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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

The purpose of this paper is to develop several relationships between integrals of the type $\int_{a}^{b} f d g, \int_{a}^{b}|f| d g, \int_{a}^{b} f d g\left|, \int_{a}^{b} f\right| d g \mid$, and $\int_{a}^{b}|f d g|$. Chapter II shows that if $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists then $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists. Chapter III shows the equivalency between the existence of $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ and $\int_{a}^{b} f|d g|$ with the condition of bounded variation on $g$. Another theorem allows us to relax this condition while going from $\int_{a}^{b}|d g|$ to $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$. All functions used are from numbers to numbers. DEFINITION 1.1: The statement that $D=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ is a subdivision of the closed interval ( $a, b$ ) means that $D$ is a finite subset of ( $a, b$ ) such that $a=x_{0}, b=x_{n}$ and for each $i, x_{i}<x_{i+1}$ DEFINITION-1.2: The statement that $D^{\prime}$ is a refinement of a subdivision $D$ of ( $a, b$ ) means $D^{\prime}$ is a subdivision of $(a, b)$ and $D$ is a subset of $D^{\prime}$. DEFINITION 1.3: The statement that $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ is an interpolating sequence for the subdivision $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ means if $0<i \leq n$ then $x_{i-1} \leq t_{i} \leq x_{i}$. DEFINITION 1.4: The statement that $f$ is integrable with respect to $g$ means that $f$ and $g$ are functions and there exists a number $A$ such that if $e>0$ then there is a subdivision $D$ of ( $a, b$ ) such that ix $D^{\prime}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ is a refinement of $D$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ is an interpolating sequence of $D^{\prime}$ then

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(t_{i}\right)\left[g\left(x_{i}\right)-g\left(x_{i=1}\right)\right]-A\right|<e
$$

We denote the number $A$ by $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$. We will also denote the numbers $g\left(x_{i}\right)-g\left(x_{i-1}\right)$ by $d g_{i}$ and $f\left(t_{i}\right)$ by $f_{i}$ when no misunderstanding is likely. The symbol $\sum_{D^{\prime}}$ will be used for $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$, As indicated before, $(a, b)$ shall
denote the closed interval, containing both $a$ and $b$. DEFINITION 1.5: If $f$ and $g$ are functions such that $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists and if $D=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ is a subdivision of $(a, b)$ and $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{p}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D$ then
(1) $D^{+}$denotes the set such that $x$ belongs to $D^{+}$if and only if $x=x_{i}$ for some $x_{i}$ in $D$ and for each $p$ in $\left(x_{i=1}, x_{i}\right), f(p) \geq 0$.
(2) $D^{\infty}$ denotes the set such that $x$ belongs to $D^{\infty}$ if and only if $x=x_{i}$ for some $x_{i}$ in $D$ and for each $p$ in $\left(x_{i=1} x_{i}\right)$, $f(p)<0_{0}$
(3) $D^{ \pm}$denotes the set such $D^{ \pm}=D \infty\left(D^{+} U D^{\infty}\right)$. If $0<i \leq n$, then
(4) $i_{1} D_{1}$ denotes the set such that $x$ belongs to $D_{1}$ if and only if $x$ is in $D_{1}$ and $x_{i=1}<x \leq x_{i}$
(5) Dedg $\geq 0$ denotes the set such that $x$ belongs to Dodg $\geq 0$ if and only if $x=x_{i}$ for some $x_{i}$ in $D$ and $g\left(x_{i}\right)=g\left(x_{i-1}\right) \geq 0$.
(6) $D \cdot d g<0$ denotes the set such that $(D \cdot d g<0)=D=(D \cdot d g \geqslant 0)$. When no consideration of the sign of $f$ is needed, $D \cdot d g \geq 0$ will be denoted by +D and $\mathrm{D} \cdot \mathrm{dg}<0$ by -D .

DEFINITION 1.6: The statement that $g$ is of bounded variation on ( $a_{3} b$ )
means that there exists a number $M>0$ such that if $D=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ is a subdivision of $(a, b)$ then $\underset{D}{\Sigma}\left|d g_{i}\right|<M$ If $S$ is the set such that $p$ belongs to $S$ if and only if there is a subdirision $\left(x_{q}\right)_{q=0}^{m}$ of $\left(a_{g} b\right)$ such that $p=\sum_{q=1}^{m}\left|d g_{q}\right|$, then the least upper bound of $s$ is denoted by $V_{a}^{b} g$ and is said to be the variation of $g$ on $(a, b)$. THEOREM 1.7: If $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists and $e>0$ then there is a subdivision $D=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D^{\prime}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{p}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ are interpolating sequences for the sub= divisions $D$ and $D^{\prime}$, respectively, then $\left[1, p_{0} 304\right]$
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{D}\left|f\left(t_{p}^{p}\right) d g_{p} \quad \int_{x_{p-1}}^{x_{p}} f d g\right|<e, \\
& \sum_{D}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}=\sum_{D^{\prime}} f\left(t_{p}^{i}\right) d g_{p}\right|<e, \\
& \sum_{D}^{\Sigma}\left|\int_{X_{i=1}}^{x_{i}} \quad \underset{i d g}{ } \quad \sum_{i} f\left(t_{p}^{y}\right) d g_{p}\right|<e
\end{aligned}
$$

## CHAPTER II

THE EXISTENCE OF $\int_{a}^{b}|f| d g$
The first relatiorship to be considered is that between $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ and $\int_{a}^{b}|f| d g$. The following sequence of theorems establish that if $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists then $\int_{a}^{b}|f| d g$ exists. THEOREM 2.1: If $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists and $e>0$ then there is a subdivision $D$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ is a refinement of $D$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ is an interpolating sequence for $D_{1}$ then $\underset{D_{1} \pm}{\Sigma}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}\right|<e_{e}$

## Proof:

Let $e>0$. Since $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists and $\frac{e}{2}>0$ then, by Theorem 1.7 , there is a subdivision $D$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ is a refinement of $D$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ is an interpolating sequence for $D_{1}$ then

$$
\sum_{D_{1}}\left|f_{i} d g_{i}-\int_{X_{i=1}}^{X_{i}} f d g\right|<\frac{e}{2}
$$

