

ASYMMETRIC CRITICAL FRACTIONAL p -LAPLACIAN PROBLEMS

LI HUANG, YANG YANG

Communicated by Marco Squassina

ABSTRACT. We consider the asymmetric critical fractional p -Laplacian problem

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)_p^s u &= \lambda |u|^{p-2} u + u_+^{p_s^* - 1}, \quad \text{in } \Omega; \\ u &= 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega; \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant, $p_s^* = Np/(N - sp)$ is the fractional critical Sobolev exponent, and $u_+(x) = \max\{u(x), 0\}$. This extends a result in the literature for the local case $s = 1$. We prove the theorem based on the concentration compactness principle of the fractional p -Laplacian and a linking theorem based on the \mathbb{Z}_2 -cohomological index.

1. INTRODUCTION

Beginning with the seminal paper of Ambrosetti and Prodi [2], elliptic boundary value problems with asymmetric nonlinearities have been extensively studied (see, e.g., Berger and Podolak [5], Kazdan and Warner [17], Dancer [8], Amann and Hess [1], and the references therein). In particular, Deng [9], De Figueiredo and Yang [11], Aubin and Wang [3], Calanchi and Ruf [7], and Zhang et al. [32] have obtained existence and multiplicity results for semilinear Ambrosetti-Prodi type problems with critical nonlinearities using variational methods. And the results for the quasilinear Ambrosetti-Prodi type problems can be found in Perera et al. [29].

Recently, a lot of attention has been given to the study of the elliptic equations involving the fractional p -Laplacian, which is the nonlinear nonlocal operator defined on smooth functions by

$$(-\Delta)_p^s u(x) = 2 \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\epsilon(x)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dy,$$

where $p \in (1, +\infty)$, $s \in (0, 1)$ and $N > sp$. Some motivation that have led to the study of this kind of operator can be found in Caffarelli [6]. The operator $(-\Delta)_p^s$ leads naturally to the quasilinear problem

$$(-\Delta)_p^s u = f(x, u), \quad \text{in } \Omega;$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B33, 35J92, 35J20.

Key words and phrases. Fractional p -Laplacian; critical nonlinearity; asymmetric nonlinearity; linking; \mathbb{Z}_2 -cohomological index.

©2017 Texas State University.

Submitted November 9, 2016. Published April 18, 2017.

$$u = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega;$$

where Ω is a domain in \mathbb{R}^N . There is currently a rapidly growing literature on this problem when Ω is bounded with Lipschitz boundary. In particular, fractional p -eigenvalue problems have been studied in [12, 16, 18, 26], global Hölder regularity in [15, 22], existence theory in the critical case in [27, 19, 20, 21, 22].

Motivated by [29], in this article, we consider the asymmetric critical fractional p -Laplacian problem

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)_p^s u &= \lambda |u|^{p-2} u + u_+^{p_s^*-1}, \quad \text{in } \Omega; \\ u &= 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega; \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with Lipschitz boundary, $\lambda > 0$ is a constant, $p_s^* = Np/(N - sp)$ is the fractional critical Sobolev exponent, and $u_+(x) = \max\{u(x), 0\}$.

We call that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $(-\Delta)_p^s$ in Ω if the problem

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)_p^s u &= \lambda |u|^{p-2} u, \quad \text{in } \Omega; \\ u &= 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega; \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

has a nontrivial weak solution. The first eigenvalue λ_1 is positive, simple, and has an associated eigenfunction φ_1 that is positive in Ω . And if $\lambda \geq \lambda_2$ is an eigenvalue, u is a λ -eigenfunction, then u changes sign in Ω . For problem (1.1) when $\lambda = \lambda_1$, $t\varphi_1$ is clearly a negative solution for any $t < 0$. So here we focus on the case λ is not an eigenvalue of $(-\Delta)_p^s$, and our result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $s \in (0, 1)$, $N > sp$, and $\lambda > 0$. Then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial weak solution in the following cases*

- (i) $N = sp^2$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$;
- (ii) $N > sp^2$ and λ is not an eigenvalue of $(-\Delta)_p^s$.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND SOME KNOWN RESULTS

Let

$$[u]_{s,p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \right)^{1/p}$$

be the Gagliardo seminorm of a measurable function $u : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and let

$$W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) : [u]_{s,p} < \infty\}$$

be the fractional Sobolev space endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{s,p} = (|u|_p^p + [u]_{s,p}^p)^{1/p},$$

where $|\cdot|_p$ is the norm in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We work in the closed linear subspace

$$W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) = \{u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega\},$$

equivalently renormed by setting $\|\cdot\| = [\cdot]_{s,p}$, which is a uniformly convex Banach space. The imbedding $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^r(\Omega)$ is continuous for $r \in [1, p_s^*]$ and compact for $r \in [1, p_s^*)$. Weak solutions of problem (1.1) coincide with critical points of the C^1 -functional

$$I_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{p} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_\Omega |u|^p dx - \frac{1}{p_s^*} \int_\Omega u_+^{p_s^*} dx,$$

for $u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$.

