

ATHELETE COPING: RECOVERY AND RESPONSE TO INJURY

by

Seth A. Doty, B.A.

A thesis submitted to the Graduate Council of
Texas State University in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of
Masters of Arts
With a Major in Psychological Research
May 2017

Committee Members:

Randall Osborne, Chair

Darcy Downey

Joseph Etherton

COPYRIGHT

by

Seth A. Doty

2017

FAIR USE AND AUTHOR'S PERMISSION STATEMENT

Fair Use

This work is protected by the Copyright Laws of the United States (Public Law 94- 553, section 107). Consistent with fair use as defined in the Copyright Laws, brief quotations from this material are allowed with proper acknowledgement. Use of this material for financial gain without the author's express written permission is not allowed.

Duplication Permission

As the copyright holder of this work I, Seth Doty, authorize duplication of this work, in whole or in part, for educational or scholarly purposes only.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
ABSTRACT	viii
CHAPTER	
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. LITERATURE REVIEW	3
Introduction.....	3
Locus of Control	3
Hardiness.....	5
Coping Strategies	8
Competitive Trait Anxiety	10
Social Support.....	11
III. RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS	13
Introduction.....	13
Participants.....	13
Research Instruments	14
Procedure	17
IV. RESULTS	20
Statistical Analysis.....	20
V. DISCUSSION.....	25
Limitations	26
APPENDIX SECTION.....	28

REFERENCES40

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Descriptive Statistics - how long until you were cleared to return to team activities?	20
2. Descriptive Statistics- once cleared, did you feel ready to return?	20
3. ANOVA	22
4. Coefficients	22
5. Correlations	23

ABSTRACT

Athletic injury is a devastating and common occurrence that can happen in any sport. Injured athletes often require resources and treatment in order to be able to return to the field of play. Athletes become more involved with physical and mental treatment as the length of recovery time increases. Recent research suggests that there may be personality traits that directly correlate to athletic injury. In this proposed study we investigated if there was any correlation between personality traits and recovery time; more specifically, the personality traits of interest in this study included the locus of control, hardiness, social support, competitive trait anxiety and the big five personality. Assessing these personality traits helped determine if there are differences between physical and psychological factors associated with ready to return to play. Participants for the study were recruited from all scholarship-based sports at Texas State University. The anticipated results indicated that athletes with a higher internal locus of control tend to recover faster than others.

I. INTRODUCTION

As participation in organized sports increases, so does the risk of sustaining an athletic injury. These unfortunate injuries result in missed time from practice and inevitably, the field of competition. Recovery time plays a pivotal role in the overall rehabilitation of the athlete. With time and rehabilitation, an athlete's physical injury can be properly treated. However, there are not any measures to ensure psychological recovery. Although an athlete has been cleared to return to play, there may still be lingering doubt in their injury. Overall, there is a vast difference between physically cleared and psychologically ready to return to play. Certain personality traits serve as predictors of an individual's rate of psychological recovery from an injury.

The purpose of the current study is to determine whether there is a correlation between athletes' personality factors and their recovery from injury. It is important to note that this study will measure only the mental aspects of recovery, not any physical. In order to thoroughly assess targeted personality traits, athletes are given a series of questions to assess their locus of control, hardiness, big five personality, social support, and competitive trait anxiety. Anticipated results of the study predict that specific personality types can facilitate faster recovery. This research study predicts that athletes with higher scores of mental toughness and competitive trait anxiety require less rehabilitation from an injury than athletes with low mental toughness and low competitive trait anxiety. This research study also predicts that athletes with a high internal locus of control will return to play sooner than those with an external locus of

control. Also, this study predicts for athletes to show a positive relationship in recovery time with level of social support.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction

The purpose of this research study is to explore the correlations between athletes' personality and their recovery from an athletic injury, this section will examine the related literature of this study. Specifically, examining how locus of control has been utilized through other studies and can be beneficial to the current study. Additionally, this section will examine the link between hardiness and coping strategies. In the current study, mental toughness is being tested but it is important to determine the link in these two concepts. Hardiness and coping strategies are closely related and can play a major role in an athlete's mental toughness. This section will examine competitive trait anxiety to illustrate perceived anxiety during athletic competition. The Big Five and Social Support will also be examined in conjunction with recovery from athletic injury.

Locus of Control

An aspect of personality that needs to be addressed is an individual's locus of control (LOC). However, most research conducted on locus of control addresses issues in academia or the workplace. Therefore, literature on locus of control cannot be directly applied to the current study but rather use the concepts as a basis. According Ng, Sorensen, and Eby (2006), LOC can be differentiated by two separate categories. Individuals with an internal LOC believe that they are in control of their own destiny. As a result, these individuals tend to be more confident and assertive in their abilities. In contrast, those with an external LOC believe that they are not in direct control of their

fate. Therefore, externals attribute the outcomes of events to an outside force or luck.

According to Ng, Sorensen, and Eby (2006), researchers investigated LOC in the workplace. They categorized LOC into three outcome categories. These included LOC and well-being, LOC and motivation, and LOC and behavioral orientation. LOC and well-being is derived from the external beliefs in the environment. LOC and motivation explains an individual's response to the environment. The more perceived control an individual feels in their environment, the increased likelihood of a positive response. LOC and behavioral orientation examines the social situations an individual is likely to engage in. Individuals will seek a situation that has greater perceived control. Also, this explains how an individual is likely to behave in the workplace. The results of the study indicated that internal LOC was positively associated with work, given tasks, and social experiences. Overall, individuals that perceived greater control in the workplace, received greater satisfaction from their work than individuals with an external belief.