Let $D_{1}=\left(X_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ be a refinement of $D$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ be an interee polating sequence for $D_{1}$. For each $t_{i}$ in $D_{1} \pm_{\text {, }}$ let $q_{i}$ be a number such that $q_{i}$ is in $\left(X_{i=1}, x_{i}\right)$ and if $f\left(t_{i}\right) \geq 0$ then $f\left(q_{i}\right)<0$ and if $f\left(t_{i}\right)<0$ then $f\left(q_{i}\right) \geq 0$. Therefore, for each $x_{i}$ in $D_{1} \pm,\left|f\left(t_{i}\right)-f\left(q_{i}\right)\right| \geq f\left(t_{i}\right)$. Now, $\quad e=\frac{e}{2}+\frac{e}{2}$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& >\sum_{D_{1} \pm}\left|\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} f d g-f\left(q_{i}\right) d g_{i}\right|+\sum_{D_{1} \pm}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}-\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} f d g\right| \\
& \geq \sum_{D_{1} \pm}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}-f\left(q_{i}\right) d g_{i}+\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} f d g-\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} f d g\right| \\
& =\sum_{D_{1} \pm}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right)-f\left(q_{i}\right)\right| \cdot\left|d g_{i}\right| \\
& \geq \sum_{D_{1} \pm}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| \cdot\left|d g_{i}\right| \\
& =\sum_{D_{1} \pm}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}\right| 0 \\
& \quad \sum\left|f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}\right|<e .
\end{aligned}
$$

THEOREM 2.2: If $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists and $e>0$ then there is a subdivision $D=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{p}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{p}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ are interpolating sequences for $D$ and $D_{1}$, respectively, then

$$
\Sigma\left|\left.\right|_{D i}\right| f\left(t_{i}\right)\left|d g_{i}-\sum_{i} D_{1}\right| f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)\left|d g_{p}\right|<e \theta
$$

Proof:
Let $\theta>0$. Since $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists and $\frac{\theta}{2}>0$ then, by Theorem 1.7;
there is a subdivision $D=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{p}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{\gamma}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ are interpolating sequences for $D$ and $D_{1}$, respectively, then

$$
\sum_{D}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}-\sum_{i} D_{i}\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right) d g_{p}\right|<\frac{e}{2}
$$

Let $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{i}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ be a refinement of $D$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{B}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$
be interpolating sequences for $D$ and $D_{1}$, respectively. Hence,

$$
\Sigma\left|\left|f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| d g_{i}-\sum_{i}^{\Sigma} D_{i} f\left(t_{p}\right) d g_{p}\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\begin{array}{c}
\Sigma \\
\mathrm{Dt}
\end{array}|\quad \cdot \quad|+\begin{array}{c}
\Sigma \\
\mathrm{D} m
\end{array} \quad \cdot 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{D^{+}}|\cdot|+\underset{D^{-}}{\sum\left|f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}-\sum_{i^{D}} f_{p}\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right) d g_{p}\right|} \\
& \leq \sum_{D}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}=\sum_{i D_{1}} f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right) d g_{p}\right| \\
& <\frac{e}{2} \\
& <\mathrm{e} \text { 。 }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\quad \Sigma\left|\left|f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| d g_{i}-\sum\right| f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)\left|d g_{p}\right|<e$
THEOREM 2.3: If $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists and $e>0$ then there is a subdivision $D=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D$ and for each $i$, $0<i \leq n$, Iet $i_{i} M=\left(y_{q}\right)_{q=0}^{I_{i}}$ denote the subdivision of $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)$, such that $z$ is in $i^{M}$ if and only if (1) $z$ is $x_{i-1}$ or $x_{i}$, or (2) $z$ is $x_{p}^{\prime}$ or $x_{p-1}^{\prime}$, where $x_{p}^{\prime}$ is in $D_{1} \pm$; and let $\left(z_{p}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ and $\left(w_{q}\right)_{q=1}^{l_{i}}$ be interpolating sequences for $D_{1}$ and $i_{i} M_{s}$ respectively, then
(A) $\sum_{D \pm}^{\Sigma}\left|\sum_{i^{M+}} \sum_{i^{M-}} \sum_{q^{D}}\right| f\left(z_{p}\right)\left|\left[g\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)-g\left(x_{p-1}^{8}\right)\right]\right|$

$$
<e+\sum_{D \pm}\left|\sum_{i} \sum_{U_{i}}\right| f\left(w_{q}\right)\left|\left[g\left(y_{q}\right)-g\left(y_{q-1}\right)\right]\right|
$$


(C) $\sum_{D \pm}\left|\sum_{i}^{M+\cdot d g<0}\right| f\left(w_{q}\right)\left|\cdot d g_{q}\right|<e$
(D) $\sum_{D \pm}\left|\sum_{i}\right| f\left(f_{q}\right)\left|\cdot d E \geq 0 . d g_{q}\right|<e$
(E) $\quad \sum_{D^{ \pm}}\left|\sum_{i}\right| f\left(f^{\prime}\left(N_{q}\right) \mid \cdot d g<0\right) \mid<e$
(F) $\quad \sum_{D^{+}}\left|\sum_{i}\right| D_{1}^{+}\left|f\left(z_{p}\right)\right| \cdot d g_{p} \mid<e$

Proof:
(A) Let $e>0$. By Theorem 2.2, since $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists and $e>0$ then there is a subdivision $D=\left(X_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D$ and $\left(z_{p}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ are interpolated sequences for $D_{1}$ and $D$, respectively, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma\left|\left|f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| d g_{i}-\sum_{i D_{1}}\right| f\left(z_{p}\right)\left|d g_{p}\right|<e \\
& D^{+} U D^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{1}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ be a refinement of $D$. For each $x_{i}$ in $D_{2}$ let $i^{M}$ be defined as in hypothesis of theorem and let $M=\underset{i=1}{\frac{n}{U}} i^{M}$. Thus, $M$ is a refinement of $D$ and $D_{1}$ is a refinement of $M$. $A l s o$, for each $i$, let $\left(w_{q}\right)_{q=1}^{l_{i}}$ be an interpolating sequence for ${ }_{i} M_{\text {. }}$ Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e>\sum_{M^{+}} \left\lvert\,\left\{\left.\begin{array}{l}
M^{-} \\
\left|f\left(w_{q}\right)\right| d g_{q}-\Sigma \\
q^{-} \\
D_{1}
\end{array}\left|f\left(z_{p}\right)\right| d g_{p} \right\rvert\,\right.\right. \\
& =\left.\sum_{D^{+}}\right|_{U D^{\infty}}\left|+\sum_{D \pm} \sum_{i}\right|_{M^{+}}\left|{ }_{i} M^{\infty}\right| \\
& \gtrsim \sum_{D^{+}}\left|\sum_{i^{+}} \sum_{U_{i}}\right| f\left(w_{q}\right)\left|d g_{q}-\sum_{i^{M+} U_{i} M^{\infty}} \sum_{q^{D}}^{D_{1}}\right| f\left(z_{p}\right)\left|d g_{p}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