We recall that I_λ satisfies the Cerami compactness condition at the level $c \in \mathbb{R}$, or the $(C)_c$ condition for short, if every sequence $\{u_j\} \subset W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ such that $I_\lambda(u_j) \rightarrow c$ and $(1 + \|u_j\|)I'_\lambda(u_j) \rightarrow 0$, called a $(C)_c$ sequence, has a convergent subsequence.

Let

$$S = \inf_{u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^p}{|u|_{p^*}^p}$$

be the best constant in the Sobolev inequality. From [4], we know that for $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < s < 1$, $N > ps$, there exists a minimizer for S , and for every minimizer U , there exist $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a constant sign monotone function $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $U(x) = u(|x - x_0|)$. In the following, we shall fix a radially symmetric nonnegative decreasing minimizer $U = U(r)$ for S . Multiplying U by a positive constant if necessary, we may assume that

$$(-\Delta)_p^s U = U^{p^*-1}. \tag{2.1}$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, the function

$$U_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{(N-sp)/p}} U\left(\frac{|x|}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

is also a minimizer for S satisfying (2.1). In [20, Lemma 2.2], the following asymptotic estimates for U were provided.

Lemma 2.1 ([20, Lemma 2.2]). *There exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $\theta > 1$ such that for all $r \geq 1$,*

$$\frac{c_1}{r^{(N-sp)/(p-1)}} \leq U(r) \leq \frac{c_2}{r^{(N-sp)/(p-1)}},$$

and

$$\frac{U(\theta r)}{U(r)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Assume, without loss of generality, that $0 \in \Omega$. For $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, let

$$m_{\varepsilon,\delta} = \frac{U_\varepsilon(\delta)}{U_\varepsilon(\delta) - U_\varepsilon(\theta\delta)},$$

let

$$g_{\varepsilon,\delta}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq t \leq U_\varepsilon(\theta\delta), \\ m_{\varepsilon,\delta}^p (t - U_\varepsilon(\theta\delta)), & U_\varepsilon(\theta\delta) \leq t \leq U_\varepsilon(\delta), \\ t + U_\varepsilon(\delta) (m_{\varepsilon,\delta}^{p-1} - 1), & t \geq U_\varepsilon(\delta), \end{cases}$$

and let

$$G_{\varepsilon,\delta}(t) = \int_0^t g'_{\varepsilon,\delta}(\tau)^{1/p} d\tau = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq t \leq U_\varepsilon(\theta\delta), \\ m_{\varepsilon,\delta} (t - U_\varepsilon(\theta\delta)), & U_\varepsilon(\theta\delta) \leq t \leq U_\varepsilon(\delta), \\ t, & t \geq U_\varepsilon(\delta). \end{cases}$$

The functions $g_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ and $G_{\varepsilon,\delta}$ are nondecreasing and absolutely continuous. Consider the radially symmetric non-increasing function

$$u_{\varepsilon,\delta}(r) = G_{\varepsilon,\delta}(U_\varepsilon(r)),$$

which satisfies

$$u_{\varepsilon,\delta}(r) = \begin{cases} U_\varepsilon(r), & r \leq \delta, \\ 0, & r \geq \theta\delta. \end{cases}$$

We have the following estimates for $u_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ which were proved in [20, Lemma 2.7].

Lemma 2.2 ([20, Lemma 2.7]). *There exists a constant $C = C(N, p, s) > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon \leq \delta/2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\|^p &\leq S^{N/sp} + C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}\right)^{(N-sp)/(p-1)}, \\ |u_{\varepsilon, \delta}|_p^p &\geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{C}\varepsilon^{sp} \log\left(\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}\right), & \text{if } N = sp^2, \\ \frac{1}{C}\varepsilon^{sp}, & \text{if } N > sp^2, \end{cases} \\ |u_{\varepsilon, \delta}|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} &\geq S^{N/sp} - C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}\right)^{N/(p-1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\frac{\|u_{\varepsilon, \delta}\|^p - \lambda|u_{\varepsilon, \delta}|^p}{|u_{\varepsilon, \delta}|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*}} \leq \begin{cases} S - \frac{\lambda}{C} \varepsilon^{sp} \log\left(\frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}\right) + C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}\right)^{sp}, & N = sp^2, \\ S - \frac{\lambda}{C} \varepsilon^{sp} + C\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}\right)^{(N-sp)/(p-1)}, & N > sp^2. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

For $p > 1$, and the eigenvalues of problem (1.2), we define a non-decreasing sequence λ_k by means of the cohomological index. This type of construction was introduced for the p -Laplacian by Perera [23]. (see also Perera and Szulkin [25]), and it is slightly different from the traditional one, based on the Krasnoselskii genus (which does not give the additional Morse-theoretical information that we need here).

