## Recreational Marijuana Use and Acute Myocardial Infarction: Insights from Nationwide Inpatient Sample in the United States

Rupak Desai <sup>1</sup>, Upenkumar Patel <sup>2</sup>, Shobhit Sharma <sup>3</sup>, Parth Amin <sup>4</sup>, Rushikkumar Bhuva <sup>5</sup>, Malav S. Patel <sup>6</sup>, Nitin Sharma <sup>7</sup>, Manan Shah <sup>8</sup>, Smit Patel <sup>9</sup>, Sejal Savani <sup>10</sup>, Neha Batra <sup>11</sup>, Gautam Kumar <sup>12</sup>

1. Research Coordinator, Atlanta Veterans Affairs Medical Center 2. Public Health, National University 3. Biology, Texas State University 4. Department of Internal Medicine, Wellstar Atlanta Medical Center 5. Internal Medicine, Canton Medical Education Foundation 6. Department of Healthcare Administration and Health Informatics, Marshall University 7. Department of Health Informatics, Marshall University 8. Internal Medicine, University of Texas, Houston 9. Department of Neurology, University of Connecticut Health Center 10. New York University 11. Pediatrics, St. Joseph Regional Medical Center 12. Department of Medicine, Division of Cardiology, Atlanta Veterans Affairs Medical Center and Emory University School of Medicine

Corresponding author: Rupak Desai, drrupakdesai@gmail.com Disclosures can be found in Additional Information at the end of the article

### Abstract

#### Background

Marijuana is a widely used recreational substance. Few cases have been reported of acute myocardial infarction following marijuana use. To our knowledge, this is the first ever study analyzing the lifetime odds of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) with marijuana use and the outcomes in AMI patients with versus without marijuana use.

#### **Methods**

We queried the 2010-2014 National Inpatient Sample (NIS) database for 11-70-year-old AMI patients. Pearson Chi-square test for categorical variables and Student T-test for continuous variables were used to compare the baseline demographic and hospital characteristics between two groups (without vs. with marijuana) of AMI patients. The univariate and multivariate analyses were used to assess and compare the clinical outcomes between two groups. We used Cochran–Armitage test to measure the trends. All statistical analyses were executed by IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). We used weighted data to produce national estimates in our study.

#### Results

Out of 2,451,933 weighted hospitalized AMI patients, 35,771 patients with a history of marijuana and 2,416,162 patients without a history of marijuana use were identified. The AMI-marijuana group consisted more of younger, male, African American patients. The length of stay and mortality rate were lower in the AMI-marijuana group with more patients being discharged against medical advice. Multivariable analysis showed that marijuana use was a significant risk factor for AMI development when adjusted for age, sex, race (adjusted OR 1.079, 95% CI 1.065-1.093, p<0.001); adjusted for age, female, race, smoking, cocaine abuse (adjusted OR 1.041, 95% CI 1.027-1.054, p<0.001); and also when adjusted for age, female, race, payer status, smoking, cocaine abuse, amphetamine abuse and alcohol abuse (adjusted OR: 1.031, 95% CI: 1.018-1.045, p<0.001). Complications such as respiratory failure (OR 18.9, CI 1.6-2.3.0, p<0.001), cerebrovascular disease (OR 9.0, CI 7.0-11.7, p<0.001), cardiogenic shock (OR 6.0, CI 4.9-7.4, p<0.001), septicemia (OR 1.8, CI 1.5-2.2, p<0.001), and dysrhythmia (OR 1.8, CI 1.5-2.1, p<0.001) were independent predictors of mortality in AMI-marijuana group.

#### Conclusion

The lifetime AMI odds were increased in recreational marijuana users. Overall odds of mortality were not

#### How to cite this article

Desai R, Patel U, Sharma S, et al. (November 03, 2017) Recreational Marijuana Use and Acute Myocardial Infarction: Insights from Nationwide Inpatient Sample in the United States . Cureus 9(11): e1816. DOI 10.7759/cureus.1816

Received 10/27/2017 Review began 10/31/2017 Review ended 11/01/2017 Published 11/03/2017

#### © Copyright 2017

Desai et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 3.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. increased significantly in AMI-marijuana group. However, marijuana users showed higher trends of AMI prevalence and related mortality from 2010-2014. It is crucial to assess cardiovascular effects related to marijuana overuse and educate patients for the same.

**Categories:** Cardiology, Internal Medicine, Epidemiology/Public Health **Keywords:** marijuana, cannabis, acute myocardial infarction, substance abuse, mortality, trend, myocardial infarction, nationwide, cardiovascular, recreational

### Introduction

In addition to alcohol and tobacco, cannabis is one of the most abused substances globally [1]. It is an extensively used recreational substance [2]. Few states in the United States of America (USA) currently have laws broadly legalizing marijuana in some form. With the rise in cannabis legalization across the USA, the prevalence of marijuana use is expected to grow. Some studies reported that marijuana use affects the cardiovascular system, posing a risk in older people with coronary heart disease and with tachycardia at rest [3]. In other study and few case reports, the risk of myocardial infarction increased nearly five times after 1-hour post marijuana smoking when compared to marijuana non-smoking period [4, 5]. The results of long-term use of marijuana have been reported in very few studies, with no increased risk of mortality in the age group of younger than 50 years [6]. However, the literature remains contentious with regard to this issue and additional studies are required to elucidate the potential relationship between marijuana use and cardiovascular events. The current study was designed to analyze the acute myocardial infarction (AMI) prevalence with marijuana use, the odds of AMI incidence with marijuana use, and the predictors of inpatient mortality in AMI with marijuana use.

## **Materials And Methods**

We analyzed a population-based sample of AMI patients from the 2010 to 2014 National Inpatient Sample (NIS) Database of the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) sponsored by Healthcare Research and Quality Agency (AHRQ). NIS is the largest all-payer inpatient healthcare database which is publicly accessible in the United States. NIS database comprises a 20% sample inpatient from 1000 hospitals of more than 40 states which contain an average of seven million unweighted discharges per year. This data estimate results for more than 35 million weighted hospitalizations of the US population [7].

In our study, the Clinical Classifications Software (CCS) diagnosis code 100 was used to recognize the AMI patients aged 11 to 70 years. Of these, histories of marijuana dependence and non-dependent marijuana use were identified using International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes 304.30, 304.31, 304.32, 305.20, 305.21, and 305.22. The approach has already been used before to precisely distinguish patients with a history of recreational use of marijuana [8]. Moreover, we examined patients' baseline demographic and hospital details between two groups AMI-marijuana vs. AMI-non marijuana as shown in Table *1*.

Variables	AMI without Marijuana	AMI with Marijuana	P-value*
Unweighted Index admissions	487,317	7,202	
Weighted Index admissions	2,416,162	35,771	
Age in years at admission			<0.001
Mean age ± SD	57.79 ± 8.98	49.34 ± 10.80	
11-25	0.3%	2.5%	
26-40	4.2%	18.0%	
41-55	31.0%	50.1%	
56-70	64.5%	29.4%	