(B) Let $e>0$. Since $\int_{a}^{b} f g^{\text {exists }}$ and $\frac{e}{3}>0$ then, by Theorem
1.7, there is a subdivision $D_{2}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{d}$ such that if $A_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{s}$ is a refinement of $D_{2}$ and $A_{2}=\left(w_{q}\right)_{q=0}^{r}$ is a refinement of $A_{1}$ and $\left(z_{p}\right)_{p=1}^{S}$ and $\left(t_{q}\right)_{q=1}^{r}$ are interpolating sequences for $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$,
respectively, then

$$
\sum_{A_{1}}\left|f\left(z_{p}\right) d g_{p}-\sum_{p^{A}} f\left(t_{q}\right) d g_{q}\right|<\frac{e}{3}
$$

Since $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists and $\frac{e}{3}>0$ then there is a subdivision $D_{3}$ of ( $a, b$ ) such that if $D_{1}=\left(X_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D_{3}$ and $\left(z_{p}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ is an interpolating sequence for $D_{1}$ then

$$
\sum_{D_{1}^{ \pm}}\left|f\left(z_{p}\right) d g_{p}\right|<\frac{e}{3}
$$

$$
\text { Let } D=D_{2} U D_{3}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n} \text { Let } D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{y}\right)_{p=0}^{m} \text { be a refinement }
$$

of $D$ and for each $X_{i}$ in $D$, let ${ }_{i} M$ be defined as in hypothesis and $M=\sum_{i=1}^{n} i_{i}$. Let $M_{1}$ be the refinement of $D$ such that $x$ belongs to $M_{1}$ if and only if $x$ is in $D$ or there is an $x_{i}$ in $D$ such that $x$ is in $i^{M^{+}}$, $i^{M-}$ or $i^{M t} \cdot d g<0$. For each $i$, let ${ }_{i} M_{1}=\left(y_{j}\right)_{j=0}^{k_{i}}$. Notice that $M$ is a refinement of $M_{1}$. For each $y_{j}$ in $M_{i}$ let $z_{j}^{\prime}$ be in ( $y_{j-1}, y_{j}$ ). Thus,

$$
\underset{M_{1} \pm}{\Sigma}\left|f\left(z_{j}^{\prime}\right) d g_{j}\right|<\frac{e}{3} \quad \text { and } \quad \Sigma\left|f\left(w_{q}\right) d g_{q}\right|<\frac{e}{3}
$$

Therefore,
$\sum\left|\sum_{D^{ \pm} i^{M+} \cdot d g \geq 0}\right| f\left(w_{q}\right)\left|\left[g\left(y_{q}\right)-g\left(y_{q-1}\right)\right]\right|$
$=\sum_{D^{ \pm} i^{M++} \cdot d g \geq 0} \sum_{q\left(w_{q}\right) d g_{q} \mid}$

$\leq \sum \sum_{D^{ \pm} M^{ \pm \pm} U_{i} M_{q}+\cdot d g_{q} \geq 0} \quad+\sum_{M^{ \pm}}\left|f\left(w_{q}\right) d g_{q}\right|$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\sum_{D^{ \pm}}\left|\sum_{j_{1}} f\left(w_{q}\right) d g_{q}-f\left(z_{j}^{\mathbf{l}}\right) d g_{j}\right|+\frac{e}{3}+\frac{e}{3} \\
& \left.\leq \begin{array}{l}
\Sigma \\
M_{1}
\end{array} \right\rvert\,+\frac{2}{3} e \\
& <\frac{e}{3}+\frac{2}{3} e \\
& =\quad e \text {. } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\Sigma\left|\sum_{D^{ \pm}}\right| \underset{M^{+}}{ }\left|f\left(w_{q}\right)\right|\left[g\left(y_{q}\right)-g\left(y_{q-1}\right)\right] \mid<e
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

By similar argument, parts $C, D$ and $E$ are also true. Using these results, the following establishes part $F$ as the main conclusion of the theorem.
(F) For each of the previous parts, $A, B, C, D$ and $E$, let the arbitrary positive number be $\frac{e}{5}$. Since $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists and $\frac{e}{5}>0$ then there is a subdivision $D=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D$ and $M=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left({ }_{i} M\right)$, as defined in hypothesis, is a refinement of $D$ then parts $A, B, C, D$ and $E$ are true.

Let $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ be a refinement of $D$ and $\left(z_{p}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ be an inter polating sequence for $D_{1}$. For each $i M_{\text {, }}$ let $w_{q}$ be in ( $y_{q-1}, y_{q}$ ) for each $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{q}}$ in $\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{M}}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\Sigma\left|\begin{array}{l}
\Sigma_{i} \\
D^{+} \\
D_{1}^{+} U_{i} D_{1}^{-}
\end{array}\right| f\left(z_{p}\right)\left|d g_{p}\right|
\end{array} \\
& =\Sigma_{D^{ \pm}}\left|\sum_{i} \sum^{++_{i} M-}{ }_{q} D_{1}\right| f\left(z_{p}\right)\left|d g_{p}\right| \\
& \left.<\frac{e}{5} \not \sum \sum_{D^{ \pm}} \sum_{M^{+}}\left|f\left(W_{i} M^{-}\right)\right| d g_{q} \right\rvert\,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{e}{5}+\Sigma \sum_{D^{ \pm}}|\underset{i}{ }| \underset{M+d g \geq 0}{\Sigma}\left|f\left(w_{q}\right)\right| d g_{q}\left|+\underset{D^{ \pm}}{ }\right| \sum_{i}|\underset{M+d g<0}{ }| f\left(w_{q}\right)|d g q|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\frac{e}{5}+\frac{e}{5}+\frac{e}{5}+\frac{e}{5}+\frac{e}{5} \\
& =e \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\Sigma \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \Sigma\left|f\left(z_{p}\right)\right| d g_{p} \mid<e \\
& D^{ \pm} D_{1}+U_{i} D_{1}^{-}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Finally, with the preceding theorems we can establish the following result.
THEOREM 2.4: If $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists then $\int_{a}^{b}|f| d g$ exists.
Proof:
Let $e>0$. Since $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists and $\frac{e}{4}>0$ then, by Theorem 2.1, there is a subdivision $D_{2}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{k}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D_{2}$ and $\left(t_{p}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ is an interpolating sequence for $D_{1}$ then

$$
\sum_{D_{1} \pm}\left|f\left(t_{p}^{0}\right) d g_{p}\right|<\frac{e}{4}
$$

Since $\int_{a}^{b} \mathrm{fdg}$ exists and $\frac{e}{4}>0$ then, by Theorem 2.2, there is a subdivision $D_{3}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{I}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{8}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D_{3}$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{l}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ are interpolating sequences for $D_{3}$ and $D_{1}$, respectively, then

$$
\underset{D_{3}+U D_{3}-}{\Sigma\left|f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| d g_{i}-\sum_{i \cdot 1}\left|f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)\right| d g_{p} \left\lvert\,<\frac{e}{4}\right., ~}
$$