We briefly recall the definition of Z_2 -cohomological index by Fadell and Rabinowitz [10]. Let W be a Banach space and let \mathcal{A} denote the class of symmetric subsets of $W \setminus \{0\}$. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, let $\bar{A} = A/\mathbb{Z}_2$ be the quotient space of A with each u and $-u$ identified, let $f : \bar{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^\infty$ be the classifying map of \bar{A} , and let $f^* : H^*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) \rightarrow H^*(\bar{A})$ be the induced homomorphism of the Alexander-Spanier cohomology rings. The cohomological index of A is defined by

$$i(A) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } A = \emptyset, \\ \sup\{m \geq 1 : f^*(\omega^{m-1}) \neq 0\}, & \text{if } A \neq \emptyset, \end{cases}$$

where $\omega \in H^1(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)$ is the generator of the polynomial ring $H^*(\mathbb{R}P^\infty) = \mathbb{Z}_2[\omega]$. See Perera et al. [24] for details.

So the eigenvalues of problem (1.2), coincide with critical values of the functional

$$\Psi(u) = \frac{1}{|u|_p^p}, \quad u \in \mathcal{M} = \{u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) : \|u\| = 1\}.$$

Let \mathcal{F} denote the class of symmetric subsets of \mathcal{M} , and set

$$\lambda_k := \inf_{M \in \mathcal{F}, i(M) \geq k} \sup_{u \in M} \Psi(u), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \rightarrow +\infty$ is a sequence of eigenvalues of problem (1.2), and

$$\lambda_k < \lambda_{k+1} \implies i(\Psi^{\lambda_k}) = i(\mathcal{M} \setminus \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}}) = k,$$

where

$$\Psi^a = \{u \in \mathcal{M} : \Psi(u) \leq a\}, \quad \Psi_a = \{u \in \mathcal{M} : \Psi(u) \geq a\}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From [20, Proposition 3.1], the sublevel set Ψ^{λ_k} has a compact symmetric subset $E(\lambda_k)$ of index k that is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. We may assume without loss of

generality that $0 \in \Omega$. Let $\delta_0 = (0, \partial\Omega)$, take a smooth function $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\eta(s) = 0$ for $s \leq 3/4$ and $\eta(s) = 1$ for $s \geq 1$, set

$$v_\delta(x) = \eta\left(\frac{|x|}{\delta}\right)v(x), \quad v \in E(\lambda_k), \quad 0 < \delta \leq \frac{\delta_0}{2},$$

and let $E_\delta = \{\pi(v_\delta) : v \in E(\lambda_k)\}$, where $\pi : W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $u \mapsto u/\|u\|$ is the radial projection onto \mathcal{M} .

Lemma 2.3 ([20, Proposition 3.2]). *There exists a constant $C = C(N, \Omega, p, s, k) > 0$ such that for all sufficiently small $\delta > 0$,*

- (i) $\frac{1}{C} \leq |\omega|_q \leq C$, for all $\omega \in E_\delta, 1 \leq q \leq \infty$,
- (ii) $\sup_{\omega \in E_\delta} I_\lambda(\omega) \leq \lambda_k + C\delta^{N-sp}$,
- (iii) $E_\delta \cap \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}} = \emptyset, i(E_\delta) = k$,
- (iv) $\text{supp } \omega \cap \text{supp } \pi(u_{\varepsilon,\delta}) = \emptyset$ for all $\omega \in E_\delta$,
- (v) $\pi(u_{\varepsilon,\delta}) \notin E_\delta$.

We need the following two lemmas for the fractional p -Laplacian.

Lemma 2.4 ([14, P.161]). *If $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ is such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$, and*

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^{p-2}(u_n(x) - u_n(y))((u_n - u)(x) - (u_n - u)(y))}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.5 ([19, Theorem 2.5]). *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$, let $|D^s u_n|^p(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dy$, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Then, up to a subsequence, there exists $u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$, two Borel regular measures μ and ν , Λ denumerable, $x_j \in \Omega, \nu_j \geq 0, \mu_j \geq 0$ with $\mu_j + \nu_j > 0$, such that*

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } W_0^{s,p}(\Omega), \text{ and } u_n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^p(\Omega),$$

$$\begin{aligned} |D^s u_n|^p &\xrightarrow{w^*} d\mu, \quad |u_n|^{p_s^*} \xrightarrow{w^*} d\nu, \\ d\mu &\geq |D^s u|^p + \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \mu_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad \mu_j := \mu(\{x_j\}), \\ d\nu &= |u|^{p_s^*} + \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \nu_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad \nu_j := \nu(\{x_j\}), \\ \mu_j &\geq S\nu_j^{p/p_s^*}. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove Theorems 1.1 using the following abstract critical point theorem proved in Yang and Perera [31, Theorem 2.2], which was also used successfully in [28, 29, 20], and generalizes the well-known linking theorem of Rabinowitz [30].