Manday-Fridag12%18%18%Bidnady-Sunday12%84%84%1000Did du fait12%84%84%1000Did du fait12%10%84%10%10%Didou fait60%60%60%10%10%Bioshion Delate60%60%60%10%10%Routino60%60%60%10%10%Tander to short-tem hospital60%60%10%10%Against Medical Advice (AMA)12%60%10%10%Didou Factore (AMA)60%60%10%10%Didu Factore (AMA)60%60%10%10%Factore (AMA)60%60%10%10%Didu Factore (AMA)60%60%10%10%Didu Factore (AMA)60%60%10%10%Didu Factore (AMA)60%60%10%10%Didu Factore (AMA)60%60%10%10%Didu Factore (AMA)60%60%10%10%Didu				
Autory Direct during hospitalization25.8%28.4%24.4%Did during hospitalization4.2%96.6%-Did not die5.8%3.4%-Diagosition of Patient6.0%74.0%-Routine6.0%6.9%Routine6.0%6.9%Routine6.0%6.9%Routine6.0%6.9%Other Transfers (SNF, IGF, another facility)9.1%6.8%-Against Medical Advice (AMA)1.2%6.8%-Did5.8%3.4%Did5.8%6.4%Did5.8%3.4%Did5.8%3.4%Non-elective AdmissionsNon-elective Admissions5.2%Male6.0%6.9%Male6.0%6.9%Male6.0%6.9%Male6.0%6.9%Adaptioned Stay (Eleance)5.6% 2.0%1.6%10 to 12 days5.4%5.6% 2.0%10 to 12 days5.4%5.6%10 to 12 days5.4%5.6%10 to 12 days5.6%6.6%6.6%10 to 12 days5.6% </td <td>Weekend Admissions</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>&lt;0.001</td>	Weekend Admissions			<0.001
Diadurina point intermediationAdditionDid not dia94%96%96%Did not dia5%3%96%Disposition of Patient6%74%96%Routine6%6%6%96%Tansier to short-tem hospital9%6%9%Other Tansfers (SNF, ICF, another facility)9%6%9%Aquina theories (SNF, ICF, another facility)9%6%9%Non-Heatth Care9%6%9%9%Did not fact (SNF, ICF, another facility)9%6%9%Non-Heatth Care9%6%9%9%Did not fact (SNF, ICF, another facility)9%9%9%Did not fact (SNF, ICF, another facility)9%9%9	Monday-Friday	74.2%	71.6%	
Number Diport diameter94.2%96.8%94.3%Diposition of Patient5.8%3.4%Diposition of Patient60.%7.0%Routine60.%7.0%Transfer to short-term hospital8.9%6.9%Other Transfer (SNF, ICF, another facility)9.1%6.9%Against Medical Advice (AMA)1.2%6.9%Died5.8%4.9%Died5.8%4.9%Died5.8%4.9%Died5.8%6.9%4.9%Died to stay (AMA)1.2%4.9%Died to stay (AMA)6.9%5.2%Died to stay (Adays) ± 506.6%7.9%Man length of stay (Idays) ± 506.6%8.7%S days6.4%8.7% </td <td>Saturday-Sunday</td> <td>25.8%</td> <td>28.4%</td> <td></td>	Saturday-Sunday	25.8%	28.4%	
Died38%38%38%Diegosition of Patient68%74.0%50.01Routino66.0%74.0%50.0%Transfer to short-tem hospital88%9.9%50.0%Other Transfers (SNF, ICF, another facility)3.1%6.9%50.0%Against Medical Advice (AMA)12%6.0%50.0%Died2.5%6.0%6.0%6.0%Died5.7%70.0%6.0%6.0%Died5.7%6.0%6.0%6.0%Non-elective Admission6.0%6.0%6.0%Non-elective Admission6.0%6.0%6.0%Male6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Male6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Male6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Male6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Male6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Male6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Male6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Male6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Male6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Alegasity6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Male6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Alegasity6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Alegasity6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Alegasity6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Alegasity6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Alegasity6.0%6.0%	Died during hospitalization			<0.001
Deposition of Patient<<< </td <td>Did not die</td> <td>94.2%</td> <td>96.6%</td> <td></td>	Did not die	94.2%	96.6%	
Routine66.0%74.0%74.0%Transfer (sNF, ICF, another facility)8.0%6.0%8.0%Against Medical Advice (AMA)9.3%6.0%7.0%Against Medical Advice (AMA)1.2%0.0%7.0%Died5.0%3.0%6.0%7.0%Elective s. Non-elective Admission9.7%8.0%7.0%Non-elective Admission9.7%6.0%7.0%Elective s. Non-elective Admission6.0%6.0%7.0%Elective s. Non-elective Admission9.7%8.0%7.0%Non-elective Admission9.7%6.0%7.0%Elective s. Non-elective Admission6.0%6.0%7.0%Indicator of Sex6.0%6.0%7.0%Male6.0%7.0%7.0%Male6.0%7.0%7.0%Indicator of Sex6.0%7.0%7.0%Indicator of Sex6.0%6.0%7.0%Indicator of Sex7.0%6.0%7.0%Indicator of Sex7.0%7.0%7.0%Indicator of Sex7.0% <td>Died</td> <td>5.8%</td> <td>3.4%</td> <td></td>	Died	5.8%	3.4%	
Transfer to short-term hospital8.6%6.9%9.1%Other Transfers (SNF, ICF, another facility)9.1%4.9%1Home Health Care9.3%6.8%11Against Medical Advice (AMA)1.2%4.0%11Died5.8%3.4%111Died5.8%8.3%6.2%111Elective x. Non-elective Admission91.7%8.3%6.2%11	Disposition of Patient			<0.001
Other Transfers (SNF, ICF, another facility)9.1%4.9%Home Health Care9.3%6.8%9.1%Against Medical Advice (AMA)1.2%4.0%1.1%Died5.8%3.4%9.1%Elective s. Mon-elective Administom91.7%94.8%1.1%Non-elective Administom91.7%94.8%1.1%Elective S. Mon-elective Administom8.3%5.2%1.1%Indicator of Sax6.0%5.2%1.1%Indicator of Sax6.0%7.9%1.1%Mala6.0%3.1%1.1%Mala6.0%3.1%1.1%Adays5.5% 8.018.7%1.1%Adays5.5% 8.018.7%1.1%Adays6.0%9.1%1.1%A for days5.5% 8.018.3%1.1%A for days5.5%8.1%1.1%A for days6.5%8.5%1.1%A for days6.5%8.5%1.1%A for days6.5%8.5%1.1%A for days6.6%8.5%1.1%A for days6.6%8.5%1.1%A for days6.6%8.5%1.1%A for days6.6%8.5%1.1%A for days6.6%8.5%1.1%A for days7.4%	Routine	66.0%	74.0%	
Home Health Care9.3%6.8%6.8%Against Medical Advice (AMA)1.2%4.0%Died5.8%3.4%Died5.8%3.4%Elective vs. Non-elective Admissions94.8%Non-elective Admissions5.2%Indicator of Sax6.0%7.9%Indicator of Sax6.0%7.9%Male6.0%7.9%Maale6.0%7.9%Female3.0%3.1%Mean length of stay (days) ±SD5.65 ± 8.018.7%10 to 12 days5.65%8.7%10 to 12 days5.6%4.5%21 days6.0%8.8%21 days6.6%8.9%21 days7.9%6.0%21 days7.9%7.9%21 days7.9%7.9%21 days7.9%7.9%21 days7.9%7.9% <t< td=""><td>Transfer to short-term hospital</td><td>8.6%</td><td>6.9%</td><td></td></t<>	Transfer to short-term hospital	8.6%	6.9%	
Against Medical Advice (AMA)1.2%4.0%4.0%Died5.8%3.4%4.0%4.0%Elective S. Mon-elective Admissions19.7%4.8%4.0%Non-elective Admissions8.3%5.2%4.0%Elective Compositions6.0%5.2%4.0%Indicator OSax6.0%6.0%6.0%4.0%Male6.0%6.0%6.0%4.0%Mana Indicator Mathematic5.5%6.0%4.0%4.0%Mana Indicator Mathematic6.0%6.0%4.0%4.0%Mana Indicator Mathematic6.0%6.0%4.0%4.0%Mana Indicator Mathematic6.5%6.0%6.0%4.0%Adving Mathematic6.0%6.0%6.0%4.0%Adving Mathematic6.0%6.0%6.0%4.0%Adving Mathematic6.0%6.0%4.0%4.0%Adving Mathematic6.0%6.0%4.0%4.0%Adving Mathematic6.0%6.0%4.0%4.0%Adving Mathematic6.0%6.0%4.0%4.0%Adving Mathematic6.0%6.0%6.0%4.0%Adving Mathematic6.0%6.0%6.0%4.0%Adving Mathematic6.0%6.0%6.0%4.0%Adving Mathematic6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Adving Mathematic6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Adving Mathematic6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Adving Mathematic6.0% <td< td=""><td>Other Transfers (SNF, ICF, another facility)</td><td>9.1%</td><td>4.9%</td><td></td></td<>	Other Transfers (SNF, ICF, another facility)	9.1%	4.9%	
Died5.%3.4%4.0%Elective s. Non-elective Admissions17.%4.8%6.0%Non-elective Admissions17.%4.8%6.0%Elective S. Non-elective Admissions5.3%2.%6.0%Indicator of Sex6.0%6.0%6.0%6.0%Male6.0%6.0%3.1%6.0%Male6.0%3.1%6.0%6.0%Indicator of Sex6.0%3.1%6.0%Male5.5%6.1%5.7%6.0%Sadays6.4%8.7%7.0%A to 6 days6.0%1.0%6.0%10 to 12 days6.0%6.0%6.0%11 to 12 days6.0%6.0%6.0%21 days6.0%6.0%6.0%Medicaire6.0%6.0%6.0%Medicaire1.0%6.0%6.0%Medicaire1.0%6.0%6.0%No charge6.0%6.0%6.0%No charge6.0%6.0%6.0%N	Home Health Care	9.3%	6.8%	
IndextorIndextorIndextorIndextorNon-elective Admission17%9.8%IIndextor Sea8.3%5.2%IIndicator Sea6.0%7.9%IMale6.0%3.1%IFenale6.0%3.1%IIndit of stay (days) ±SD5.5 ± 8.1%8.7%IStadays5.54 ± 8.1%8.7%IIn 10 ± 10 ± 0.0%2.1%1.1%IIn 10 ± 0.0%2.1%1.1%IIn 10 ± 0.0%5.5%8.7%IIn 10 ± 0.0%5.5%8.7%IIn 10 ± 0.0%5.5%8.7%IIn 10 ± 0.0%5.5%8.7%IIn 10 ± 0.0%6.7%IIIn 10 ± 0.0%6.7%IIIn 10 ± 0.0%6.7%IIIn 10 ± 0.0%5.5%IIIn 10 ± 0.0%6.7%IIIn 10 ± 0.0%1.1%IIIn	Against Medical Advice (AMA)	1.2%	4.0%	
Non-elective91.7%94.8%91.7%Elective3.3%5.2%0.001Indicator of Sex6.0%7.9%0.010Male6.0%3.1%0.010Female3.0%3.1%0.010Lensth of stay (days) ±SD5.5% 3.013.7% 3.021.0%4 to 6 days0.3%5.5%3.8%1.0%10 to 12 days0.1%3.6%1.0%1.0%21 days0.5%3.6%3.0%1.0%Medicaire1.0%0.0%1.0%1.0%Medicaire1.0%0.0%1.0%1.0%Medicaire1.0%3.6%3.0%1.0%Norharge0.3%0.0%1.0%1.0%Norharge0.3%0.0%1.0%1.0%Norharge0.0%0.0%1.0%1.0%Norharge0.0%0.0%0.0%1.0%Norharge0.0%0.0%0.0%1.0%Norharge0.0%0.0%0.0%1.0%Norharge0.0%0.0%0.0%1.0%Norharge0.0%0.0%0.0%1.0%Norharge0.0%0.0%0.0%1.0%Norharge0.0%0.0%0.0%1.0%Norharge0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%Norharge0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%Norharge0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%Norharge0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%Norharge0.0% <td< td=""><td>Died</td><td>5.8%</td><td>3.4%</td><td></td></td<>	Died	5.8%	3.4%	
Encine83%24%Indicator of Sex6.0%6.0%6.0%Mala6.0%6.0%7.0%Fenale3.0%3.1%7.0%Indit of Stat/ (leaned)5.65 ± 8.1%8.7%7.0%Sadays6.0%6.0%8.7%7.0%A to 6 days0.1%8.8%7.0%10 to 12 days0.1%8.8%7.0%21 days0.1%6.2%7.0%Prinary Expected Payer7.0%6.2%9.0%Medicaire1.0%6.0%7.0%Medicaire0.6%0.0%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.0%7.0%Set - Pay0.3%0.2%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.2%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.2%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.2%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.2%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.2%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.2%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.2%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.2%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.2%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.2%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.2%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.2%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.2%7.0%Notharge0.3%0.3%0.0%Notharge0.3%0.3%0.0%Notharge0.3%0.3%0.0%Notharge0.3%0.3% <td>Elective vs. Non-elective Admissions</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>&lt;0.001</td>	Elective vs. Non-elective Admissions			<0.001
Indicator of Sex• 0.001Male60.%76.9%Female30.%31.%Ienath of stay (cleaned)56.5 & 0.18.7%≤ 3 days56.5 & 0.18.7%4 to 6 days0.3%8.8%10 to 12 days5.5%8.8%10 to 12 days5.5%8.9%21 days0.1%8.9%10 to 12 days5.5%8.9%10 to 12 days0.7%8.9%10 to 12 days0.7%8.9%10 to 12 days6.6%0.6%10 to 12 days0.7%0.9%10 to 12 days0.9%1.9%10 to 12 days0.9%0.9%10 to 12 days0.9%0.9% </td <td>Non-elective</td> <td>91.7%</td> <td>94.8%</td> <td></td>	Non-elective	91.7%	94.8%	
Mai6.0%7.9%Fenale4.0%3.1%4.0%Lanth of stay (cleaned)5.5%3.7%4.0%Man length of stay (cleaned)5.5%3.7%7.0%Sadays0.3%1.3%3.1%1.0%A to 6 days0.3%3.8%1.0%1.0%10 to 12 days0.5%3.5%3.6%1.0%11 to 12 days0.7%0.0%1.0%1.0%21 days0.7%0.0%1.0%1.0%Medicare6.6%0.6%1.0%1.0%Medicare1.0%0.0%1.0%1.0%Nordarge0.3%0.0%1.0%1.0%Nordarge0.3%0.0%1.0%1.0%Nordarge0.0%0.0%1.0%1.0%Nordarge0.0%0.0%0.0%1.0%Nordarge0.0%0.0%0.0%1.0%Nordarge0.0%0.0%0.0%1.0%Nordarge0.0%0.0%0.0%1.0%Nordarge0.0%0.0%0.0%1.0%Nordarge0.0%0.0%0.0%1.0%Nordarge0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%Nordarge0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%Nordarge0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%Nordarge0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%Nordarge0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%Nordarge0.0%0.0%0.0%0.0%Nordarge0.0% <td< td=""><td>Elective</td><td>8.3%</td><td>5.2%</td><td></td></td<>	Elective	8.3%	5.2%	
Female34.0%31.1%Image: state	Indicator of Sex			<0.001
Length of stay (cleaned)Note of the stay (cleaned)N	Male	66.0%	76.9%	
Mean length of stay (days) ±SD   5.65 ± 8.01   4.74 ± 5.94     ≤3 days   54.4%   58.7%     4 to 6 days   20.3%   21.8%     7 to 9 days   10.1%   8.8%     10 to 12 days   5.5%   4.5%     ≥13 days   9.7%   6.2%     Primary Expected Payer   V   6.0%     Medicard   10.9%   2.5%     Private including HMO   37.4%   2.5%     Self - Pay   9.3%   2.9%     No charge   0.9%   2.2%     Other   3.9%   3.9%	Female	34.0%	23.1%	
s3 days 54.% 58.7% 58.7% 58.7% 58.7% 58.7% 58.7% 58.7% 59.7% 5	Length of stay (cleaned)			<0.001
4 to 6 days 20.3% 21.8% (1)   7 to 9 days 10.1% 8.8% (1)   10 to 12 days 5.5% 4.5% (1)   ≥ 13 days 9.7% 6.2% (1)   Primary Expected Payer V 0.001 (1)   Medicare 36.6% 0.6% (1)   Private including HMO 7.4% 22.5% (1)   Self - Pay 9.3% 2.9% (1)   No charge 0.9% 2.9% (1)   Other 3.9% 3.9% (1)	Mean length of stay (days) ±SD	5.65 ± 8.01	4.74 ± 5.94	
And Control	≤3 days	54.4%	58.7%	
10 to 12 days5.5%4.5%4.5%≥13 days9.7%6.2%•0.01Primary Expected PayerII•0.01Medicare36.6%20.6%· · · · · · · ·Medicaid1.9%26.9%· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 to 6 days	20.3%	21.8%	
≥13 days 9.7% 6.2% constant of a constant o	7 to 9 days	10.1%	8.8%	
Primary Expected PayerImage: second seco	10 to 12 days	5.5%	4.5%	
Medicare   36.6%   20.6%     Medicaid   11.9%   69%     Private including HMO   37.4%   22.5%     Self - Pay   9.3%   22.9%     No charge   0.9%   2.2%     Other   3.9%   4.9%	≥13 days	9.7%	6.2%	
Medicaid11.9%26.9%Private including HMO37.4%22.5%Self - Pay9.3%22.9%No charge0.9%2.2%Other3.9%4.9%	Primary Expected Payer			<0.001
Private including HMO37.4%22.5%Self - Pay9.3%22.9%No charge0.9%2.2%Other3.9%4.9%	Medicare	36.6%	20.6%	
Self - Pay   9.3%   22.9%     No charge   0.9%   2.2%     Other   3.9%   4.9%	Medicaid	11.9%	26.9%	
No charge   0.9%   2.2%     Other   3.9%   4.9%	Private including HMO	37.4%	22.5%	
Other 3.9% 4.9%	Self - Pay	9.3%	22.9%	
	No charge	0.9%	2.2%	
<u>Race</u> <0.001	Other	3.9%	4.9%	
	Race			<0.001