In a related study, Ajzen (2002) examines LOC in conjunction with perceived behavioral control, self-efficacy, and the theory of planned behavior. Overall, these theories relate to an individual's perception of control, specifically, how they respond to any environmental situation. In contrast to previous beliefs, Ajzen (2002) speculated that the perceived control of an outcome is independent of the internal or external LOC. "For instance, fear of flying is an internal factor but people may nevertheless feel that they have little control over it" (Ajzen, 2002, p. 676).

Overall, LOC is an underlying personality trait that may be associated with

recovery from athletic injury. In order to establish the relevance of LOC, this study will survey collegiate athletes that have suffered an athletic injury. This will serve to determine the amount of perceived control an individual feels toward their injury and recovery time. Depending on the injury, rehabilitation can be a long and grueling process. The amount of control the athlete feels in their rehabilitation may directly correlate to the time until they return to the field of play. LOC may be a major personality factor indicative of psychological readiness to play.

Hardiness

When examining an athletes' time in rehabilitation, it is necessary to measure their hardiness. In general, it may be useful to determine the athletes' hardiness before an injury and hardiness through the sports injury process. According to Kobasa (1979), hardiness can be characterized in individuals who experience adversity without experiencing any negative health related side effects. Hardiness is divided into three subcategories. These include commitment, control, and challenge. Overall, individuals high in hardiness are deeply committed to the activities in their lives, they also in control of most situations, and they are not threatened by change (Kobasa, 1982). In fact, these individuals are highly excited by the challenge of change.

In a study by Wadey, Evans, Hanton, and Neil (2012), researchers examined hardiness as a predictor of athletic injury and the direct effects of athletes' response to injury. Participants in the study were recruited from 8 team sports and 18 individual sports. Their competitive level ranged from recreational to international. Although the

level of competition varied, most participants averaged three years in the same sport. Experience may be a factor that needs to be more thoroughly examined. Individuals that have participated in the same sport for an extended amount of time may be more likely to be resilient in the sport. It may be valuable to keep in mind the amount of time spent in each sport. Perhaps the more experience playing leads to an increase in the individual's hardiness.

Measures of the study included hardiness, major life events, coping strategies, and psychological responses. The Dispositional Resilience Scale (DRS) was used to examine hardiness and all three of its subcomponents. In order to examine major life events, the Life Events Survey for Collegiate Athletes (LESCA) was used pre-injury to examine major life events. This also measured the athletes' perceived impact of the event. The Coping Orientation Problems Experienced (COPE) was given to participants post injury. The purpose of this was to assess coping strategies related to injury over a desired period of time. This included problem-focused coping, emotion-focused coping, and avoidance coping. Lastly, the Psychological Responses to Sport Injury Inventory (PRSII) was used to measure post injury psychological responses.

Overall, the results of the study indicated a correlation between life events and injury. Negative life events indicated susceptibility to athletic injury. As these negative life events increased, the probability of an injury also increased. In regards to hardiness, researchers indicated that athletes high in hardiness are less likely to sustain an injury. Interestingly, post-injury data analysis indicated that athletes high in hardiness that sustain an injury can enable their psychological recovery. In contrast, athletes low in

hardiness encountered more difficulties recovering from injury. Hardiness also has a significant impact on coping abilities. Athletes high in hardiness were more likely to use problem-focused coping. The effect of this coping increased feelings of recognition throughout the athlete's recovery. Researchers found it was vital for athletes to recognize the severity of their injury from the beginning. Their recognition of their injury positively correlated with faster recovery and rehabilitation time. This increased their confidence and mental strength. In general, an individual's hardiness can determine their response to an adverse situation. Athletes that report high levels of hardiness are more likely to transform negative life events to experiences of growth and success (Wadey, 2012).

In conjunction with hardiness, toughness is an important personality trait to identify among athletes. A study conducted by Petrie, Deiters, and Harmison (2013), examined the effects of social support, athletic identity, and mental toughness on injury outcome of Division I football players. It is important to state that this study only examined males playing football. Therefore, these personality constructs may vary based on gender. Researchers defined mental toughness as the collection of attitudes and emotions that impact how athletes assess and manage negative and positive situations to reach their goals (Petrie et al, 2013). Along with toughness, social support affects the resilience of athletes. In general, individuals with more social support are healthier than individuals with low social support. These individuals show an increase in both physical and psychological health. Also, individuals with more social support report fewer injuries through their athletic career (Petrie, 2013). Participants in the study were Division I collegiate football players from a southern school. Athletes were given questionnaires at

the beginning of the season that contained instruments that measured life stress, social support, athletic identity, mental toughness, and athletic injury. In addition to the initial questionnaire, injury data were collected throughout the season.

The results of the study indicated that high positive life stress is correlated to time missed. High social support reduced the number of missed practice days from over 35 to under 10. According to Petrie, Deiters, and Harmison (2013), researchers suggested that social support from family is more effective than support from peers or significant others. Although there was not a significant direct effect between the two, mental toughness did moderate positive life stress. Overall, the research suggests that mental toughness may assist athletes through an injury recovery. Athletes with higher mental toughness may possess dispositional characteristics that aid recovery. These include optimism, hardiness, and positive affectivity. Over time, these characteristics allow athletes to appraise obstacles as events that can be overcome.