Lemma 2.6 ([31, Theorem 2.2]). *Let W be a Banach space, let $S = \{u \in W : \|u\| = 1\}$ be the unit sphere in W , and let $\pi : W \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow S, u \mapsto u/\|u\|$ be the radial projection onto S . Let I be a C^1 -function on W and let A_0 and B_0 be disjoint nonempty closed symmetric subsets of S such that*

$$i(A_0) = i(S \setminus B_0) < \infty.$$

Assume that there exist $R > r > 0$ and $v \in S \setminus A_0$ such that

$$\sup I(A) \leq \inf I(B), \quad \sup I(X) < \infty,$$

where

$$A = \{tu : u \in A_0, 0 \leq t \leq R\} \cup \{R\pi((1-t)u + tv) : u \in A_0, 0 \leq t \leq 1\},$$

$$B = \{ru : u \in B_0\}, \quad X = \{tu : u \in A, \|u\| = R, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}.$$

Let $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C(X, W) : \gamma(X) \text{ is closed and } \gamma|_A = id_A\}$, and set

$$c := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{u \in \gamma(X)} I(u).$$

Then

$$\inf I(B) \leq c \leq \sup I(X),$$

in particular, c is finite. If, in addition, I satisfies the $(C)_c$ condition, then c is a critical value of I .

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

First, we will give our main lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *If $\lambda \neq \lambda_1$, then I_λ satisfies the $(C)_c$ condition for all $c < \frac{s}{N}S^{N/sp}$.*

Proof. Let $c < \frac{s}{N}S^{N/sp}$, and let $\{u_j\}$ be a $(C)_c$ sequence. First we show that $\{u_j\}$ is bounded. We have

$$\frac{1}{p} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^p dx - \frac{1}{p_s^*} \int_{\Omega} u_{j+}^{p_s^*} dx = c + o(1), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^{p-2} (u_j(x) - u_j(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \\ & - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^{p-2} u_j v dx - \int_{\Omega} u_{j+}^{p_s^*-1} v dx \\ & = \frac{o(1)\|v\|}{1 + \|u_j\|}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Taking $v = u_j$ in (3.2) and combining with (3.1) gives

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{j+}^{p_s^*} dx = \frac{N}{s}c + o(1). \quad (3.3)$$

Taking $v = u_{j+}$ in (3.2), and using the equality

$$|u_+(x) - u_+(y)|^p \leq |u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y))(u_+(x) - u_+(y)), \quad (3.4)$$

gives

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_{j+}(x) - u_{j+}(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} u_{j+}^p dx + \int_{\Omega} u_{j+}^{p_s^*} dx + o(1).$$

So $\{u_{j+}\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. Suppose $\rho_j := \|u_j\| = (\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+ps}})^{1/p} \rightarrow \infty$ for a renamed subsequence. Then $\tilde{u}_j = \frac{u_j}{\|u_j\|}$ converges to some \tilde{u} weakly in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^q(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq q < p_s^*$, and a.e. in Ω for a further subsequence. Since the sequence $\{u_{j+}\}$ is bounded, dividing (3.1) by ρ_j^p and (3.2) by ρ_j^{p-1} and passing to the limit then gives

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{u}|^p dx = 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|\tilde{u}(x) - \tilde{u}(y)|^{p-2}(\tilde{u}(x) - \tilde{u}(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \\ &= \lambda \int_{\Omega} |\tilde{u}|^{p-2} \tilde{u} v dx, \quad \forall v \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Moreover, since $\tilde{u}_{j+} = u_{j+}/\rho_j \rightarrow 0$, $\tilde{u} \leq 0$ a.e. Hence $\tilde{u} = t\varphi_1$ for some $t < 0$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1$, this is a contradiction with assumption. So $\{u_j\}$ is bounded, and for a renamed subsequence, it converges to some u weakly in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ and $L^{p_s^*}(\Omega)$. Since $\{u_{j+}\}$ is bounded, according to Lemma 2.5, a renamed subsequence of which then converges to some $v \geq 0$ weakly in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$, strongly in $L^q(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq q < p_s^*$ and a.e. in Ω , and