White	71.4%	55.4%	
Black	13.8%	33.0%	
Hispanic	8.4%	6.8%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	2.4%	0.7%	
Native American	0.7%	0.9%	
Other	3.4%	3.3%	
Median Household Income Quartile on Patient's ZIP			<0.001
\$1 - \$39, 999	32.2%	44.0%	
\$40, 000 - \$50,999	27.0%	26.4%	
\$51, 000 - \$65, 999	23.2%	18.9%	
\$66, 000 +	17.7%	10.6%	
Total charges (Mean)	\$85,702.22	\$76,272.23	<0.001
Bed Size of Hospital <sup>¥</sup>			0.001
Small	10.6%	10.0%	
Medium	24.5%	24.4%	
Large	64.9%	65.6%	
Location/Teaching Status of Hospital			<0.001
Rural	8.9%	6.3%	
Urban - non teaching	36.7%	32.1%	
Urban - teaching	54.4%	61.7%	

\*Significant P-values  $\leq$  0.05 at 95% confidence Interval, ¥ The bed size cutoff points divided into small, medium, and large have been done so that approximately one-third of the hospitals in a given region, location, and teaching status combination would fall within each bed size category. Derived from https://www.hcupus.ahrq.gov/db/vars/hosp\_bedsize/nisnote.jsp

## TABLE 1: Baseline Characteristics of Hospitalized Acute Myocardial Infarction Patients with known Marijuana Use

SNF: skilled nursing facility, ICF: intermediate care facility

AHRQ co-morbidities and other related co-morbidities were also scrutinized as shown in Table 2.