Coping Strategies

In addition to locus of control and hardiness, it is essential to explore an athlete's ability to cope. Under stress, some individuals perform poorly, whereas others can remain resilient (Bolger, 1990). As competitive sports increase in difficulty, the likelihood of injury also rises. Therefore, it is reasonable to expect an injury throughout an athlete's career. Without the proper ability to cope with injury, the return to play can be difficult.

In a study conducted by Dias, Cruz, and Fonseca (2012), researchers examined the relationship between competitive trait anxiety, cognitive threat appraisal, and coping

styles. As part of the study, coping was divided into three categories: problem-focused, emotion-focused, and avoidance coping. Problem-focused coping refers to cognitive and behavioral efforts aimed at solving the stressful relationship between the individual and environment. Emotion-focused coping aims to regulate the response to a form of distress. The goal of emotion focused coping is to regulate the emotional response to a problem or lessen the emotional distress. Typically, avoidance coping is considered a form of emotion-focused coping. Participants in the study consisted of 550 athletes over 13 individual and team sports. Athletes were given several questionnaires to assess levels of coping. The scales included the Sport Anxiety Scale, COPE, and the Cognitive Appraisal Scale in Sport Competition- Threat Perception. Results of the study indicated that threat appraisal and anxiety play an important role with coping. In general, athletes with higher levels of worry were more likely to completely disengage from the behavior. Also, athletes with higher concentrations of problems were more apt to vent their problems and engage in self-distraction. Overall, these methods of coping supported the link between cognitive anxiety and poor-performance.

In a similar study, researchers examined psychological risk factors as predictors of injury (Ivarsson & Johnson, 2010). The purpose of the study was to examine the relationship between personality factors, coping variables and stress and injury risk. Participants in the study consisted of 48 soccer players from three different teams. Measurements of the study included the Football Worry Scale, Swedish universities Scales of Personality (SSP), Life Events Survey for Collegiate Athletes (LESCA), Daily Hassles Scale, and Brief COPE. Participants were instructed to complete four out of the

five measures at the beginning of the season. Also, the athletes were required to complete the Daily Hassles Scale once a week during the season. Once a player was injured, they were excluded from the weekly test during their rehabilitation. Overall, the results of the study indicated that anxiety, stress susceptibility (coping), and trait irritability were significant predictors of injury. However, these strategies can be considered maladaptive if used to avoid the stressor. They are also considered maladaptive if the individual is not willing to invest any effort to overcome the adverse stressor. Self-blame and acceptance can be used to explain the majority of injury occurrences.

Overall, coping is an integral aspect of the rehabilitation process. If an athlete does not utilize the proper coping techniques, the rehabilitation will not be success. In many instances, positive coping techniques produce a faster recovery. In conjunction with locus of control and hardiness, these personality traits develop a framework for rehabilitation. The perceived control of recovery correlates with the athletes' effort in rehabilitation.

Competitive Trait Anxiety

In addition to other personality factors, Competitive trait anxiety is the tendency or predisposition to perceive competition as threatening. Overall, it is the difference between what an athlete perceives is required for success and his or her response capability. In a study conducted by Eisenbarth and Petlichkoff (2012), researchers studied the correlation between defined successes and the tendency to perceive an event as threatening. Participants in the study were 200 college athletes who came from three

sports classifications: intercollegiate, intermural, and recreational. Participants were given two questionnaires as part of the survey. The first questionnaire assessed goal orientations and the second questionnaire assessed competitive trait anxiety. Competitive trait anxiety was measured through the Sports Anxiety Scale (SAS). The purpose of this scale is measure an individual's disposition to perceive competition as threatening. Overall, the results of the study indicated that goal orientation rather than ego was more significant in predicting anxiety. However, there was not a clear goal oriented profile to determine competitive trait anxiety.

Social Support

Social Support represents the perception and actuality that one is cared for, assisted by other people, and belongs to part of a supportive social network. Social support is an important personality trait to identify among athletes. A study conducted by Petrie, Deiters, and Harmison (2013), examined the effects of social support, athletic identity, and mental toughness on injury outcome of Division 1 NCAA football players. Results from this study may not be generalizable in consideration that the data pool is limited to male football players. Social support affects the resilience of athletes and athletes high in social support are physically and psychologically healthier than individuals with low social support. Individuals with more social support report fewer injuries and miss less practice throughout their athletic career (Petrie et. al, 2013). Parallel with the current study, this research suggests that social support from particular people in an athlete's life may predict an athlete's ability to cope and recover

successfully.

III. RESEARCH DESIGN & METHODS

Introduction

The purpose of the current research study is to assess the relationship between athletes' personality and their recovery from injury. In order to thoroughly assess targeted personality traits, college athletes will be assessed on their hardiness, locus of control, competitive trait anxiety, the big five personality test and their social support. Participants were tested at the beginning of the Spring 2017 semester. Participants consisted of athletes recruited from all NCAA Division I Intercollegiate athletic teams at Texas State University. Volunteers were 18 years of age or older and participated in a scholarship based sport. Individuals that are involved in intermural or recreational sporting leagues will be excluded from the study. Fifty participants were sought for inclusion in the research study. Due to the numerous sports and athletes on campus, a wide range of participants enrolled in the study.