Since $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists and $\frac{e}{4}>0$ then, by Theorem 2.3 , there exists a subdivision $D_{4}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{j}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{8}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a
refinement of $D_{4}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ is an interpolating sequence for $D_{1}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma|\Sigma| f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)\left|d g_{p}\right|<\frac{e}{4} \\
& D_{4}^{ \pm}{ }_{i} D_{i}^{+} U_{i} D_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $D=D_{2} U_{3} D_{3} D_{4}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$. Let $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ be a refinement of $D$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{1}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ be interpolating sequences for $D$ and $D_{1}$, respectively. Thus,

$$
(a, b) \text { such that if } D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m} \text { is a refinement of } D \text { and }\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}
$$ and $\left(t_{p}^{1}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ are interpolating sequences for $D$ and $D_{1}$, respectively, then $\quad\left|\begin{array}{l}\Sigma \\ D\end{array}\right| f\left(t_{i}\right)\left|d g_{i}-\sum_{D_{1}}\right| f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)\left|d g_{p}\right|<e$,

therefore, $\int_{a}^{b}|f| d g$ exists $[2$, p. 28].

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{D}\right| f\left(t_{i}\right)\left|d g_{i}-\sum_{D_{1}}^{\Sigma}\right| f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)\left|d g_{p}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{D}^{\Sigma}| | f\left(t_{i}\right)\left|d g_{i}-\underset{i}{ } \sum_{1}\right| f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)\left|d g_{p}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{D+U D^{-}}^{\Sigma\left|f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| d g_{i}-\sum_{i} D_{1}\left|f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)\right| d g_{p}\left|+\underset{D^{ \pm}}{\Sigma}\right| f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}| |} \\
& +\sum_{D^{ \pm}}\left|\sum_{i} D_{1}\right| f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)\left|d g_{p}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\frac{e}{2}+\underset{D_{\dagger}^{+}}{ }\left|f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right) d g_{p}\right|+\frac{e}{4} \\
& <\frac{3}{4} e+\frac{e}{4} \\
& =e \text {. } \\
& \text { Since for each e }>0 \text { there is a subdivision } D=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n} \text { of }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this theorem, another relationship can be established
between $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ and $\int_{a}^{b} f d|g|$.
THEOREM 2.5: If $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists then $\int_{a}^{b} f d g \mid$.
Proof:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Since } \int_{a}^{b} f d g \text { exists then } \int_{a}^{b} g d f \text { exists }[2, p \text { 53] and is } \\
f(b) g(b)=f(a) g(a)-\int_{a}^{b} f d g
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\int_{a}^{b} g d f$ exists then, by Theorem 2.4, $\int_{a}^{b}|g| d f$ exists. Since $\int_{a}^{b}|g| d f$ exists then $\int_{a}^{b} f d g \mid$ exists.

CHAPTER III
RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ AND $\int_{a}^{b} f|d g|$

The next relationship to be shown is between the integrals $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ and $\int_{a}^{b} f|d g|$. It has been found that if $g$ is of bounded variation on ( $a, b$ ), then equivalent statements can be made regarding these integrals. The following theorem allows us to prove an equivalent statem ment as the next theorem.

THEOREM 3.1: If $g$ is of bounded variation on ( $a, b$ ) and $e>0$ then there is a subdivision $D=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{v}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D$ then

$$
\sum_{+D} \sum_{D_{1}}\left|d g_{p}\right|+\Sigma \cdot \Sigma\left|d g_{p}\right|<e
$$

Proof:
Let $e>0$. Since $g$ is of bounded variation on $(a, b)$ and $\frac{\theta}{2}>0$ then there is a subdivision $D=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D^{\prime}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D$ then

$$
\sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right| \geq \sum_{D}\left|d g_{i}\right| \geq V_{a}^{b} g-\frac{e}{2}
$$

Let $D^{\prime}=\left(x_{p}^{1}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ be a refinement of $D$. Since $V_{a}^{b} g$ is the Ieast upper bound of such summations on ( $a, b$ ) then

$$
V_{a}^{b} g-\sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right| \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad V_{a}^{b} g-\sum_{D}\left|d g_{i}\right| \geq 0
$$

also.,

$$
\left|V_{a}^{b}-\sum_{D}\right| d g_{p}| |<\frac{e}{2} \text { and }\left|V_{a}^{b} g-\sum_{D}\right| d g_{i}| |<\frac{e}{2}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|-\sum_{D}\left|d g_{i}\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{D^{\prime}}\right| d g_{p}\left|-\sum_{D}\right| d g_{i}| | \\
& =\left|\sum_{D^{\prime}}\right| d g_{p}\left|-V_{a^{\prime}}^{b} g+V_{a^{\prime}}^{b}-\sum_{D}\right| d g_{i}| | \\
& \leq\left|\sum_{D^{\prime}}\right| d g_{p}| |-V_{a}^{b} g\left|+\left|V_{a}^{b} g-\Sigma\right| d g_{i}\right| \mid \\
& <\frac{e}{2}+\frac{e}{2} \\
& =e \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\quad \sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|-\sum_{D}\left|d g_{i}\right|<e$.

Also notice that

$$
\sum_{D} d g_{i}=\sum_{D+D^{\prime}} d g_{p}+\sum_{D} \sum_{D^{\prime}} d g_{p}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{+D}\left|d g_{i}\right| & =\sum_{+D}^{\Sigma} \sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|+\underset{+D-D^{\prime}}{\Sigma} \sum_{p}-\left|d g_{p}\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{+D}^{\Sigma} \sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{D}+\sum_{D}\left|d g_{p}\right| \geq \sum_{D}^{\Sigma}\left|d g_{i}\right| \geq 0
$$

Similarly,

$$
\sum_{-D} \Sigma_{-D}\left|d g_{p}\right| \geq \sum_{-D}\left|d g_{i}\right|
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{+D} \sum_{-D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|+\sum_{-D} \sum_{D}\left|d g_{p}\right| \\
& \leq \Sigma_{+D}^{\Sigma^{\prime}} \Sigma_{-D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|+\underset{-D}{ }+\sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|+\left[\sum_{D}+\sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|-\underset{D}{\Sigma}\left|d g_{i}\right|\right] \\
& +\left[\sum_{-D=D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|-\sum_{-D}\left|d g_{i}\right|\right] \\
& =\sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|\mathrm{dg}_{\mathrm{p}}\right|-\underset{D}{\Sigma}\left|\mathrm{dg}_{\mathrm{i}}\right| \\
& <\quad e \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\sum_{+_{D}} \sum_{-D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|+\sum_{-D} \sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|<e .
$$

THEOREM 3.2: If $g$ is of bounded variation on ( $a, b$ ) then the following two statements are equivalent:
(1) $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists.
(2) $\quad \int_{a} f|d g|$ exists.