Variables	AMI without Marijuana	AMI with Marijuana	P-value*
Comorbidities <sup>#</sup>			
AIDS	0.3%	1.0%	<0.001
Motor Vehicle Accident	0.2%	0.2%	0.218
Septicemia	8.2%	5.0%	<0.001

Musculoskeletal			
RA/CVD	2.3%	1.5%	<0.001
Cardiovascular			
Congestive Heart Failure	7.6%	5.8%	<0.001
Dyslipidemia	58.9%	50.6%	<0.001
Atherosclerosis	8.7%	6.6%	<0.001
Cardiomyopathy	8.4%	12.1%	<0.001
Thromboembolism	4.3%	3.5%	<0.001
Previous MI	10.7%	12.9%	<0.001
Family History of CAD	10.7%	13.7%	<0001
Previous PCI	15.6%	14.5%	<0.001
Previous CABG	6.7%	3.8%	<0.001
Cardiogenic Shock	5.4%	3.5%	<0.001
History of SCA	0.6%	0.7%	<0.001
Valvular Disease	1.9%	1.6%	<0.001
Peripheral Vascular Disorders	10.6%	7.9%	<0.001
Hypertension	67.6%	62.8%	<0.001
Dysrhythmia	25.6%	23.0%	<0.001
Vasopressor Infusion	1.4%	1.1%	<0.001
Post-MI Dressler's Syndrome	0.3%	0.4%	<0.001
Left Ventricular Failure	0.1%	0.1%	0.628
Respiratory			
Chronic Pulmonary Disease	21.5%	22.4%	<0.001
Pulmonary Circulation Disorders	1.7%	1.0%	<0.001
Respiratory Failure	18.7%	15.8%	<0.001
Pneumonia	9.3%	7.0%	<0.001
Obstructive Sleep Apnea	8.1%	5.2%	<0.001
<u>Neurological</u>			
Paralysis	2.3%	1.6%	<0.001
Other Neurological Disorders	5.4%	6.5%	<0.001
Cerebrovascular Disease	2.8%	2.7%	0.133
Transient Ischemic Attacks	0.3%	0.2%	0.057
Psychiatry			
Depression	8.6%	10.9%	<0.001


Psychoses	3.5%	8.4%	<0.001
Alcohol Abuse	5.1%	22.6%	<0.001
Smoking	46.3%	75.9%	<0.001
Cocaine Abuse	1.2%	18.9%	<0.001
Amphetamine Abuse	0.3%	5.6%	<0.001
Drug Abuse	3.3%	99.5%	<0.001
Hemato-oncological			
Deficiency Anemia	15.7%	12.1%	<0.001
Coagulopathy	6.6%	6.1%	<0.001
Weight Loss	4.2%	3.6%	<0.001
Metastatic Cancer	1.2%	0.4%	<0.001
Solid Tumor without Metastasis	1.3%	0.7%	<0.001
Endocrinological			
Diabetes, Uncomplicated	30.0%	18.3%	<0.001
Diabetes with Chronic Complications	8.4%	4.7%	<0.001
Hypothyroidism	7.8%	3.7%	<0.001
Renal			
Renal Failure	16.5%	10.3%	<0.001
Fluid and Electrolyte Disorders	25.4%	27.3%	<0.001
Hemodialysis	5.4%	2.7%	<0.001
Gastrointestinal			
Liver Disease	2.7%	4.5%	<0.001
Obesity	19.2%	15.3%	<0.001

\*Significant P-values ≤ 0.05 at 95% confidence Interval, <sup>#</sup>Variables are AHRQ Co-morbidity measures

Abbreviations: AIDS – Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome, RA/CVD – Rheumatoid Arthritis/ Collagen Vascular Disease, MI – Myocardial Infarction, CAD – Coronary Artery Disease, PCI – Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, CABG – Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting, SCA – Sudden Cardiac Arrest

# TABLE 2: Comorbidities in Hospitalized Acute Myocardial Infarction Patients with knownMarijuana Use

We also assessed the trends of AMI prevalence and AMI-related mortality in 11-70 years old marijuana users as shown in Figure *1*.



#### FIGURE 1: Trends of AMI Prevalence and Mortality per 100,000 Marijuana Users in the United States

The primary outcome in our study was the prevalence of AMI, predictors of AMI incidence and inpatient mortality and secondary outcomes included the length of stay (LOS), total hospital charges, and complications. We used ICD-9 CM codes and Clinical Classification Software codes to identify the comorbidities which were not classified in NIS database shown in the appendix.

Pearson chi-square test for categorical variables and Student t-test for continuous variables were used to compare the baseline demographic and hospital characteristics between two groups (without vs. with marijuana) of AMI patients. A two side tailed p-value <0.05 was considered to determine the statistical significance. The categorical variables were measured in percentages, and continuous variables were expressed in mean±SD. We evaluated odds of AMI incidence and in-hospital mortality in AMI with marijuana use by univariate analysis and then clinically significant relevant patients' variables were incorporated in multivariate logistic regression after adjusting for potential confounders including demographic and hospital criteria, history of substance abuse, and other relevant comorbidities. Odds ratio, 95% confidence interval, and p-value were used to report logistic regression results. The multivariate regression model was adjusted for potential confounders such as age, race, sex, median household income, smoking, and cocaine abuse to assess the odds of AMI incidence in marijuana users (Table 3). The multivariate regression models for inpatient mortality was adjusted for confounding factors such as age, race, the length of stay, the median house of income in the zip code, an indicator of sex, hospital bed size, smoking and cocaine abuse. The trends of baseline demographics, AMI prevalence and complications from 2010-2014 were measured by Cochran-Armitage test. All statistical analyses were executed by IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, New York). We used weighted data to produce national estimates in our study. Institutional Review Board authorization was not needed in this study because NIS database does not contain patients' identification details.

Variables	Adjusted Odds Ratio <sup>\$</sup>	95% Confidence Interval	P-value*
Age in years at admission			