Participants

Participants were recruited from athletic study hall announcements thanks to the assistance of Dr. Darcy Downey from the athletic training department at Texas State University. Recruitment was conducted on a voluntary basis. Individuals who were interested were informed about the study, including the procedures to be used and the variables that are being measured. If they agreed to participate, informed consent was then administered to them. Additionally, two paper copies of the consent form were made: one for the participant and the other was kept in a locked file cabinet in a locked

research lab with only access granted to myself and Dr. Osborne.

Research Instruments

Participants that have sustained an injury were assessed on their big five personality traits, social support, hardiness, locus of control, and competitive trait anxiety. In addition to the questionnaires assessing the big five personality traits, social support, hardiness, locus of control, and competitive trait anxiety, participants were given a survey to collect demographic sport-related information. Primarily, the purpose of collecting demographic information was to determine athletes' sport and the years of experience in the sport. Additional information included type and severity of injury, the amount of rehabilitation required before returning to play, and athlete perception of readiness to return to play. Although some information was not directly related to personality, it served as a basis of sport and location of injury.

In order to assess hardiness, participants were given the Sports Mental Toughness Questionnaire (SMTQ; See Appendix A; Sheard, Golby, van Wersch, 2009). This 14 item questionnaire assesses mental toughness as a personality factor on three dimensions: confidence, constancy, and control. Each item was scored on a four point Likert Scale. The four point Likert Scale was anchored by "not at all true" and "very true". However, there is no cut off in scoring the scale. In accordance with the questionnaire, confidence is the belief in one's ability to achieve goals and be better than your opponent. Constancy is the determination, personal responsibility, and unyielding attitude of the participant. Lastly, control is the belief one is personally influential, can bring about desired

outcomes, and regulate emotions. Sheard, Golby, & van Wersch (2009), took steps to validate this scale while examining mental toughness in athletes. Researchers determined the SMTQ possessed satisfactory psychometric properties, adequate reliability, divergent validity, and discriminative power.

In order to assess locus of control, participants were given an eight-item scale to determine their perception of control (See Appendix B; Parada; 2006). The scale measured the degree that participants feel in control of their own lives. Locus of control served as a useful tool in measuring the athletes perception of events in their lives outside of athletics. The scale was scored on a 6 point Likert Scale. The scale was anchored by “completely disagree” and “agree”. Overall, the average Cronbach’s score for the scale was .71-.85. Researchers validated this scale through a bullying and victimization study in adolescent students (Marsh, Nagengast, Morin, Parada, Craven, & Hamilton, 2011).

In order to measure competitive trait anxiety, participants were given the Three-Dimensional Performance Anxiety Inventory (See Appendix C; Cheng, Hardy, & Markland; 2009). The three dimensions of performance anxiety were cognitive, physiological, and regulatory function. Cognitive anxiety is reproduced by worry and self-focus. The physiological effects were reflected by hyperactivity and somatic tension. Lastly, the regulatory dimension reflected by perceived control. Survey items were measured on a 5 point Likert Scale. The scale was anchored by “totally disagree” and “totally agree”. Wen-Nuan Kara, Hardy, & Woodman (2011), validated the questionnaire through work with students in a martial arts course.

To analyze the big five personality test we replicated a test used from the International Personality item pool, developed by Goldberg (1992) The self-report questionnaire (appendix F) is comprised of 50-items that were scored using a 5 point Likert scale ranging from disagree too agree. Scoring the items illustrated which of the five personality traits best describes you. The five personality traits are: (1) extroversion- seek fulfillment from sources outside the self or community (2) Agreeableness- individuals adjust their behavior to suit others (3) Conscientiousness- personality trait of being honest and hard working (4) Neuroticism- personality trait of being emotional (5) Openness to experience- seeking new experience and intellectual pursuits. We analyzed the relation of each personality trait in comparison to recovery time.

Social support (appendix E) was assessed by administering a 27-item Social Support Questionnaire that was scored on a 6-point Likert Scale. This SSQ was replicated from a study developed by Heitzmann and Kaplan (1988). Participants were asked to report how many people support them in a particular area, their relation the supporter, and their level of satisfaction with that support. This allowed us to score our results in three dimensions: the number of people supporting you, your level of satisfaction, and the number of family members supporting you. By using a test that allowed us to categorize our scores we were able to analyze how different aspect of social support contribute to the prediction of recovery time.

Multiple regression and correlation testing were conducted to examine the significance of the data via software SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences). These tests were executed to examine hardiness, locus of control, performance anxiety,

social support, and the big five personality traits. These five personality factors were used as Independent Variables and the dependent variable was the athletes perceived readiness to play. To determine the significance of personality and injury, a second test examined if personality traits could predict injury among the participants. The independent variables stayed the same (mental toughness, locus of control, performance anxiety, big five personalities, social support) and the dependent variable was injury during athletic participation. Athletes that gave consent to participate in the research study completed the questionnaires with pencil and paper. The duration of these questionnaires is expected to last between 30-45 minutes. Most questionnaires were distributed in the athletic study halls after obtaining consent; however, some questionnaires will be distributed when new athletes arrive at Texas State University for their initial counseling and orientation.