$$|D^s u_{j+}|^p \xrightarrow{w^*} d\mu, \quad |u_{j+}|^{p_s^*} \xrightarrow{w^*} d\nu, \tag{3.5}$$

then there exists an at most countable index set Λ and points $x_i \in \Omega$, $i \in \Lambda$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu &\geq |D^s v|^p + \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \mu_i \delta_{x_i}, \quad \mu_i := \mu(\{x_i\}), \\ d\nu &= |v|^{p_s^*} + \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \nu_i \delta_{x_i}, \quad \nu_i := \nu(\{x_i\}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $\mu_i, \nu_i \geq 0$, $\mu_i + \nu_i > 0$, and $\mu_i \geq S\nu_i^{p/p_s^*}$.

Now for any $\rho > 0$, let $\varphi_{i,\rho} \in C_c^\infty(B_{2\rho}(x_i))$ satisfy

$$0 \leq \varphi_{i,\rho}, \quad \varphi_{i,\rho}|_{B_\rho} = 1, \quad |\varphi_{i,\rho}|_\infty \leq 1, \quad |\nabla \varphi_{i,\rho}|_\infty \leq C/\rho.$$

From [19, (2.14)], for all $w \in L^{p_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\lim_{\rho \searrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^p |D^s \varphi_{i,\rho}|^p dx = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Testing equation (3.2) with $\varphi_{i,\rho} u_{j+}$, which is also bounded in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$, from (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & o(1) \tag{3.8} \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^{p-2}(u_j(x) - u_j(y))(\varphi_{i,\rho}(x)u_{j+}(x) - \varphi_{i,\rho}(y)u_{j+}(y))}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^{p-2} u_j \varphi_{i,\rho} u_{j+} dx - \int_{\Omega} u_{j+}^{p_s^*-1} \varphi_{i,\rho} u_{j+} dx \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^{p-2}(u_j(x) - u_j(y))(u_{j+}(x) - u_{j+}(y))}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} \varphi_{i,\rho}(x) dx dy \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} u_{j+}^{p_s^*} \varphi_{i,\rho} dx \\ &\quad + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^{p-2}(u_j(x) - u_j(y))u_{j+}(y)(\varphi_{i,\rho}(x) - \varphi_{i,\rho}(y))}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^{p-2} u_j \varphi_{i,\rho} u_{j+} dx \\ &\geq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_{j+}(x) - u_{j+}(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} \varphi_{i,\rho}(x) dx dy - \int_{\Omega} u_{j+}^{p_s^*} \varphi_{i,\rho} dx \\ &\quad + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^{p-2}(u_j(x) - u_j(y))u_{j+}(y)(\varphi_{i,\rho}(x) - \varphi_{i,\rho}(y))}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

$$-\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^{p-2} u_j \varphi_{i,\rho} u_{j+} dx. \quad (3.9)$$

By (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_{j+}(x) - u_{j+}(y)|^p}{|x+y|^{N+sp}} \varphi_{i,\rho}(x) dx dy &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_{i,\rho} d\mu, \\ \int_{\Omega} u_{j+}^{p_s^*} \varphi_{i,\rho} dx &\rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{i,\rho} d\nu, \\ \int_{\Omega} u_{j+}^p \varphi_{i,\rho} dx &\rightarrow \int_{\Omega} v^p \varphi_{i,\rho} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^{p-2} (u_j(x) - u_j(y)) u_{j+}(y) (\varphi_{i,\rho}(x) - \varphi_{i,\rho}(y))}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \right| \\ & \leq \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^{p-2} (u_j(x) - u_j(y)) u_{j+}(y) (\varphi_{i,\rho}(x) - \varphi_{i,\rho}(y))}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \\ & \leq \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^{p-2} (u_j(x) - u_j(y))}{|x-y|^{\frac{(p-1)(N+ps)}{p}}} dx dy \right)^{(p-1)/p} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{j+}|^p |D^s \varphi_{i,\rho}|^p dy \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Notice that $|D^s \varphi_{i,\rho}|^p \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\varphi_{i,\rho}(x) - \varphi_{i,\rho}(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} dy \leq \frac{C}{\rho^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{\min\{1, |x-y|^p\}}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} dy \leq \frac{C}{\rho^p}, \quad (3.11)$$

then

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{j+}|^p |D^s \varphi_{i,\rho}|^p dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v^p |D^s \varphi_{i,\rho}|^p dy,$$

passing to the limit in (3.9) gives,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_{i,\rho} d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{i,\rho} d\nu + C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v^p |D^s \varphi_{i,\rho}|^p dy \right)^{1/p} + \lambda \int_{\Omega} v^p \varphi_{i,\rho} dx.$$

Letting $\rho \searrow 0$ and using (3.7), gives $\nu_i \geq \mu_i$, which together with $\mu_i \geq S\nu_i^{p/p_s^*}$, then give $\nu_i = 0$ or $\nu_i \geq S^{N/sp}$.