24-044.4148.4848.4878.497	11-25	Referent	Referent		
<table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-container><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container></table-container>	26-40	4.141	3.848	4.457	<0.001
IntercerseIntercerseMatex semale1,671,651,614,001Bace0,670,670,670,010Bach0,670,610,610,0100,010Bach0,720,690,890,0100,010Algard Pacific Islander0,760,690,890,010Natve American0,630,600,800,0100,010OtherReferit0,801,720,010Other1,661,631,610,0100,010Other1,661,631,610,0100,010Otagaley Heat Failure0,810,810,0100,010Otagaley Heat Failure0,810,810,0100,010Otagaley Heat Failure0,910,810,0100,010Otagaley Heat Failure0,910,810,910,010Otagaley Heat Failure0,910,810,910,01Otagaley Heat Failure0,910,910,910,91Otagaley Heat Failure0,910,910,910,91Otagaley Heat Failure0,910,910,910,91Otagaley Heat Failure0,910,910,910,91Otagaley Heat Failure0,920,910,910,91Otagaley Heat Failure0,910,910,910,91Otagaley Heat Failure0,920,910,910,91Otagaley Heat Failure0,920,920,910,91Otaga	41-55	9.099	8.463	9.782	<0.001
Nake vs. Female1,6071,4631,549e,0001BacaWink0,8020,7500,871e,001Black0,6970,6810,747e,001Blach0,7220,6430,760e,001Aisanic0,7620,6830,802e,001Ative American0,7630,6810,802e,001Other0,8030,6810,8030,8030,803Other0,8030,8040,8040,8040,804Other0,8040,8040,8040,8040,804Other0,8030,8030,8031,8031,8030,804Outputtiet1,8041,8041,8041,8040,8040,804Outputtiet1,8041,8041,8141,8041,8041,8041,804Outputtiet1,8041,8141,8141,8141,8041,8041,8041,804Outputtiet1,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,814Outputtiet1,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,814Outputtiet1,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,814Outputtiet1,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,814Outputtiet1,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,8141,814Outputtiet1,8141,814 <t< td=""><td>56-70</td><td>11.510</td><td>10.678</td><td>12.406</td><td>&lt;0.001</td></t<>	56-70	11.510	10.678	12.406	<0.001
RaceProductionProductionBack6876.876.876.07Back6.976.876.876.07Bispanic7.026.817.076.001Alaron Cacific Islander6.766.896.806.001Native Aneroan6.866.806.806.001Native Aneroan6.806.806.806.001Other6.806.806.806.806.80Other6.806.806.806.806.80Other6.806.806.806.806.80Other6.806.807.806.806.80Other6.806.807.806.806.80Other6.807.807.806.906.90Other1.806.807.807.806.90Other6.816.817.807.806.90Other6.816.817.807.906.90Other6.816.817.906.906.90Other6.816.916.916.916.91Other Other6.816.916.916.916.91Other Other Other6.816.916.916.91Other Other Other Other6.816.916.916.91Other Other Other Other Other Other6.916.916.91Other Other Other Other Other Other Other Other Other Other6.916.91Other Other Other Other Other Other Other<	Indicator of Sex				
Nhite0.8020.7500.857Black0.6970.6510.747Hispanic0.7020.6490.760Asian Pacific Islander0.7660.6000.802Natve American0.6930.6000.802OtherReferentReferent </td <td>Male vs. Female</td> <td>1.507</td> <td>1.465</td> <td>1.549</td> <td>&lt;0.001</td>	Male vs. Female	1.507	1.465	1.549	<0.001
Black0.6970.6510.7470.001Hispanic0.7020.6910.7600.001Asian or Pacific Islander0.7660.6000.8020.001Native American0.6930.6000.8020.001OtherReferentReferent0.8020.001Other1.6951.4200.001Concohidtites <sup>4</sup> 1.4001.4000.001Cocaine1.0661.6301.4200.001Cocaine1.0160.7431.4100.001Cologestive Heart Failure0.7930.8140.8310.001Cogulopathy0.8330.8140.8200.001Diabetes, Uncomplication0.7910.8140.8140.001Diabetes, Uncomplications0.7970.8140.8140.001Diabetes, Uncomplications0.7970.8640.4200.001Diabetes, Uncomplications0.7020.8640.7200.001Diabetes, Uncomplications0.7020.6640.7200.001Diabetes, Uncomplications0.7200.6640.7200.001Diabetes, Uncomplications1.720.0010.0010.001Diabetes, Uncomplications0.7200.6640.7200.001Diabetes, Uncomplications0.7200.6640.8270.001Diabetes, Uncomplications0.7210.6710.0010.001Diabetes, Uncomplications0.7240.6210.0010.001Diabetes, Uncomplicati	Race				
Hispanic0.7020.6490.760        <td>White</td> <td>0.802</td> <td>0.750</td> <td>0.857</td> <td>&lt;0.001</td>	White	0.802	0.750	0.857	<0.001
Asian or Pacific Islander0.7660.6690.8890.001Native American0.6030.6000.802<0.001	Black	0.697	0.651	0.747	<0.001
Native American0.6930.6000.802<0.001OtherReferentReferentComorbidities*1.9951.6501.742<0.001	Hispanic	0.702	0.649	0.760	<0.001
OtherReferentReferentConorbidities <sup>4</sup> 1.095ReferentSmoking1.0951.6501.742<.001	Asian or Pacific Islander	0.766	0.659	0.889	<0.001
International problemation of the second structure of the second struct	Native American	0.693	0.600	0.802	<0.001
Smoking1.6951.6501.742<0.001Cocaine1.1061.0731.140<0.001	Other	Referent	Referent		
Cocaine   1.106   1.073   1.140   <.001     AIDS   1.221   1.083   1.377   0.001     Congestive Heart Failure   0.789   0.748   0.831   <0.001	<u>Comorbidities<sup>#</sup></u>				
AIDS1.2211.0831.3770.001Congestive Heart Failure0.7890.7480.831<0.001	Smoking	1.695	1.650	1.742	<0.001
Congestive Heart Failure0.7890.7480.8310.001Chronic Pulmonary Disease0.8380.8140.8620.001Coagulopathy1.6631.5821.7490.001Diabetes, Uncomplicated0.9110.9710.001Diabetes with Complications0.7970.7530.8440.001Drug Abuse16.1821.38241.89420.001Hypertension1.5361.4951.5790.001Liver Disease0.7020.6640.7530.001Fluid and Electrolyte Disorders1.2781.2451.3130.001Peripheral Vascular Disorder0.7340.6520.8270.001Renal Failure1.0571.0141.030.001Valvular Disease0.6920.6290.7610.001Pusipidemia3.2753.1883.6330.001	Cocaine	1.106	1.073	1.140	<0.001
Chronic Pulmonary Disease0.8380.8140.8620.001Coagulopathy1.6631.5821.7490.001Diabetes, Uncomplicated0.9410.9110.9710.001Diabetes with Complications0.7970.7530.8440.001Drug Abuse16.1821.382418.9420.001Hypertension1.5361.4951.5790.001Liver Disease0.7020.6640.7420.001Fluid and Electrolyte Disorders1.2781.2451.3130.001Obesity1.2641.5381.8270.001Purponary Circulation Disorder0.7340.6520.8270.001Renal Failure1.0571.0141.1030.010Valvular Diseases0.6920.6290.7610.001System3.2753.1883.6330.001	AIDS	1.221	1.083	1.377	0.001
Coagulopathy1.6631.5821.749<.001Diabetes, Uncomplicated0.9410.9110.971<.001	Congestive Heart Failure	0.789	0.748	0.831	<0.001
Diabetes, Uncomplicated0.9410.9110.971<0.001Diabetes with Complications0.7970.7530.844<0.001	Chronic Pulmonary Disease	0.838	0.814	0.862	<0.001
Diabetes with Complications0.7970.7530.844<0.001Drug Abuse16.18213.82418.942<0.001	Coagulopathy	1.663	1.582	1.749	<0.001
Drug Abuse   16.182   13.824   18.942   <0.001     Hypertension   1.536   1.495   1.579   <0.001	Diabetes, Uncomplicated	0.941	0.911	0.971	<0.001
Hypertension   1.536   1.495   1.579   <0.001     Hypothyroidism   0.708   0.666   0.753   <0.001	Diabetes with Complications	0.797	0.753	0.844	<0.001
Hypothyroidism   0.708   0.666   0.753   <0.001     Liver Disease   0.702   0.664   0.742   <0.001	Drug Abuse	16.182	13.824	18.942	<0.001
Liver Disease0.7020.6640.742<0.001Fluid and Electrolyte Disorders1.2781.2451.313<0.001	Hypertension	1.536	1.495	1.579	<0.001
Fluid and Electrolyte Disorders1.2781.2451.313<0.001Obesity1.2641.2221.307<0.001	Hypothyroidism	0.708	0.666	0.753	<0.001
Obesity   1.264   1.222   1.307   <0.001     Peripheral Vascular Disorder   1.676   1.538   1.827   <0.001	Liver Disease	0.702	0.664	0.742	<0.001
Peripheral Vascular Disorder   1.676   1.538   1.827   <0.001     Pulmonary Circulation Disorder   0.734   0.652   0.827   <0.001	Fluid and Electrolyte Disorders	1.278	1.245	1.313	<0.001
Pulmonary Circulation Disorder 0.734 0.652 0.827 <0.001   Renal Failure 1.057 1.014 1.103 0.010   Valvular Diseases 0.692 0.629 0.761 <0.001	Obesity	1.264	1.222	1.307	<0.001
Renal Failure   1.057   1.014   1.103   0.010     Valvular Diseases   0.692   0.629   0.761   <0.001	Peripheral Vascular Disorder	1.676	1.538	1.827	<0.001
Valvular Diseases   0.692   0.629   0.761   <0.001     Dyslipidemia   3.275   3.188   3.363   <0.001	Pulmonary Circulation Disorder	0.734	0.652	0.827	<0.001
Dyslipidemia 3.275 3.188 3.363 <0.001	Renal Failure	1.057	1.014	1.103	0.010
	Valvular Diseases	0.692	0.629	0.761	<0.001
Atherosclerosis   0.849   0.773   0.931   0.001	Dyslipidemia	3.275	3.188	3.363	<0.001
	Atherosclerosis	0.849	0.773	0.931	0.001

Cardiomyopathy	2.715	2.612	2.823	<0.001
Cerebrovascular Disease	0.668	0.621	0.718	<0.001
Oral Contraceptive Pills	0.726	0.583	0.905	0.004
Thromboembolism	0.775	0.728	0.824	<0.001
Previous MI	1.101	1.056	1.148	<0.001
Family History of CAD	4.481	4.315	4.652	<0.001
Previous PCI	2.443	2.344	2.546	<0.001
Previous CABG	0.896	0.839	0.957	0.001
History of Sudden Cardiac Arrest	3.899	3.313	4.589	<0.001

\*Significant P-value  $\leq$  0.05 at 95% Confidence Interval, <sup>#</sup>Variables are AHRQ Co-morbidity measures. <sup>\$</sup>Regression model adjusted for age, race, sex, drug abuse, cocaine abuse, other relevant comorbidities and hospital characteristics

#### TABLE 3: Multivariate Predictors of Acute Myocardial Infarction with known Marijuana Use

MI: myocardial infarction, CAD: coronary artery disease, PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention, CABG: coronary artery bypass grafting.