Procedure

Participants that have consented to take part in the study were given a questionnaire that is comprised of three surveys. These include a demographic questionnaire, a scale to determine the individuals Locus of Control, and also a Big Five Personality Test. The following tests were excluded from the questionnaire administered in this particular research study due to time constraint of both the researchers and the athletes: the Sports Mental Toughness Questionnaire (SMTQ) to measure hardiness, a Three- Dimensional Performance Anxiety Inventory, and also a Social Support Questionnaire. Excluding personality characteristics (mental toughness, social support, and competitive trait anxiety) shortened the survey from 141 questions to only 77, allowing more athletes to have time to complete the survey. The questionnaires will be

administered to the athletes throughout the beginning of the Spring 2017 semester. Once all questionnaires were completed and returned, a hierarchical regression and correlation matrix was conducted to determine the significance (if any) of the measured personality characteristics.

The risks associated with the proposed study are minimal, and include fatigue and boredom. There is absolutely no risk for physical harm or discomfort. Any psychological pain will stem from the memories of past injury and/or pain. Some participants felt uncomfortable disclosing personal information about a past injury or moments of pain. There are no alternative methods to collecting the participants' responses. Although a face-to-face session might provide similar answers, it would open the risk for open-ended questions and non-uniform data. The provided questionnaires generated data from the same scales of measurement.

In order to protect all participants and minimize risk, participants were thoroughly briefed prior to data collection. All participants were given the opportunity to exit the study at any point if they are not comfortable with the material. Although the risk for any discomfort is low, participants will be informed to leave blank any responses that are difficult to answer. Also, participants were informed that the questionnaire can be completed at any time or be spread over a period of time to combat boredom. In regards to confidentiality, participants' names were taken from the survey and assigned a number. Only the principal investigator knew which number correlates to a participant. Completed questionnaires were securely stored in a locked file cabinet in a locked research lab at Texas State University. Once the results are obtained the primary investigator transferred

the results by hand to a password-encrypted SPSS file using participant numbers not names. The only individuals with access to any information in this research study are the research team (Seth Doty and accompanying faculty mentor, Dr. Randall Osborne). All data was stored for a period of three years before being destroyed.

In general, there were few potential benefits to participants in the proposed study. Participants benefited in contributing to psychological research that could be useful to players, athletic trainers and coaches. Participants were exposed to the experimental processes of psychological research. Also, if requested, participants were informed of their personality characteristics in relation to recovery from injury. This included their mental toughness, locus of control, social support, big five personality, and competitive trait anxiety. In larger perspective, the results of the study indicated how an individual's personality relates to recovery and how their personality changes in response to the different stages of the injury recovery process.

Overall, any risks associated with the proposed thesis were minimal. Possible risks included boredom during the questionnaires and minor psychological discomfort over the material. The material in the questionnaires did not cause any psychological distress. I do not believe that they posed as a problem for any participants. In general, the rewards of the study far outweighed potential risks. Participants were exposed to research methodology and they also contributed to the existing knowledge of personality characteristics.

IV. RESULTS

Statistical Analysis

A total of 54 (32 males, 22 females) scholarship athletes at Texas State University completed the questionnaire before the data was transferred to SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science) software for evaluation. In order to get a general idea of the athletes involved in this study the descriptive statistics of the sample were broken down into age, classification, and sport. The athletes in this demographic sample ranged from ages 18-23; consisting of 25 freshmen, 10 sophomores, 8 juniors, and 11 seniors. The athletes measured participated in an eight different sports consisting of basketball (n=14), baseball (n=4), football (n=10), track & field (n=13), soccer (n=2), volleyball (n=5), golf (n=2), and softball (n=4).

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics- how long until you were cleared to return to team activities?

		<i>Frequency</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Valid Percent</i>	<i>Cumulative Percent</i>
Valid	no time missed	17	31.5	31.5	31.5
	1-8 weeks	20	37.0	37.0	68.5
	9-16 weeks	4	7.4	7.4	75.9
	17-24 weeks	6	11.1	11.1	87.0
	24 weeks or more	7	13.0	13.0	100.0
	Total	54	100.0	100.0	

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics- once cleared, did you feel ready to return?

		<i>Frequency</i>	<i>Percent</i>	<i>Valid Percent</i>	<i>Cumulative Percent</i>
Valid	0	1	1.9	1.9	1.9
	yes	24	44.4	44.4	46.3
	no	29	53.7	53.7	100.0
	Total	54	100.0	100.0	

A deeper look into the descriptive statistics that in this study 29 of the 37 athletes who sustained an injury did not feel ready to return to all team activities once they were cleared to play. Only 7 athletes in this study felt ready to return to play once they were cleared or deemed healthy from a prior injury. I found it to be interesting that 37 of the 54 athletes in this study had sustained an injury but only 7 of them felt mentally ready to return once they were deemed to be physically healthy and recovered. It is important to keep in mind that in this study we were interested only in the mental aspects involved with predicting recovery time, not any physical aspects pertaining to recovery.

A multiple regression test was run through SPSS software to examine the significance of the data. In this regression the dependent variable was “Return to Play”, a variable which was computed by measuring a combination of how long it took each athlete to be cleared from an injury along with whether or not they felt ready to return to play. The independent variable or predicting factors in this regression represented the scores of the athletes’ on both the Locus of Control test and the Big Five Personality test. Locus of Control Scores were individually combined and represented by one variable and the Big Five Personality test scores were computed into the five different variables that represent each of the five different personality traits: a) Extroversion b) Agreeableness c) Conscientiousness d) Neuroticism and e) Openness to Experience.