Proof:
If either integral exists then there is a subdivision $\left(y_{r}\right)_{r=0}^{p}$ of ( $a, b$ ) such that for each $r$, either $f$ is bounded on $\left(y_{r-1}, y_{r}\right)$ or gis constant on $\left(y_{r-1}, y_{r}\right)\left[2\right.$, p. 51]. Thus, $\int_{y_{r-1}}^{y_{r}} f d g=0$ or $\int_{y_{r-1}}^{y_{r}}|d g|=0$ for each $\left(y_{r-1}, y_{r}\right)$ on which $f$ is not bounded. Hence, in the following proof we shall consider the case where $f$ is bounded on. $(a, b)$.
(2) implies (1)

Let $e>0$. Since $\int_{a}^{b} f|d g|$ exists then $f$ is bounded by some number $M>1$ on each subinterval of $(a, b)$ on which $g$ is not constant. Since $\int_{a}^{b} f|d g|$ exists and $\frac{e}{2}>0$ then, by Theorem 1.7 , there is a subdivision $D_{1}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{j}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D^{\prime}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D_{1}$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{j}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ are interpolating sequences for $D_{1}$ and $D^{\prime}$, respectively, then

$$
\Sigma_{D_{1}}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| d g_{i}\left|-\sum_{D^{\prime}} f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)\right| d g_{p}| |<\frac{e}{2}
$$

Since $g$ is of bounded variation on $(a, b)$ and $\frac{e}{4 M}>0$ then there is a subdivision $D_{2}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{k}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D^{\prime}=\left(x_{p}^{p}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a
refinement of $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ then

$$
\sum_{D}^{\Sigma} \sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|+\underset{-D}{\Sigma} \sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|<\frac{e}{4 M}
$$

Let $D=D_{1}$ U $D_{2}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$. Let $D^{\prime}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ be a refinement of $D$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ be interpolating sequences for $D$ and $D^{\prime}$, respectively. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{D} f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}-\sum_{D^{\prime}} f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right) d g_{p}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\underset{+D}{\Sigma} \sum_{-D^{\prime}} f_{p} d g_{p}-\sum_{D} \sum_{D} f_{p} d g_{p} \mid \\
& \leq\left|\underset{\sim}{\operatorname{D}} \mathrm{f}_{i}\left(-\left|d g_{i}\right|\right)-\underset{-D}{\Sigma} \sum_{-D^{\prime}} f_{p}\left(-\left|d g_{p}\right|\right)\right| \\
& +\left|\sum_{+D} f_{i}\right| d g_{i}\left|-\sum_{+D} \sum_{D^{\prime}} f_{p}\right| d g_{p}| |+\mid \underset{+D}{\sum \sum_{-D} f_{p}\left(-\left|d g_{p}\right|\right) \mid} \\
& +\left|\sum_{-D}^{\Sigma} \sum_{D} f_{p}\right| d g_{p}| | \\
& \leq \sum_{-D}^{\Sigma}\left|f_{i}\right| d g_{i}\left|-\sum_{i^{\prime}}^{\Sigma f_{p}}\right| d g_{p}| |+\sum_{D}\left|f_{i}\right| d g_{i}\left|-\sum_{i}^{\sum} \sum_{p}\right| d g_{p}| | \\
& +\sum_{+D}^{\Sigma} \Sigma_{D}\left|f_{p}\right|\left|d g_{p}\right|+\sum_{-D} \sum_{D}^{\prime}\left|f_{p}\right|\left|d g_{p}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\begin{array}{|c|}
\Sigma \\
-D
\end{array}|\quad|+\underset{+D}{\Sigma}|\quad| \\
& +M\left(\underset{+D}{\Sigma} \underset{D^{\prime}}{\Sigma}\left|d g_{p}\right|+\underset{-D}{\Sigma} \sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|\right) \\
& <\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|+|+\underset{+D}{\Sigma}| \quad \bullet \quad|+M\left(\frac{e}{4 M}\right) \\
& \left.=\begin{array}{r}
\Sigma \\
-D
\end{array}|\quad|+\underset{+D}{\Sigma}|\quad| \quad \right\rvert\,+\frac{e}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\underset{-D^{\prime}}{\Sigma}\left|f_{i}\right| d g_{i}\left|-\underset{i^{\prime}}{\Sigma f_{p}\left|d g_{p}\right|}-\underset{i^{\prime}}{\sum f_{p}}\right| d g_{p} \mid+\underset{i^{\prime}}{\sum_{D^{\prime}} f_{p}\left|d g_{p}\right| \mid} \\
& +\sum_{t_{D}}^{\sum}\left|f_{i}\right| d g_{i}\left|-\sum_{i} \sum_{D^{\prime}} f_{p}\right| d g_{p}\left|-\sum_{i} \sum_{D^{\prime}} f_{p}\right| d g_{p}\left|+\sum_{i^{\prime}}^{\sum f_{p}}\right| d g_{p}| | \\
& +\frac{e}{4} \\
& \leq \underset{-D}{\Sigma}\left|f_{i}\right| d g_{i}\left|-\underset{i}{\Sigma D^{i}} \underset{p}{ }\right| d g_{p}| |+\underset{+D}{\Sigma}\left|f_{i}\right| d g_{i}\left|-\underset{D_{D}}{\Sigma f_{p}}\right| d g_{p}| | \\
& +\sum_{-D} \sum_{D} \sum_{D}\left|f_{p}\right|\left|d g_{p}\right|+\sum_{D} \sum_{-D} \sum_{p}\left|f_{p}\right|\left|d g_{p}\right|+\frac{e}{4} \\
& \leq \sum_{D}^{\Sigma}\left|f_{i}\right| d g_{i}\left|-\sum_{D^{\prime}}^{\Sigma} \Sigma f_{p}\right| d g_{p}| |+\sum_{-D} \sum_{D^{\prime}} \sum^{M}\left|d g_{p}\right| \\
& +\underset{+D}{\Sigma} \sum_{D} M\left|d g_{p}\right|+\frac{e}{4} \\
& <\frac{e}{2}+M\left(\underset{-D}{\Sigma} \sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|+\underset{+D}{\Sigma} \sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{p}\right|\right)+\frac{e}{4} \\
& <\frac{e}{2}+M\left(\frac{e}{4 M}\right)+\frac{e}{4} \\
& =\quad e \text {. } \\
& \text { Since for each } e>0 \text { there is a subdivision } D=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n} \text { of } \\
& \text { ( } a, b \text { ) such that if } D^{\prime}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m} \text { is a refinement of } D \text { and }\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n} \\
& \text { and }\left(t_{p}^{p}\right)_{p=1}^{m} \text { are interpolating sequences for } D \text { and } D^{\prime} \text {, respectively, } \\
& \text { then } \quad\left|\begin{array}{l}
\Sigma \\
D
\end{array} f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}-\sum_{D} f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right) d g_{p}\right|<e,
\end{aligned}
$$ therefore, $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists $[2, p .28]$.