### **Results**

#### Study population

We identified 2,451,933 weighted hospitalized AMI patients from the 2010 –2014 NIS database. Of these, there were 35,771 patients with a history of marijuana and 2,416,162 patients without a history of marijuana use. The mean age (years) was 49.3 ± 10.7 in the AMI-marijuana group as compared to 57.7 ± 8.9 in AMI non-marijuana group. AMI-marijuana group consisted more of younger, male (76.9% vs. 66.0%), black (33.0% vs. 13.8%) patients with more Medicaid enrollees (26.9% vs. 11.9%) compared to the non-marijuana group. In White, Hispanic and Asian ethnicities, males had a higher prevalence of AMI with marijuana use while in the Black race, females had a higher prevalence of AMI in marijuana users. The age group 41-55 years had the highest prevalence (50.1%) of AMI with marijuana use.

The disposition by 'against medical advice' was higher in AMI with marijuana patients (4.0% vs.1.2%). Urban – teaching hospital documented more of AMI patients with marijuana use (61.7% vs. 54.4%). The low-income group (\$1 - \$39,999) had a higher number of AMI-marijuana group patients as compared to AMI-non marijuana group (44.0% vs. 32.2%). Weekend hospital admissions were increased in AMI with marijuana patients (28.4% vs. 25.8%) as compared to AMI without marijuana patients. Inpatient mortality rate was found lower in AMI with marijuana group (3.4% vs. 5.8%) (Table 1).

Co-morbidities such as cardiomyopathy, previous myocardial infarction (MI), family history of coronary artery disease, chronic pulmonary disease, other neurological disorders, depression, psychosis, alcohol abuse, drug abuse, smoking, cocaine abuse, amphetamine abuse, fluid and electrolytes disorders, and liver disease were more prevalent in the AMI with marijuana group (all p<0.001) (Table 2).

The prevalence trends of dysrhythmias, respiratory failure, cardiogenic shock, and congestive heart failure increased by 4.7%, 6.4%, 1.4%, 1.4%, respectively from 2010 to 2014 (p<0.0001) (Figure 2).




FIGURE 2: Prevalence Trends of Congestive Heart Failure, Cardiogenic Shock, Dysrhythmias and Respiratory Failure in AMI Patients with Marijuana Use (11-70 years)

Year-wise distribution of baseline demographics, comorbidities, and prevalence of complications in AMI with known marijuana use patients are shown in Table *4*.

Years	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	P- value*
Variables	%	%	%	%	%	r- value
Age in years at admission						<0.001
11-25	3.3	2.7	2.6	2	2.3	
26-40	19.1	18.9	18.6	17.3	16.7	
41-55	52.8	52.2	49.5	48.5	48.9	
56-70	24.7	26.2	29.3	32.1	32.2	
Indicator of Sex						<0.001
Male	81.1	78.5	76.6	75.8	74.5	
Female	18.9	21.5	23.4	24.2	25.5	
Admission Day						<0.001

Monday-Friday	70.6	74.5	73.3	69.3	71	
Saturday-Sunday	29.4	25.5	26.7	30.7	29	
Type of Admissions						<0.001
Non-elective	93.7	93.5	95.4	96.3	94.6	
Elective	6.3	6.5	4.6	3.7	5.4	
Died during hospitalization						<0.018
Did not die	96.8	96.4	96.9	96.9	96.2	
Died	3.2	3.6	3.1	3.1	3.8	
Race						<0.001
White	54.8	54.2	54	55.8	57.2	
Black	33.9	33.4	33.4	33.4	31.5	
Hispanic	7.6	7.3	6.4	5.9	6.9	
Asian or Pacific Islander	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8	
Native American	0.9	0.7	1.4	0.6	0.7	
Other	2.1	3.8	4	3.4	2.9	
Length of stay						<0.001
≤3 days	59.8	57.5	58.1	59.3	58.9	
4 to 6 days	21.3	22.4	22.8	21.5	21.2	
7 to 9 days	7.6	9.8	9.2	8	9.2	
10 to 12 days	5.6	4.2	3.8	5	4.3	
≥13 days	5.8	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.3	
Bed Size of Hospital						<0.001
Small	8.1	7.5	8.2	9.5	14.6	
Medium	17.8	19.5	25.1	25.6	30.2	
Large	74.2	73	66.7	65	55.1	
Location/teaching status of hospital						<0.001
Rural	9.6	6.8	5.5	5.8	4.9	
Urban - non teaching	35.1	38.7	34.2	34.1	22.5	
Urban - teaching	55.3	54.5	60.2	60.1	72.6	
Region of hospital						<0.001
Northeast	14.6	16.8	16	13.3	14.4	
Midwest or North Central	26	24.3	26.5	28.1	29.2	
South	39.2	37.5	36.1	37.8	38.7	
West	20.3	21.4	21.4	20.8	17.7	

Comorbidities <sup>#</sup>						
Alcohol abuse	25.5	24	21.8	23.3	19.8	<0.001
Deficiency anemias	10.1	12.2	12.4	11.2	13.8	<0.001
RA/CVD	1.4	1.1	1.6	1.1	2	<0.001
Congestive heart failure	4.6	6.1	6.4	5.7	6	<0.001
Chronic pulmonary disease	20.4	20.3	22.1	23.7	24.3	<0.001
Coagulopathy	5.6	6.7	5.5	5.5	6.7	<0.001
Diabetes, uncomplicated	15.3	17	19.1	19	20	<0.001
Diabetes with chronic complications	3.1	4.4	4.7	4.7	5.7	<0.001
Hypertension	61.6	59.2	62	64.9	65	<0.001
Hypothyroidism	2.9	3	4.3	3.8	4	<0.001
Liver disease	3.3	6	4	4.5	4.6	<0.001
Fluid and electrolyte disorders	22.2	27.6	28.4	28.1	28.7	<0.001
Other neurological disorders	5.8	6.5	5.9	6.7	7	0.009
Obesity	12.6	14.5	15.4	15.8	17.1	<0.001
Peripheral vascular disorders	6.9	7.4	8.1	7.9	8.7	0.002
Psychoses	8.2	7.3	7.2	9.7	9.2	<0.001
Pulmonary circulation disorders	0.9	0.6	0.9	1.4	1	<0.001
Renal failure	7.3	9.7	11.4	9.4	12.4	<0.001
Valvular disease	1.4	1.5	1.7	1.2	2	0.001
Weight loss	3.1	3.2	3.9	3.8	4	0.01
Dyslipidemia	49.1	49.4	51.1	52.6	50.1	<0.001
Atherosclerosis	5.2	6.4	6.8	6.6	7.4	<0.001
Cardiomyopathy	11.9	11.6	13.1	11.6	12.3	0.043
Cerebrovascular disease	3.1	3.1	2.2	2.5	2.5	0.006
Thromboembolism	3	3.9	3.2	3.2	4	0.001
Previous MI	13.1	10.5	12.2	14.2	13.9	<0.001
Family history of CAD	12	13.5	13.6	14.2	14.3	0.001
Previous PCI	13.7	12.8	14.6	14.8	15.8	<0.001
History of SCA	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.5	1.2	<0.001
Cardiogenic shock	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.5	4.5	<0.001
Smoking	76.1	74.6	75.3	76.4	76.9	0.011
Cocaine	22.1	21.3	18.3	17.5	16.8	<0.001
Amphetamine	4.6	5.3	6.4	5.5	6	<0.001

Dysrhythmia	21.3	20.8	21	24.4	26	<0.001
Respiratory Failure	12.8	17.5	15	15.1	17.8	<0.001
Pneumonia	6.1	7.6	5.8	7.6	7.4	<0.001
Septicemia	3.1	4.8	4.5	5.1	6.5	<0.001
Hemodialysis	2.3	3	2.4	2.6	3.3	0.001
Obstructive Sleep Apnea	3.8	4.1	5.4	5.3	6.6	<0.001
Vasopressor infusion	1.4	0.7	1	0.9	1.4	<0.001

\*Significant P-values ≤ 0.05 at 95 confidence Interval, <sup>#</sup>Variables are AHRQ Co-morbidity measures

Abbreviations: AIDS – Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome, RA/CVD – Rheumatoid Arthritis/ Collagen Vascular Disease, MI – Myocardial Infarction, CAD – Coronary Artery Disease, PCI – Percutaneous Coronary Intervention, CABG – Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting, SCA – Sudden Cardiac Arrest.