Table 3. ANOVA

<i>Model</i>		<i>Sum of Squares</i>	<i>df</i>	<i>Mean Square</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>Sig.</i>
1	Regression	27.961	6	4.660	2.451	.038^b
	Residual	89.373	47	1.902		
	Total	117.333	53			

Table 4. Coefficients

<i>Coefficients^a</i>											
<i>Model</i>		<i>Unstandardized Coefficients</i>		<i>Standardized Coefficients</i>			<i>Correlations</i>			<i>Collinearity Statistics</i>	
		<i>B</i>	<i>Std. Error</i>	<i>Beta</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>Sig.</i>	<i>Zero-order</i>	<i>Partial</i>	<i>Part</i>	<i>Tolerance</i>	<i>VIF</i>
1	(Constant)	2.418	1.639		1.475	.147					
	Locus of Control Score	-.106	.042	-.352	-2.530	.015	-.235	-.346	-.322	.836	1.196
	Extroversion	-.022	.038	-.095	-.582	.563	.177	-.085	-.074	.607	1.647
	Agreeableness	.046	.035	.202	1.315	.195	.172	.188	.167	.687	1.455
	Conscientiousness	.010	.058	.025	.164	.870	.183	.024	.021	.705	1.419
	Neuroticism	.006	.030	.025	.188	.852	.184	.027	.024	.886	1.129
	Openness to Experience	.110	.046	.373	2.391	.021	.344	.329	.304	.667	1.498

a. Dependent Variable: Ready to Return

It appears that of the six predictors, two seemed to relate significantly to Return to Play. The regression analysis supported the hypothesis as it illustrates that there was a significant negative correlation between Locus of Control and Return to Play. The Locus of Control test was scored so that a higher number means more external and lower score means more internal- as the score goes down (more internal), it is related to an increase in

Return to Play. Openness to Experience also proved to be a significant predicting variable in conjunction with return to play. Openness to Experience and Return to play illustrated to have a positive relationship, the higher score on open experience, the faster the athlete will return to play. The regression and ANOVA confirmed the same patterns and also showed for the relationship to be significant.

Table 5. Correlations

Correlation

	<i>how long until you were cleared to return to team activities</i>	<i>once cleared, did you feel ready to return to play</i>	<i>Locus of Control Score</i>	<i>Extroversion</i>	<i>Agreeableness</i>	<i>Conscientiousness</i>	<i>Neuroticism</i>	<i>Openness to Experience</i>
<i>how long until you were cleared to return to team activities</i>	1							
<i>once cleared, did you feel ready to return to play</i>	.016 *	1						
<i>Locus of Control Score</i>	-.198	-.144	1					
<i>Extroversion</i>	.170	.054	.024 *	1				
<i>Agreeableness</i>	.224	-.096	.302	.433	1			
<i>Conscientiousness</i>	.121	.196	.075	.468	.390	1		
<i>Neuroticism</i>	.164	.087	-.142	.108	.082	.152	1	
<i>Openness to Experience</i>	.291	.206	.161	.480	.285	.394	.264	1

*=p<.05

In order to compare the correlations between the major variables of this study we decided to conduct a correlation matrix in SPSS. In this analysis we separated the two variables that make up the “Return to Play” variable so their impact could be tested separately. The two variables that made up the “Return to Play” variable were: 1) how long until you were cleared to return to team activities. 2) Once cleared, did you feel ready to return to play? We took these two variables and used them to run a regression analysis with each of the same predicting factors (Locus of Control, Extroversion, Agreeableness, Conscientiousness, Neuroticism, Openness to Experience). It provided

greater support for the previous findings illustrated in this study- Locus of Control ($p=.028$) and Openness to Experience ($p=.056$) proved to be not only significant, but also the most important personality traits that served as predictors of recovery time. None of the personality factors measured in this study provided a significant relationship in predicting if any of the athletes felt ready to return to play once they were cleared. Openness of Experience proved to be significant ($p=.291$) in correlation with how long it took for an athlete to be cleared to return to team activities. The lack of significance in any correlation regarding the “once cleared, did you feel ready to return to play” variable provided illustration of this variables lack of strength. How long it took an athlete to be cleared exhibited to have a much stronger impact than whether or not they felt ready to return to competition; therefore, combining the two variables actually served as a limitation in this study.

V. DISCUSSION

The current study focuses on identifying a link between personality traits and response to athletic injury. This study hypothesizes that recovery time from athletic injury can be predicted by testing certain personality traits, such as, the locus of control and the big five personality test. This research study predicts for the locus of control to be a major personality factor indicative of psychological readiness to return to play and this prediction is upheld by the data that represents a significant negative correlation between locus of control scores and readiness to return to play. Individuals with an internal locus of control believe they are in control of their own destiny and this research study shows that this “internal” way of thinking significantly effects an athletes’ ability to return to play. The significant negative correlation results corresponded directly with the hypothesis, athletes with a low score (more internal) on the locus of control test are related to an increase in the athletes’ ability to return to play. Individuals with an internal locus of control also tend to be more open to experience; this is interesting because there is also a significant relationship between the athletes’ openness to experience and their ability to return to play. Openness to experience represents a personality trait of seeking new experience and intellectual pursuits. Athletes who score high on the openness to experience sector of the big five personality test tend to day dream a lot and low scorers are better described as being more down to earth. The significant data in this research study illustrates a significant positive relationship, the higher the score on openness to experience the faster the athlete will return to play. No other personality traits of the big

five (extroversion, conscientiousness, agreeableness, neuroticism) have a major effect on an athletes' ability to recover from injury and return to play.