(1) implies (2)

Let $e>0$. Since $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists and $g$ is of bounded variation on $(a, b)$ then $\int_{a}^{b} f d V_{g}$ exists, where $V_{g}(x)=V_{a}^{Z_{g}}$ for each $x$ in $(a, b)$ $[2, p, 66]$. Since $f$ is bounded on ( $a, b$ ) then there is an $M>1$ such that $M>|f(x)|$ for each $x$ in $(a, b)$. Since $\int_{a}^{b} f V_{g}$ exists and
$\frac{e}{2}>0$ then there is a subdivision $D_{1}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D^{\prime}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ is a refinement of $D_{1}$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ is an interpolating sequence for
$D^{\prime}$ then

$$
\left|\sum_{D^{\prime}} f\left(t_{i}\right) d V_{g_{i}}-\int_{a^{b}}^{b} d V_{g}\right|<\frac{e}{2}
$$

Since $g$ is of bounded variation on $(a, b)$ and $\frac{e}{2 M}>0$ then there is $a$ subdivision $D_{2}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D^{\prime}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ is a refinement of $D_{2}$ then

$$
\sum_{D}\left|V_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}}-\left|d g_{i}\right|\right|<\frac{e}{2 M}
$$

Let $D=D_{1} U D_{2}$. Let $D^{\prime}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ be a refinement of $D$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ be an interpolating sequence for $D^{\prime}$. For each i, let $V_{X_{i-1}}^{x_{i}}$ be denoted by $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{D^{\prime}} f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| d g_{i}\left|-\int_{a}^{b} f d V_{g}\right| \\
& \leq\left|\sum_{D^{\prime}} f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| d g_{i}\left|-\sum_{D^{0}} f\left(t_{i}\right) V_{g_{i}}+\sum_{D^{\prime}} f\left(t_{i}\right) V_{g_{i}}-\int_{a}^{b} f V_{g}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\sum_{D}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| d g_{i}\left|-f\left(t_{i}\right) V_{g_{i}}\right|+\frac{e}{2} \\
& =\sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right)\right|\left|V_{g_{i}}-\left|d g_{i}\right|\right|+\frac{e}{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{D^{\prime}} M\left|V_{g_{i}}-\left|d g_{i}\right|\right|+\frac{e}{2} \\
& =M \sum_{D}\left|V_{i}-\left|d g_{i}\right|\right|+\frac{e}{2} \\
& <M\left(\frac{e}{2 M}\right)+\frac{e}{2} \\
& =e \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\int_{a}^{b} f d V g$ is a number such that if $e>0$ then there is a
subdivision $D$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D^{\prime}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ is a refinement of
$D$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ is an interpolating sequence for $D^{\prime}$ then

$$
\left|\sum_{D^{\prime}} f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| d g_{i}\left|-\int_{a}^{b} f d V_{g}\right|<e
$$

therefore, $\int_{a}^{b}|d g|$ exists, by Definition 1.3.
With further investigation, it has been found that given the existence of $\int_{a}^{b}|d g|$, the proof of the existence of $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ does not require the condition of bounded variation for $g$ on ( $a, b$ ). The following are two preliminary theorems in preparation for the desired result. THEOREM 3.3: If $\int_{a}^{b}|d g|$ exists then $\int_{a}^{b}|f d g|$ exists.

## Proof:

By Theorem 2.4, since $\int_{a}^{b}|d g|$ exists then $\int_{a}^{b}|f||d g|$ exists and $\int_{a}^{b}|f d g|$ exists.

THEOREM 3.4: If $\int_{a}^{b} f|d g|$ exists and $g$ is not of bounded variation on ( $a, b$ ) then for each $e>0$ there is a subinterval ( $c, d$ ) of ( $a, b$ ) such that $|f(x)|<e$ for each $x$ in ( $c, d$. .

Proof:
Assume the conclusion is false. Therefore, there is an $e>0$ such that if ( $c, d$ ) is any subinterval of ( $a, b$ ) then there is an $x$ in ( $c, d$ ) such that $|f(x)| \geq$ e. Since $\int_{a}^{b}|f d g|$ exists and e. $>0$ then there is a subdivision $D$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D^{\prime}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ is a refinement of $D$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ is an interpolating sequence for $D^{\prime}$ then, by Definition 1.3. $\quad\left|\sum_{D^{\prime}}\right| f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}\left|-\int_{a}^{b}\right| f d g| |<e$.