# TABLE 4: Year-wise Baseline Demographics and Comorbidities in Acute Myocardial Infarction Patients with known Marijuana Use

#### Odds of AMI incidence in marijuana use

The odds of developing AMI in marijuana users were considerably higher in the male sex (OR=1.507, CI 1.465 - 1.549, p<0.001) and the 56 - 70 years' age group (OR 11.510, CI 10.678 - 12.406, p<0.001). Drug abuse (OR 16.182, CI 13.824 - 18.942, p<0.001), family history of CAD (OR 4.481, CI 4.315 - 4.652, p<0.001), dyslipidemia (OR 3.275, CI 3.188 - 3.363, p<0.001), cardiomyopathy (OR 2.715, CI 2.612 - 2.823, p<0.001), previous PCI (OR 2.443, CI 2.344-2.546, p<0.001), smoking (OR 1.695, CI 1.650-1.742, p<0.001), peripheral vascular disorder (OR 1.676, CI 1.538-1.827, p<0.001), and coagulopathy (OR 1.663, CI 1.582-1.749, p<0.001) increased the odds of developing AMI in marijuana users (Table 3). Multivariable analysis showed that marijuana use was a significant risk factor for AMI development when adjusted for age, sex, race (adjusted OR 1.079, 95% CI 1.065-1.093, p<0.001); adjusted for age, female, race, smoking, cocaine abuse, amphetamine abuse and alcohol abuse (adjusted OR 1.031, 95% CI: 1.018-1.045, p<0.001). Thus, overall marijuana use was associated with a 3-8% increased the risk of AMI.

#### Length of stay & total hospital charges


The mean length of stay (days) ( $4.7\pm5.9$ ) vs.  $5.6\pm8.0$ )) and total hospital charges (\$76,272.23 vs. \$85,702.22) were lower in AMI with marijuana group. The total mean hospital charges were increased from 2010 to 2014 whereas, there was no major change in the mean length of stay from 2010 to 2014. The mean length of stay was almost equivalent in females and males (( $4.8\pm5.7$ ) vs. ( $4.7\pm6.0$ )). All p-values were <0.001.

#### In-hospital mortality

Among all age groups, the 41-55 years' group showed the highest mortality (46.3%) related to AMI in marijuana users. The mortality in females was found to be higher in White and Black races, whereas Asian and Native American ethnicities showed male predominance. The trend of inpatient mortality pertinent to AMI in marijuana users was significantly increased by 14.7% from 2010 to 2014 (trend p<0.0001) (Figure 1), however; the odds of mortality was not significantly increased in AMI-marijuana group as compared to AMI- non-marijuana group (adjusted OR 0.742, CI 0.693-0.795, p<0.001). The top independent predictors of mortality were respiratory failure (OR 18.895, CI 15.572 – 22.928, p<0.001), cerebrovascular disease (OR 8.977, CI 6.905-11.671, p<0.001), metastatic cancer (OR 7.055, CI 3.482 -

14.294, p<0.001), cardiogenic shock (OR 6.008, CI 4.888 – 7.385, p<0.001), solid tumor without metastasis (OR 3.673, CI 2.054 - 6.570, p<0.001), vasopressor infusion (OR 2.649, CI 1.866-3.761, p<0.001), and hemodialysis (OR 2.342 CI 1.725–3.179, p<0.001). The other predictors are shown in Figure 3.





# FIGURE 3: Multivariate Predictors of Mortality in AMI Patients with known Marijuana Use: Forest plot

The top independent predictors of mortality were respiratory failure (OR 18.895, Cl 15.572 – 22.928, p<0.001), cerebrovascular disease (OR 8.977, Cl 6.905-11.671, p<0.001), metastatic cancer (OR 7.055, Cl 3.482 - 14.294, p<0.001), cardiogenic shock (OR 6.008, Cl 4.888 – 7.385, p<0.001), solid tumor without metastasis (OR 3.673, Cl 2.054 - 6.570, p<0.001), vasopressor infusion (OR 2.649, Cl 1.866-3.761, p<0.001), and hemodialysis (OR 2.342 Cl 1.725–3.179, p<0.001). The other predictors are shown in forest plot.

### **Discussion**

In this retrospective analysis, after adjusting the potential confounding factors in different regression models, we reported that use of marijuana linked to amplify the risk of AMI 3- 8% irrespective of the duration of marijuana consumption. The previous study has also shown that risk of AMI is amplified in the individuals who smoke marijuana [4]. There were 13 cases of chest pain and MI which were associated with marijuana smoking [9]. However, the previous studies had the small sample size and did not take the confounding factors into account in the results. Our study utilized the largest inpatient database of the United States which can measure the more accurate risk of AMI in marijuana users. In our study, marijuana use in AMI did not increase overall mortality. Current literature also supports that individuals with long-term use of marijuana may have no increased risk of mortality in the age group of younger than 50 years [10]. According to The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Statistics National Survey [11] for 2006, the substance abuse dependence among adolescents older than 12 years of age and adults was twice more in males than females (12.3% vs. 6.3%). The epidemiology of substance abuse has changed in past few decades, with an increase in girls' substance abuse [12]. Our study revealed steadily increasing trends (year-wise frequency) of AMI incidence with marijuana use in the female group from 2010 to 2014.

Our study showed that AMI with marijuana group consisted more of younger, male (76.9% vs. 66.0%), African American (33.0% vs. 13.8%) patients compared to the non-marijuana group. The same result has been documented previously [2, 9]. The higher weekend admissions in AMI-marijuana users could be related to recreational substance use more during weekends leading to higher AMI during the same time. The shorter length of stay (LOS) in days and lesser hospital charges in the AMI-marijuana group can be explained due to higher patient disposition against medical advice as compared to the AMI-non marijuana group. In our study, Medicaid is the primary payer in the majority of AMI-marijuana group patients which could be explained by a higher number of low-income patients affected by AMI and forced to have costly substance abuse treatment through Medicaid [8]. The main biological effects of smoking marijuana are mediated by the cannabinoids (CBs) receptors (CB1, CB2) which are located in the central nervous system, heart, adrenal gland, lung, liver [13].

Our study revealed that previous history of MI was documented higher in AMI with marijuana group. In patients with past medical history of coronary artery disease, marijuana appears to produce angina symptoms sooner after exertion as compared to tobacco smoking [14]. The family history CAD which is originally risk factor for AMI was also found to be higher in hospitalized AMI-marijuana group patients. As the previous study has also described depression, psychosis, alcohol abuse, smoking, cocaine abuse, amphetamine abuse and drug abuse were found to be higher with marijuana as compared to nonmarijuana users [15]. In addition to the impact of cocaine abuse on stroke [16], an association between cannabinoids use and neurovascular complications have also been reported [17]. In an animal experiment done on rats, THC has shown toxic effects on the mitochondria of the brain by the generation of hydrogen peroxide and reactive oxygen radicals, which is one of the mechanisms involved in stroke in humans [18, 19]. Our study showed increasing year-wise-frequency of dysrhythmias, respiratory failure, cardiogenic shock, and congestive heart failure in AMI patients with marijuana use between 2010 to 2014 which could be because of inhaled marijuana generating more carboxyhemoglobin that could interfere in cellular oxygenation [20]. Poor oxygenation to myocardium and lungs could be the explanation for increased cardiovascular diseases with marijuana use [21]. The dysrhythmias after marijuana smoking can be due to increased sympathetic and decreased parasympathetic activity by tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) [22]. The tachycardia is the most consistent finding after smoking marijuana because of increased sinus node automaticity [23]. Beta-adrenergic stimulation by marijuana induces sinus tachycardia in humans [24]. Cannabis usage can lead to ischemic episodes and tachyarrhythmias [22, 25-27], it can also result in an increase in parasympathetic tone and parasympathetic activity which cause sudden asystolic arrest causing death [28]. The obstructive lung diseases could result from long-time exposure to marijuana smoking [29]. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is more common among AMI patients (13.2%) with extended LOS, higher mortality, and more adverse outcomes as compared to those without COPD [30].

This study has a few limitations. Over-reporting or under-reporting the estimated population is possible in this study because of ICD-9 coding errors in an administrative database such as NIS. The time for which patients used marijuana was not specified in the database so we could only measure lifetime risk of AMI in marijuana users. We cannot follow up our study population due to nature of the data. The limitations can be overlooked if the benefits of the large database are weighed against it to analyze marijuana impact on cardiovascular disease.

#### **Conclusions**

In our study, the lifetime AMI odds were increased up to 8% in recreational marijuana users. Overall odds of mortality were not increased significantly in AMI with marijuana group. However, marijuana users showed higher trends of AMI prevalence and AMI related mortality from 2010 to 2014. It is crucial to assess marijuana-related cardiovascular effects before we can fully explore therapeutic use. We aim to demonstrate the importance of patient history, including recreational drug use, in identifying the etiology of an otherwise unexplained myocardial infarction. The important role of clinicians in educating patients about the potential risks involved in marijuana overuse needs to be emphasized amidst legalization of marijuana use for therapeutic purposes.