We claim that $\nu_i \geq S^{N/sp}$ is not possible to hold. Indeed, passing to the limit in (3.3) and by (3.5) and (3.6), then $\nu_i \leq \frac{N}{s}c < S^{N/sp}$. So $\nu_i = 0$, Λ is empty, and

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{j+}^{p_s^*} dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} v^{p_s^*} dx,$$

then $u_{j+} \rightarrow v$ strongly in $L^{p_s^*}(\Omega)$ by uniform convexity. Combining the fact that u_j converges to u weakly in $L^{p_s^*}(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{\Omega} u_{j+}^{p_s^*-1} (u_j - u) dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle I'_\lambda(u_j), (u_j - u) \rangle \\ & = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^{p-2} (u_j(x) - u_j(y)) ((u_j - u)(x) - (u_j - u)(y))}{|x-y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

$$-\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^{p-2} u_j (u_j - u) \, dx - \int_{\Omega} u_{j+}^{p_s^*-1} (u_j - u) \, dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^{p-2} (u_j(x) - u_j(y)) ((u_j - u)(x) - (u_j - u)(y))}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} \, dx \, dy \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 2.4, we obtain $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We now give the proof for the case when $\lambda > \lambda_1$ is not one of the eigenvalues. For $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$, the proof is similar and simpler. Fix λ' such that $\lambda_k < \lambda' < \lambda < \lambda_{k+1}$, and let $\delta > 0$ be so small such that $\lambda_k + C\delta^{N-sp} < \lambda'$, in particular,

$$\Psi(\omega) < \lambda', \quad \forall \omega \in E_{\delta}. \tag{3.12}$$

Then take $A_0 = E_{\delta}$ and $B_0 = \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}}$, and note that A_0 and B_0 are disjoint nonempty closed symmetric subsets of \mathcal{M} such that

$$i(A_0) = i(\mathcal{M} \setminus B_0) = k.$$

Now, let $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta/2$, let $R > r > 0$, and let $v_0 = \pi(u_{\varepsilon,\delta}) \in \mathcal{M} \setminus E_{\delta}$, and let A, B , and X be as in Lemma 2.6.

For $u \in \Psi_{\lambda_{k+1}}$,

$$I_{\lambda}(ru) \geq \frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{k+1}}\right) r^p - \frac{1}{p_s^* S^{p_s^*/p}} r^{p_s^*}.$$

Since $\lambda < \lambda_{k+1}$, it follows that $\inf I_{\lambda}(B) > 0$ if r is sufficiently small. Next we show $I_{\lambda} \leq 0$ on A if R is sufficiently large. For $\omega \in E_{\delta}$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\lambda}(t\omega) &= \frac{1}{p} \|t\omega\|^p - \frac{\lambda}{p} |t\omega|_p^p - \frac{1}{p_s^*} |t\omega_+|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} \\ &\leq \frac{t^p}{p} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\Psi(\omega)}\right) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

by (3.12). Now let $\omega \in E_{\delta}$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and set $u = \pi((1-t)\omega + tv_0)$. Clearly, $\|(1-t)\omega + tv_0\| \leq 1$, and since the supports of ω and v_0 are disjoint by Lemma 2.3(iv),

$$\begin{aligned} |(1-t)\omega + tv_0|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} &= (1-t)^{p_s^*} |\omega|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} + t^{p_s^*} |v_0|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*}, \\ |u|_p^p &= \frac{|(1-t)\omega + tv_0|_p^p}{\|(1-t)\omega + tv_0\|^p} \geq \frac{(1-t)^p}{\Psi(\omega)} \geq \frac{(1-t)^p}{\lambda'}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|v_0|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} = \frac{|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*}}{\|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|^{p_s^*}} \geq \frac{1}{S^{N/(N-sp)}} + O(\varepsilon^{(N-sp)/(p-1)}), \tag{3.13}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |u_+|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} &= \frac{|[(1-t)\omega + tv_0]_+|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*}}{\|(1-t)\omega + tv_0\|^{p_s^*}} \\ &\geq (1-t)^{p_s^*} |\omega_+|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} + t^{p_s^*} |v_0|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} \\ &\geq t^{p_s^*} |v_0|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} \geq \frac{t^{p_s^*}}{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

if ε is sufficiently small, where $C = C(N, \Omega, p, s, k) > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(Ru) &= \frac{R^p}{p} \|u\|^p - \frac{\lambda R^p}{p} |u|_p^p - \frac{R^{p_s^*}}{p_s^*} |u_+|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} \\ &\leq -\frac{R^p}{p} \left[\frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} (1-t)^p - 1 \right] - \frac{t^{p_s^*}}{p_s^* C} R^{p_s^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

The above expression is clearly non-positive if $t \leq 1 - (\lambda'/\lambda)^{1/p} =: t_0$. For $t > t_0$, it is non-positive if R is sufficiently large.