Limitations

Only having 54 athletes participate in this study illustrates for sample size to serve as a limitation. Recruiting took place in athletic study halls, thanks to the help of Dr. Downey. It was not hard to attract interest in the athletes, the athletes were very interested and responsive to the questionnaire. The athletes overwhelming interest was relieving considering the lack of incentives offered to potential participants. Most of the students that attended athletic study halls appeared to be the same students throughout the semester so as time went on it became harder to recruit new potential participants. Future research should spend more time collecting data over the course of multiple semesters in order to gain a larger sample size.

As mentioned in the results section, combining the two variables actually served to be a limitation in this study. Variable 1 (how long until you return to team activities) and Variable 2 (once cleared, did you feel ready to return to play) were combined to illustrate if an athlete was ready to play or not. Variable 1 is measured on a four point Likert scale and variable 2 is a binary variable as the participants could only answer yes or no. How long it took an athlete to be cleared exhibited to have a much stronger impact than whether or not they felt ready to return to competition. In order to level out the level of strength amongst variable 1 and 2 it is suggested for future research to use a four point Likert scale for both variables. The data suggests that personality variables have a stronger influence on how quickly they actually recover but not their perception of

recovery; however, you can not know for sure because of the limitation of categorizing the “Ready to Return” variable as “yes” or “no” only.

In conclusion, the current study finds that specific personality characteristics have a significant impact on recovery from an athletic injury. Two trends are inferred from the data in this research study: (1) Athletes with an internal locus of control are more likely to return to play faster (2) athletes more open to experience will also return from an athletic injury faster. Despite the small sample size, the implications from this study can be used as a foundation for future research. A great way to build on this research would be by analyzing other personality characteristics that were mentioned but not measured in this study (such as competitive trait anxiety, social support, and mental toughness). Future research should make it an objective to collect data over the course of multiple semester in order to overcome the limitation of being consecutively exposed to the same group of athletes. If able to collect data over the course of multiple semesters, then it would also be interesting to further build on this research by re-assessing the same student athletes over the course of multiple semesters in order to assess if there is a change in an athlete’s personality depending their current state of injury. The implications of this study and of future studies can benefit players, trainers, and coaches involved in an athletic organization.

APPENDIX SECTION

Appendix A: Demographic Information

1. Sex: _____
2. Age in Years: _____
3. Ethnicity: _____
4. Classification in College: _____
5. What sport do you participate in at Texas State? _____
6. Years of Experience in Sport: _____
7. Did you Redshirt?
Yes No
8. If yes, was it a medical redshirt?
Yes No
9. Did you sustain an injury prior to attending Texas State?
Yes No
10. If yes, what was the injury? _____
11. Did the injury require surgery?
Yes No
12. Have you sustained an injury while at Texas State?
Yes No
13. If yes, what was the injury? _____
14. Did the injury require surgery?
Yes No

15. How long before you were physically cleared to return to team activities (Months, weeks, days)? _____

16. Did you feel ready to return to play before being cleared?

Yes No

17. If yes, why? _____

18. Once cleared, did you feel ready to return to play?

Yes No

19. Why or why not? _____

Appendix B: Locus of Control

Directions: Circle the number that best describes you

1. Other people and events dominate my life.

1	2	3	4	5	6
Completely	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Completely
Disagree		Disagree	Agree		Agree

2. My future is mostly in the hands of other people.

1	2	3	4	5	6
Completely	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Completely
Disagree		Disagree	Agree		Agree

3. Luck and or other people and events control most of my life.

1	2	3	4	5	6
Completely	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Completely
Disagree		Disagree	Agree		Agree

4. External things mostly control my life.

1	2	3	4	5	6
Completely	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Completely
Disagree		Disagree	Agree		Agree

5. Most good things that happen to me are the result of my own actions.

1	2	3	4	5	6
Completely	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Completely
Disagree		Disagree	Agree		Agree

6. What I do and how I do it will determine my successes in life.

1	2	3	4	5	6
Completely	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Completely
Disagree		Disagree	Agree		Agree

7. If I succeed in life, it will be because of my efforts.

1	2	3	4	5	6
Completely	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Completely
Disagree		Disagree	Agree		Agree

8. My own efforts and actions are what will determine my future.

1	2	3	4	5	6
Completely	Disagree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Agree	Completely
Disagree		Disagree	Agree		Agree

Appendix C: Big-Five Personality Test

Directions: Circle the option that best describes you.