Since $g$ is not of bounded variation on $(a, b)$ and $1+\frac{1}{e} \int_{a}^{b}|f d g|>0$ then there is a refinement $D^{\prime}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ of $D$ such that

$$
\sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{i}\right|>1+\frac{1}{e} \int_{a}^{b}|f d g|
$$

From our assumption, there exists an interpolating sequence for $D^{\prime}$, $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$, such that for each $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right),\left|f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| \geq e$. Hence,
and

$$
\left|\sum_{D^{\prime}}\right| f_{i} d g_{i}\left|-\int_{a}^{b}\right| f d g| |<e
$$

$$
\sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|f_{i} d g_{i}\right|<e+\int_{a}^{b}|f d g|
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e+\int_{a}^{b}|f d g| & >\sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|f_{i} d g_{i}\right| \\
& \geq \sum_{D^{\prime}} e\left|d g_{i}\right| \\
& =e \sum_{D^{\prime}}\left|d g_{i}\right| \\
& \geq e\left(1+\frac{1}{e} \int_{a}^{b}|f d g|\right) \\
& =e+\int_{a}^{b}|f d g|
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
e+\int_{a}^{b}|f d g|>e+\int_{a}^{b}|f d g|
$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, the assumption is false and the
theorem is true.
THEOREM 3.5: If $\int_{a}^{b} f|d g|$ exists then $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists.
Proof:
Let $e>0$. Since $\int_{a}^{b} f|d g|$ exists then, by Theorem 3.3, $\int_{a}^{b}|f d g|$ exists. Since $\int_{a}^{b}|f d g|$ exists and $\frac{e}{6}>0$ then, by Theorem 1.7, there is a subdivision $D_{1}=\left(z_{1}\right)_{l=0}^{n}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D_{2}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D_{1}, D_{3}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{k}$ is a refinement of $D_{2}$ and
$\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{m}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=1}^{k}$ are interpolating sequences for $D_{2}$ and $D_{3}$, respectively, then

$$
\sum_{D_{2}}| | f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}\left|-\underset{i}{\sum D_{3}} \underset{p}{ }\right| f\left(t_{p}\right) d g_{p}| |<\frac{e}{6}
$$

Let $A$ be the set such that $z_{1}$ belongs to $A$ if and only if $z_{1}$
is in $D_{1}$ and $g$ is of bounded variation on $\left(z_{1-1}, z_{1}\right)$. Since for each $z_{I}$ in $A, \int_{Z_{I-1}}^{Z_{1}} f|d g|$ exists and $g$ is of bounded variation on $\left(z_{1-1}, z_{1}\right)$ then, by Theorem 3.2, $\int_{Z_{I-1}}^{Z_{I}}$ fdg exists. Since for each $Z_{1}$ in $A_{\text {, }}$ $\int_{Z_{I-1}}^{Z_{I}}$ fdg exists and $\frac{e}{6 n}>0$ then, by Theorem 1.7 , there is a subdivision $A_{I}=\left(c_{r}\right)_{r=0}^{k_{I}}$ of $\left(z_{I-1}, z_{I}\right)$ such that if $A_{I}^{\prime}=\left(c_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{j_{I}}$ is a refinement of $A_{1}$ and $\left(t_{p}\right)_{p=1}^{j I}$ is an interpolating sequence for $A_{1}^{\prime}$ then

$$
\sum_{A_{1}^{\prime}}\left|f\left(t_{p}\right) d g_{p}-\int_{c}^{c}{ }_{p-1}^{1} f d g\right|<\frac{e}{6 n}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{A_{I}}\left|\int_{c_{r-1}^{c} r}^{c_{r}}-\sum_{r_{i}} f\left(t_{p}\right) d g_{p}\right|<\frac{e}{6 n}
$$

For each $z_{1}$ in $D_{1}$ which is not $A$, let $A_{1}$ be the set such that $x$ belongs to $A_{1}$ if and only if $x=z_{1}$.
 ment of $D$. Thus, $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ are refinements of $D_{1}$ such that $D^{\prime}$ is a refinement of $D_{0}$ Let $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\alpha}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ be interpolating sequences for $D$ and $D^{\prime}$, respectively.

Let $C$ be the set such that $x$ belongs to $C$ if and only if $X$ is
in $D$ and there is a $z_{1}$ in $A$ such that $z_{1-1}<x \leq z_{1}$. Let C' be the set such that $x$ belongs to $C^{\prime}$ if and only if $x$ is in $D^{\prime}$ and there is an $x_{i}$ in $D$ such that $x_{i}$ is in $C$ and $x_{i-1}<x \leq x_{i}$. Let $B$ be the set $D-C$ and $B^{\prime}$ be the set $D^{\prime}-C^{\prime}$. Therefore, for each $x_{i}$ in $B, g$ is not of bounded variation on $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)$. For each $x_{i}$ in $B$, since $g$ is not of bounded variation on $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right), \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}}|d g|$ exists and $\frac{e}{6 n\left(\left|d g_{i}\right|+1\right)}>0$ then, by Theorem 3.4, there is a subinterval ( $\left.c, d\right)_{i}$ of $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)$ such that for each $x$ in $(c, d)_{i},|f(x)|<\frac{e}{6 n\left(\left|d g_{i}\right|+1\right)}$. For each $x_{i}$ in $B$, let $q_{i}$ be in $(c, d)_{i}$ Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{D} f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}-\sum_{D^{\prime}} f\left(t_{p}\right) d g_{p}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq\left|\frac{\Sigma}{C} f_{i} d g_{i}-\sum \int_{C}^{X_{i_{i}}} f d g\right|+\left|\sum_{C=1}^{\Sigma} \int_{X_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} f d g-\sum_{C} f_{p} d g_{p}\right| \\
& +\begin{array}{c}
\Sigma \\
B
\end{array}\left|+\left|+\Sigma_{B}\right| \cdots\right| \\
& \leq \quad \sum_{C}\left|f_{i} d g_{i}-\int x_{i=1}^{x_{i}} f d g\right|+\sum_{C}\left|\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} f d g-\sum_{C^{\prime}} f_{p} d g_{p}\right| \\
& +\begin{array}{c}
\Sigma \\
B
\end{array}|\cdot|+\begin{array}{c}
\Sigma \\
B^{\prime}
\end{array}|\cdot|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{B}|\quad|+\left|\begin{array}{c} 
\\
B^{\prime}
\end{array}\right| \cdot 1 \\
& <\sum_{I=1}^{n} \frac{e}{6 n}+\sum_{I=1}^{n} \frac{e}{6 n}+\frac{\Sigma}{B}|\cdot|+\sum_{B}|\cdot|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{e}{6}+\frac{e}{6}+\sum_{B}\left|f_{i} d g_{i}\right|+\sum_{B^{\prime}}\left|f_{p} d g_{p}\right| \\
& =\frac{e}{3}+\sum_{B}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}\right|+\sum_{B^{8}}\left|f\left(t_{p}\right) d g_{p}\right| \\
& =\frac{e}{3}+\sum_{B}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}\right|-\sum_{B}\left|f\left(q_{i}\right) d g_{i}\right| \\
& +\sum_{B^{\prime}}\left|f\left(t_{p}\right) d g_{p}\right|-\sum_{B}\left|f\left(q_{i}\right) d g_{i}\right|+2 \sum_{B}\left|f\left(q_{i}\right) d g_{i}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{e}{3}+\sum_{B}| | f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}\left|-\left|f\left(q_{i}\right) d g_{i}\right|\right| \\
& +\sum_{B}| | f\left(q_{i}\right) d g_{i}\left|-\sum_{i^{B \prime}}\right| f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right) d g_{p}| |+2 \sum_{B}\left|f\left(q_{i}\right)\right|\left|d g_{\dot{i}}\right| \\
& <\frac{e}{3}+\frac{e}{6}+\frac{e}{6}+2 \underset{B}{6 n\left(\left|d g_{i}\right|+1\right)}\left|d g_{i}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{2}{3} e+2\left(\frac{e}{6}\right) \sum_{B} \frac{1}{n} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{e}+\frac{\mathrm{e}}{3}(1) \\
& =0 \text {. } \\
& \text { Since for each } e>0 \text { there is a subdivision } D=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n} \text { of } \\
& \text { ( } a, b \text { ) such that if } D^{\prime}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m} \text { is a refinement of } D \text { and }\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n} \\
& \text { and }\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=1}^{m} \text { are interpolating sequences for } D \text { and } D^{\prime} \text {, respectively, } \\
& \left|\sum_{D}^{\Sigma} f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}-\sum_{D^{\prime}} f\left(t_{p}^{0}\right) d g_{p}\right|<e,
\end{aligned}
$$ then

