. In the inset of Fig. 6(b), the carrier concentration in the quantum wells is analyzed. The electron concentration is 6.1 and 6.5 \( \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) for the 2DEG in QW#1 and QW#2, respectively. Thus, there is a \( \sim 7\% \) of mismatch of carrier concentrations of the 2DEG between one QW and the other. This value can be tailored by varying the position and density of the \( \delta \)-doping. The numerical analysis in Fig. 6 confirms that only the B2 sample can be considered as a D-2DEG system since only in this heterostructure the QW#2 lies under the Fermi level. This analysis agrees with the fact that only the B2 sample shows oscillations in region I. Thus, when the first layer of the B-samples are explored by PR with a short modulation line, oscillations in region I appear only when the formation of the topmost 2DEG occurs, as in the case of the single 2DEG samples.22,26

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this work, the electric field and depletion region of AlGaAs/GaAs heterostructures were measured by optical spectroscopies and compared with theoretical modeling. The photoreflectance spectroscopy is a powerful tool to determine the electric field intensity. However, when the depletion region close to the surface is small or the intensity of \( E_S \) lies in the low field limit, it is not possible to evaluate their intensity. The relationship between the LO and \( L-\) mode in the RS of GaAs-based heterostructures allows for the determination of \( D_L \). The \( D_L \) depends on the position where the doping layer in the heterostructures is located and passivation procedures used with \(( \text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\) based solutions. The sulfur treatment is more efficient when a low dielectric constant solvent is used. If a quantum well lies inside the depletion region, the formation of a 2DEG is not possible. Henceforth, with the formation of the topmost 2DEG, oscillations in region I of the PR spectra occurred even for short laser penetration lengths. Therefore, a PR analysis allows us to evaluate the formation of a D-2DEG system, without the use of more expensive and complex characterization techniques such as the quantum Hall effect.
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