### **Appendices**

**Risk Factors/ Co-morbidity** 

Source Codes

Smoking	ICD-9	V15.82, 305.1
Dyslipidemia	CCS	53
Family history of CAD	ICD-9	V17.3
Prior myocardial infarction	ICD-9	412
Prior PCI	ICD-9	V45.82
Prior CABG	ICD-9	V45.81
Atrial fibrillation	ICD-9	427.31
Acute respiratory failure	ICD-9	518.81, 518.82, 518.84, 799.1, 786.09, 518.4, 518.5
Cardiogenic shock	ICD-9	785.51
Atherosclerosis	CCS	114
Congestive heart failure	CCS	108
Cardiomyopathy	CCS	97
History of Sudden Cardiac Arrest	ICD-9	V12.53
Cerebrovascular disease	CCS	109
Family History of Stroke	ICD-9	V17.1
Transient ischemic attack	CCS	112
Oral contraceptive use	CCS	176
Thromboembolism	CCS	118
Hemodialysis	CCS	58
Motor Vehicle Accident	ICD-9	E810, E811, E812, E813, E814, E815, E816, E817, E818, E819
Septicemia	CCS	2
Dressler's Syndrome	ICD-9	411.0
Left Ventricular Failure	ICD-9	428.1
Vasopressor Infusion	ICD-9	00.17
Obstructive Sleep Apnea	ICD-9	327.23, 780.50, 780.51, 780.53, 780.57, 780.59, or 786.03
Pneumonia	CCS	122
Cocaine	ICD-9	304.20–304.22; 305.60–305.62
Amphetamine	ICD-9	304.40–304.42; 305.70–305.72
Alcohol Abuse	ICD-9	303.00–303.02; 303.90–303.92; 305.00–305.02

Abbreviations: ICD-9-CM= International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification; CCS= Clinical Classification Software.

TABLE 5: Appendix: ICD-9-CM and CCS Codes Used to Identify Comorbidities, In-Hospital Procedures, and Complications

## **Additional Information**

#### **Disclosures**

Human subjects: Consent was obtained by all participants in this study. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

### References

- 1. UNODC: World Drug Report 2012. United Nations publication, Sales No E12XI1 . 2012, Accessed: October 2nd, 2017: https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/data-and-analysis/WDR-2012.html.
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration: Results from the 2013 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings. NSDUH Series H-48, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 14-4863. Accessed: October 3rd, 2017:

 $https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUHresultsPDFWHTML2013/Web/NSDUHresults2013. \label{eq:linear} \label{eq:linear}$ 

- 3. Sidney S: Cardiovascular consequences of marijuana use . J Clin Pharmacol. 2002, 42:64–70. 10.1002/j.1552-4604.2002.tb06005.x
- Mittleman MA, Lewis RA, Maclure M, Sherwood JB, Muller JE: Triggering Myocardial Infarction by Marijuana. Circulation. 2001, 103:2805–2809. 10.1161/01.CIR.103.23.2805
- Kotsalou I, Georgoulias P, Karydas I, Fourlis S, Sioka C, Zoumboulidis A, Demakopoulos N: A rare case of myocardial infarction and ischemia in a cannabis-addicted patient. Clin Nucl Med. 2007, 32:130–131. 10.1097/01.rlu.0000252218.04088.ff
- 6. Sidney S, Beck JE, Tekawa IS, Quesenberry CP, Friedman GD: Marijuana use and mortality. Am J Public Health. 1997, 87:585–590. 10.2105/AJPH.87.4.585
- Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP) Database: Overview of the National (Nationwide) Inpatient Sample (NIS). Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. March, 2017, Accessed: October 2nd : https://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/nisoverview.jsp.
- Charilaou P, Agnihotri K, Garcia P, Badheka A, Frenia D, Yegneswaran B: Trends of Cannabis Use Disorder in the Inpatient: 2002 to 2011. Am J Med. 2017, 130:678–687. 10.1016/j.amjmed.2016.12.035
- 9. Yurtdaş M, Aydın MK: Acute Myocardial Infarction in a Young Man; Fatal Blow of the Marijuana: A Case Report. Korean Circ J. 2012, 42:641–645. 10.4070/kcj.2012.42.9.641
- 10. Andreasson S, Allebeck P: Cannabis and mortality among young men: a longitudinal study of Swedish conscripts. Scand J Soc Med. 1990, 18:9–15.
- Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. : Results from the 2006 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: National Findings (Office of Applied Studies, NSDUH Series H-32, DHHS Publication No. SMA 07-4293). Rockville, MD. 2007, Accessed: October 3rd, 2017 : https://www.asipp.org/documents/2006NSDUH.pdf.
- 12. Dakof, GA: Understanding gender differences in adolescent drug abuse: issues of comorbidity and family functioning. J Psychoactive Drugs. 2000, 32:25–32. 10.1080/02791072.2000.10400209
- 13. Mach F, Montecucco F, Steffens S: Cannabinoid receptors in acute and chronic complications of atherosclerosis. Br J Pharmacol. 2008, 153:290–298. 10.1038/sj.bjp.0707517
- Aronow WS, Cassidy J: Effect of marihuana and placebo-marihuana smoking on angina pectoris . N Engl J Med. 1974, 291:65–67. 10.1056/nejm197407112910203
- Rumalla K, Reddy AY, Mittal MK: Recreational marijuana use and acute ischemic stroke: A populationbased analysis of hospitalized patients in the United States. J Neurol Sci. 2016, 364:191–196. 10.1016/j.jns.2016.01.066
- 16. Desai R, Patel U, Rupareliya C, et al.: Impact of cocaine use on acute ischemic stroke patients: insights from nationwide inpatient sample in the United States. Cureus. 2017, 9:e1536. 10.7759/cureus.1536
- 17. Wolff V, Jouanjus E: Strokes are possible complications of cannabinoids use . Epilepsy & Behav. 2017, 70:355–363. 10.1016/j.yebeh.2017.01.031
- Chen H, Yoshioka H, Kim GS, Jung JE, Okami N, Sakata H, Maier CM, Narasimhan P, Goeders CE, Chan PH: Oxidative stress in ischemic brain damage: mechanisms of cell death and potential molecular targets for neuroprotection. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2011, 14:1505–1517. 10.1089/ars.2010.3576
- Wolff V, Schlagowski AI, Rouyer O, Charles AL, Singh F, Auger C, Schini-Kerth V, Marescaux C, Raul JS, Zoll J, Geny B: Tetrahydrocannabinol induces brain mitochondrial respiratory chain dysfunction and increases oxidative stress: a potential mechanism involved in cannabis-related stroke. Biomed Res Int. 2015, 2015:323706. 10.1155/2015/323706

- 20. Ashton CH: Pharmacology and effects of cannabis: a brief review . Br J Psychiatry. 2001, 178:101–106. 10.1192/bjp.178.2.101
- 21. Goudis CA: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and atrial fibrillation: An unknown relationship . J Cardiol. 2017, 69:699–705. 10.1016/j.jjcc.2016.12.013
- 22. Jones RT: Cardiovascular system effects of marijuana . J Clin Pharmacol. 2002, 42:58–63. 10.1002/j.1552-4604.2002.tb06004.x
- Miller RH, Dhingra RC, Kanakis C, Jr., Amat-y-Leon F, Rosen KM: The electrophysiological effects of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (cannabis) on cardiac conduction in man. Am Heart J. 1977, 94:740–747. 10.1016/S0002-8703(77)80215-9
- Beaconsfield P, Ginsburg J, Rainsbury R: Marihuana smoking. Cardiovascular effects in man and possible mechanisms. N Engl J Med. 1972, 287:209–212. 10.1056/nejm197208032870501
- 25. Aryana A, Williams MA: Marijuana as a trigger of cardiovascular events: speculation or scientific certainty?. Int J Cardiol. 2007, 118:141–144. 10.1016/j.ijcard.2006.08.001
- 26. Lindsay AC, Foale RA, Warren O, Henry JA: Cannabis as a precipitant of cardiovascular emergencies . Int J Cardiol. 2005, 104:230–232. 10.1016/j.ijcard.2004.10.038
- 27. Singh GK: Atrial fibrillation associated with marijuana use . Pediatr Cardiol. 2000, 21:284. 10.1007/s002460010063
- Fisher BA, Ghuran A, Vadamalai V, Antonios TF: Cardiovascular complications induced by cannabis smoking: a case report and review of the literature. Emerg Med J. 2005, 22:679–680. 10.1136/emj.2004.014969
- 29. Tetrault JM, Crothers K, Moore BA, Mehra R, Concato J, Fiellin DA: Effects of marijuana smoking on pulmonary function and respiratory complications: a systematic review. Arch Intern Med. 2007, 167:221–228. 10.1001/archinte.167.3.221
- Agarwal M, Agrawal S, Garg L, Garg A, Bhatia N, Kadaria D, Reed G: Effect of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease on In-Hospital Mortality and Clinical Outcomes After ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction. Am J Cardiol. 2017, 119:1555–1559. 10.1016/j.amjcard.2017.02.024