therefore, $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists [2, p. 28].
THEOREM 3.6: If $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ and $\int_{a}^{b}|f d g|$ both exist then $\int_{a}^{b} f|d g|$ exists. Proof:

Let $e>0$. Since $\int_{a}^{b}|f d g|$ exists and $\frac{e}{4}>0$ then, by Theorem 1.7, there is a subdivision $D_{2}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{k}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$
is a refinement of $D_{2}$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{k}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ are interpolating sequences for $D_{2}$ and $D_{1}$, respectively, then

$$
\sum_{D_{2}}| | f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i} \left\lvert\,-\sum_{i_{1}}^{\Sigma\left|f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right) d g_{p}\right| \left\lvert\,<\frac{e}{4} .\right.}\right.
$$

Since $\int_{a}^{b} \mathrm{fdg}$ exists and $\frac{e}{4}>0$ then, by Theorem 2.1, there is a subdivision $D_{3}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D_{3}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ is an interpolating sequence for $D_{1}$ then

$$
\sum_{D_{1}^{ \pm}}\left|f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right) d g_{p}\right|<\frac{e}{4}
$$

Since $\int_{a}^{b}$ fag exists and $\frac{e}{4}>0$ then, by Theorem 2.3, there is a subdivision $D_{4}$ of $(a, b)$ such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D_{4}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{1}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ is an interpolating sequence for $D_{1}$ then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l|l|}
\Sigma & \Sigma \\
D_{4}^{ \pm} & \left|f\left(t_{p}\right) d g_{p}\right| \mid
\end{array} \right\rvert\,<\frac{e}{4} .
$$

Let $D=D_{2}$ U $D_{3}$ U $D_{4}=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$. Let $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ be a refinemont of $D$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ and $\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ be interpolating sequences for $D$ and $D_{1}$, respectively. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\Sigma f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| d g_{i}\left|-\sum_{D_{1}} f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)\right| d g_{p}| | \\
& \leq \sum_{D^{+}}| | f\left(t_{i}\right)| | d g_{i}\left|=\underset{i}{D_{1}}\right| f\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)| | d g_{p}| | \\
& +\underset{D^{-}}{\Sigma}\left|-\left|f\left(t_{i}\right)\right|\right| d g_{i}\left|-\underset{i^{D}}{\Sigma}-\left|f\left(t_{p}^{p}\right)\right|\right| d g_{p}| |+\underset{D^{ \pm}}{\Sigma}\left|f\left(t_{i}\right) d g_{i}\right| \\
& +\underset{D_{i}^{ \pm} D_{1}}{\Sigma}\left|f\left(t_{p}\right) d g_{p}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.<\sum_{D^{+}}| | f_{D^{-}} d g_{i}\left|-\sum_{i} D_{1}\right| f_{p} d g_{p}| |+\frac{e}{4}+\underset{D^{ \pm} i_{i} D_{1}}{\Sigma} \sum_{p} d g_{p} \right\rvert\,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\begin{array}{l}
\Sigma \\
D^{ \pm}{ }_{i} D_{1}^{ \pm}
\end{array}\left|f_{p} \mathrm{dg}_{\mathrm{p}}\right| \\
& <\sum_{D}|\quad \bullet \quad|+\frac{e}{4}+\frac{e}{4}+\underset{D_{1}^{ \pm}}{\Sigma}\left|f_{p} d g_{p}\right| \\
& <\frac{e}{4}+\frac{e}{2}+\frac{e}{4} \\
& =\mathrm{e} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for each $e>0$ there is a subdivision $D=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ of ( $a, b$ ) such that if $D_{1}=\left(x_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=0}^{m}$ is a refinement of $D$ and $\left(t_{p}^{\prime}\right)_{p=1}^{m}$ and $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ are interpolating sequences for $D_{1}$ and $D$, respectively, then $\quad\left|\sum_{D} f\left(t_{i}\right)\right| d g_{i}\left|-\sum_{D_{1}} f\left(t_{p}^{i}\right)\right| d g_{p}| |<e$, therefore, $\int_{a}^{b} f|d g|$ exists $[2, p .28]$.

The questions of reciprocity of the relationships between several of the integrais arise. If $\int_{a}^{b}|f| d g$ exists then $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ does not neces. sarily exist. For example, if $f$ is the function defined as follows:
$f(x)=1$, if $x$ is a rational number
$f(x)=-1$, if $x$ is an irrational number
and $g(x)=x$, for each $x$ in $(a, b)$, then $\int_{a}^{b}|f| d g$ exists but $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ does not exist; $\int_{a}^{b} g d|f|$ exists but $\int_{a}^{b} g d f$ does not; and $\int_{a}^{b}|f d g|$ exists but $\int_{a}^{b} f|d g|$ does not.

If $\int_{a}^{b} f d g$ exists then $\int_{a}^{b} f|d g|$ does not necessarily exist. For
example, if $f$ and $g$ are functions such that $f(x)=1$ for each number $x$ and $g(x)=x \sin \frac{1}{x}$ for each number $x \neq 0$ and $g(0)=0$, then $\int_{0}^{2} f d g$ exists but $\int_{0}^{2} f|d g|$ does not.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Helton, Burrell W. "Integral Equations and Product Integrals." Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. XVI, 1966.
2. Hildebrandt, T. H. Theory of Integration. New York: Academic Press, 1963.