Now, it only remains to show that

$$\sup I_\lambda(X) < \frac{s}{N} S^{N/sp}, \quad (3.16)$$

if ε is sufficiently small, where

$$X = \{\rho\pi((1-t)\omega + tv_0) : \omega \in E_\delta, 0 \leq t \leq 1, 0 \leq \rho \leq R\}.$$

Set again $u = \pi((1-t)\omega + tv_0)$. From (3.15), $I_\lambda(\rho u) \leq 0$, for all $0 \leq \rho \leq R$, $0 \leq t \leq t_0$. So we only need to consider the case that $1 \geq t \geq t_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq \rho \leq R} I_\lambda(\rho u) &\leq \sup_{\rho \geq 0} \left[\frac{\rho^p}{p} (1 - \lambda |u|_p^p) - \frac{\rho^{p_s^*}}{p_s^*} |u_+|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} \right] \\ &= \frac{s}{N} \left[\frac{(1 - \lambda |u|_p^p)_+}{|u_+|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*}} \right]^{N/sp} \\ &= \frac{s}{N} \left[\frac{(\|(1-t)\omega + tv_0\|^p - \lambda|(1-t)\omega + tv_0|_p^p)_+}{\|(1-t)\omega + tv_0\|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*}} \right]^{N/sp}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

From the arguments in [20, pp.17-18 (3.15)-(3.17)],

$$\|(1-t)\omega + tv_0\|^p \leq \frac{\lambda}{\lambda'} (1-t)^p + t^p + C\varepsilon^{N-(N-sp)q/p}, \quad (3.18)$$

where $q \in (N(p-1)/(N-sp), p)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(1-t)\omega + tv_0|_p^p &= (1-t)^p |\omega|_p^p + t^p |v_0|_p^p, \\ \|(1-t)\omega + tv_0\|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} &\geq (1-t)^{p_s^*} |\omega_+|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} + t^{p_s^*} |v_0|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

By (3.13), $|v_0|_{p_s^*}$ is bounded away from zero, if ε is sufficiently small, so the last expression in (3.19) is bounded away from a certain number for $1 \geq t \geq t_0$. It follows from (3.18), (3.19) and $|\omega|_p \geq \frac{1}{\lambda'}$ by (3.12), that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\|(1-t)\omega + tv_0\|^p - \lambda|(1-t)\omega + tv_0|_p^p}{\|(1-t)\omega + tv_0\|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*}} \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \lambda|v_0|_p^p}{|v_0|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*}} + C\varepsilon^{N-(N-sp)q/p} \\ &\leq \frac{\|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|^p - \lambda|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}|_p^p}{|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}|_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*}} + C\varepsilon^{N-(N-sp)q/p} \\ &\leq S - \left(\frac{\lambda}{C} - C\varepsilon^{(N-sp^2)/(p-1)} - C\varepsilon^{(N-sp)(1-q/p)} \right) \varepsilon^{sp}, \end{aligned}$$

by $v_0 = u_{\varepsilon,\delta}/\|u_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|$, and (2.3). Since $N > sp^2$ and $q < p$, it follows from this that the last expression in (3.17) is strictly less than $\frac{s}{N} S^{N/sp}$ if ε is sufficiently small.

So $0 < c < \frac{s}{N} S^{N/sp}$. Then I_λ satisfies the $(C)_c$ condition by Lemma 3.1, and hence c is a critical value of I_λ by Lemma 2.6. \square