1. I feel that I am the life of the party

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

2. I feel little concern for others

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

3. I am always prepared

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

4. I get stressed out easily

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

5. I have a rich vocabulary

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

6. I don't talk a lot

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

7. I am interested in people

Very Inaccurate Moderately Inaccurate Neither Moderately Accurate Very Accurate

8. I leave my belongings around

Very Inaccurate Moderately Inaccurate Neither Moderately Accurate Very Accurate

9. I am relaxed most of the time

Very Inaccurate Moderately Inaccurate Neither Moderately Accurate Very Accurate

10. I have difficulty understanding abstract

Very Inaccurate Moderately Inaccurate Neither Moderately Accurate Very Accurate

11. I feel comfortable around people

Very Inaccurate Moderately Inaccurate Neither Moderately Accurate Very Accurate

12. I insult people

Very Inaccurate Moderately Inaccurate Neither Moderately Accurate Very Accurate

13. I pay attention to details

Very Inaccurate Moderately Inaccurate Neither Moderately Accurate Very Accurate

14. I worry about things

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

15. I have a vivid imagination

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

16. I tend to keep in the background

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

17. I sympathize with others' feelings

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

18. I make a mess of things

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

19. I seldom feel blue

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

20. I am not interested in abstract ideas

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

21. I start conversations

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

22. I am not interested in other people's problems

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

23. I get chores done right away

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

24. I am easily disturbed

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

25. I have excellent ideas

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

26. I have little to say

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

27. I have a soft heart

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

28. I often forget to put things back in their proper place

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

29. I get upset easily

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

30. I do not have a good imagination

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

31. I talk to a lot of different people at parties

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

32. I am not really interested in others

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

33. I like order

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

34. I change my mood a lot

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

35. I am quick to understand things

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

36. I do not like to draw attention to myself

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

37. I take time for others

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

38. I shirk my duties

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

39. I have frequent mood swings

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

40. I use difficult words

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

41. I don't mind being the center of attention

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

42. I feel others' emotions

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

43. I follow a schedule

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

44. I get irritated easily

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

45. I spend time reflecting on things

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

46. I am quiet around others

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

47. I make people feel at ease

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

48. I am exacting in my work

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

49. I often feel blue

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

50. I am full of ideas

<input type="radio"/> Very Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Inaccurate	<input type="radio"/> Neither	<input type="radio"/> Moderately Accurate	<input type="radio"/> Very Accurate
--	--	-------------------------------	--	--

REFERENCES

- Ajzen, I. (2002). Perceived behavioral control, self-efficacy, locus of control, and the theory of planned behavior. *Journal Of Applied Social Psychology*, 32(4), 665- 683. doi:10.1111/j.1559-1816.2002.tb00236.x
- Bolger, N. (1990). Coping as a personality process: A prospective study. *Journal Of Personality And Social Psychology*, 59(3), 525-537. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.59.3.525
- Cheng, W., Hardy, L., & Markland, D. (2009). Three-Dimensional Performance Anxiety Inventory. doi:10.1037/t20664-000
- Dias, C., Cruz, J., & Fonseca, A. (2012). The relationship between multidimensional competitive anxiety, cognitive threat appraisal, and coping strategies: A multi- sport study. *International Journal Of Sport And Exercise Psychology*, 10(1), 52- 65. doi:10.1080/1612197X.2012.645131
- Eisenbarth, C. A., & Petlichkoff, L. M. (2012). Independent and Interactive Effects of Task and Ego Orientations in Predicting Competitive Trait Anxiety among College-Age Athletes. *Journal Of Sport Behavior*, 35(4), 387-405.
- Ivarsson, A., Johnson, U. (2010). Psychological factors as predictors of injuries among senior soccer players. A prospective study. *Journal Of Sports Science And Medicine*, 2010(9), 347-352.

- Kobasa, S. C. (1979). Stressful life events, personality, and health: An inquiry into hardiness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 37, 1–11.
doi:10.1037/0022-3514.37.1.1
- Kobasa, S. C., Maddi, S. R., & Kahn, S. (1982). Hardiness and health: A prospective study. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 42, 168–177. doi:10.137/0022-3514.42.1.168
- Marsh, H. W., Nagengast, B., Morin, A. S., Parada, R. H., Craven, R. G., & Hamilton, L. R. (2011). Construct validity of the multidimensional structure of bullying and victimization: An application of exploratory structural equation modeling. *Journal Of Educational Psychology*, 103(3), 701-732. doi:10.1037/a0024122
- Ng, T. H., Sorensen, K. L., & Eby, L. T. (2006). Locus of control at work: A meta-analysis. *Journal Of Organizational Behavior*, 27(8), 1057-1087.
doi:10.1002/job.416
- Parada, R. H. (2006). Locus of Control Indicator. doi:10.1037/t06401-000 Petrie, T. A., Deiters, J., & Harmison, R. J. (2013). Mental Toughness, Social Support, and Athletic Identity: Moderators of the Life Stress–Injury Relationship in Collegiate Football Players. *Sport, Exercise, And Performance Psychology*,
doi:10.1037/a0032698

Sheard, M., Golby, J., & van Wersch, A. (2009). Progress toward construct validation of the Sports Mental Toughness Questionnaire (SMTQ). *European Journal Of Psychological Assessment*, 25(3), 186-193. doi:10.1027/1015-5759.25.3.186

Sheard, M., Golby, J., & van Wersch, A. (2009). Sports Mental Toughness Questionnaire. doi:10.1037/t01346-000

Wadey, R. (2012). An examination of hardiness throughout the sport injury process. *British Journal Of Health Psychology*, 17(1), 103-128.

Wen-Nuan Kara, C., Hardy, L., & Woodman, T. (2011). Predictive Validity of a Three-Dimensional Model of Performance Anxiety in the Context of Tae-Kwon- Do. *Journal Of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 33(1), 40-53.