Acknowledgments. Yang Yang was supported by the NSFC, projects 11501252 and 11571176.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Amann, P. Hess; A multiplicity result for a class of elliptic boundary value problems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 84(1-2), 145–151, 1979.
- [2] A. Ambrosetti, G. Prodi; On the inversion of some differentiable mappings with singularities between Banach spaces, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, 93(4), 231–246, 1972.
- [3] T. Aubin, W. Z. Wang; Positive solutions of Ambrosetti-Prodi problems involving the critical Sobolev exponent, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 125(4), 311–340, 2001.
- [4] L. Brasco, S. Mosconi, M. Squassina; Optimal decay of extremal functions for the fractional Sobolev inequality, *Calculus Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 55, 1–32, 2016.
- [5] M. S. Berger, E. Podolak; On the solutions of a nonlinear Dirichlet problem, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 24, 837–846, 1974/75.
- [6] L. Caffarelli; Non-local diffusions, drifts and games, in: *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Abel Symp.*, 7, 37–52, 2012.
- [7] M. Calanchi, B. Ruf; Elliptic equations with one-sided critical growth, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 520(1), 225–228, 2002.
- [8] E. N. Dancer; On the ranges of certain weakly nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 57(4), 351–366, 1978.
- [9] Y. Deng, On the superlinear Ambrosetti-Prodi problem involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 17(12), 1111–1124, 1991.
- [10] E. R. Fadell, P. H. Rabinowitz; Generalized cohomological index theories for Lie group actions with an application to bifurcation questions for Hamiltonian systems, *Invent. Math.*, 45(2), 139–174, 1978.
- [11] D. G. de Figueiredo, J. Yang, Critical superlinear Ambrosetti-Prodi problems, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 14(1), 59–80, 1999.
- [12] G. Franzina, G. Palatucci; Fractional p -eigenvalues, *Riv. Math. Univ. Parma*, 5(2), 373–386, 2014.
- [13] A. Herbert, H. Peter; A multiplicity result for a class of elliptic boundary value problems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 84(1–2), 145–151, 1979.
- [14] K. Ho, K. Perera, I. Sim, M. Squassina; A note on fractional p -Laplacian problems with singular weights, *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 19(1), 157–173, 2017.
- [15] A. Iannizzotto, S. Mosconi, M. Squassina; Global Hölder regularity for the fractional p -Laplacian, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam*, 32, 1355–1394, 2016.
- [16] A. Iannizzotto, M. Squassina; Weyl-type laws for fractional p -eigenvalue problems, *Asymptot. Anal.*, 88 (4), 233–245, 2014.
- [17] L. Jerry, F. Kazdan, W. Warner; Remarks on some quasilinear elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 28(5), 567–597, 1975.
- [18] E. Lindgren, P. Lindqvist; Fractional eigenvalues, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 49 (1–2), 795–826, 2014.
- [19] S. Mosconi, M. Squassina; Nonlocal problems at nearly critical growth, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 136, 84–101, 2016.
- [20] S. Mosconi, K. Perera, M. Squassina, Y. Yang; The Brezis-Nirenberg problem for the fractional p -Laplacian, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 55: 105, 2016.
- [21] T. Mukherjee, K. Sreenadh; On Dirichlet problem for fractional p -Laplacian with singular nonlinearity, *Advances in Nonlinear Analysis*, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1515/anona-2016-0100>.
- [22] S. Mosconi, M. Squassina; Recent progresses in the theory of nonlinear nonlocal problems, *Bruno Pini Mathematical Analysis Sem.*, 7, 147–164, 2016.
- [23] K. Perera; Nontrivial critical groups in p -Laplacian problems via the Yang index, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 21(2), 301–309, 2003.

- [24] K. Perera, R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan; Morse theoretic aspects of p -Laplacian type operators, volume 161 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [25] K. Perera, A. Szulkin; p -Laplacian problems where the nonlinearity crosses an eigenvalue, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 13(3), 743–753, 2005.
- [26] K. Perera, M. Squassina, Y. Yang; A note on the Dancer-Fučík spectra of the fractional p -Laplacian and Laplacian operators, *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.*, 4 (1), 13–23, 2015.
- [27] K. Perera, M. Squassina, Y. Yang; Bifurcation and multiplicity results for critical fractional p -Laplacian problems, *Math. Nachr.*, 289(2–3), 332–342, 2016.
- [28] K. Perera, M. Squassina, Y. Yang; Critical fractional p -Laplacian problems with possibly vanishing potentials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 433, 818–831, 2016.
- [29] K. Perera, Y. Yang, Z. Zhang; Asymmetric critical p -Laplacian problems, arXiv preprint arXiv: 1602.01071, 2016.
- [30] P. H. Rabinowitz; Some critical point theorems and applications to semilinear elliptic partial differential equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 5(1), 215–223, 1978.
- [31] Y. Yang, K. Perera; N -Laplacian problems with critical Trudinger-Moser nonlinearities, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, Vol. XVI, 1123–1138, 2016.
- [32] Z. Zhang, M. Calanchi, B. Ruf; Elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^2 with one-sided exponential growth, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 6(6), 947–971, 2004.

LI HUANG

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, JIANGNAN UNIVERSITY, WUXI, JIANGSU 214122, CHINA

E-mail address: 1005596725@qq.com

YANG YANG (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR)

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, JIANGNAN UNIVERSITY, WUXI, JIANGSU 214122, CHINA

E-mail address: yynjnu@126.com