

EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR HIGHER ORDER SINGULAR SUBLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

IMED BACHAR

ABSTRACT. We present existence result for the polyharmonic nonlinear problem

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{pm}u &= \varphi(\cdot, u) + \psi(\cdot, u), \quad \text{in } B \\ u &> 0, \quad \text{in } B \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow 1} \frac{(-\Delta)^{jm}u(x)}{(1-|x|)^{m-1}} &= 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq p-1, \end{aligned}$$

in the sense of distributions. Here m, p are positive integers, B is the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) and the nonlinearity is a sum of a singular and sublinear terms satisfying some appropriate conditions related to a polyharmonic Kato class of functions $\mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we investigate the existence and the asymptotic behavior of positive solutions for the following iterated polyharmonic problem involving a singular and sublinear terms:

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{pm}u &= \varphi(\cdot, u) + \psi(\cdot, u), \quad \text{in } B \\ u &> 0 \quad \text{in } B \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow 1} \frac{(-\Delta)^{jm}u(x)}{(1-|x|)^{m-1}} &= 0, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq p-1, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

in the sense of distributions. Here B is the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) and m, p are positive integers. This research is a follow up to the work done by Shi and Yao [14], who considered the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u + k(x)u^{-\gamma} + \lambda u^\alpha &= 0, \quad \text{in } D, \\ u &> 0, \quad \text{in } D \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where D is a bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$), γ, α are two constants in $(0, 1)$, λ is a real parameter and k is a Hölder continuous function in $\bar{\Omega}$. They proved the existence of positive solutions. Choi, Lazer and McKenna in [8] and [11] have studied a variety of singular boundary value problems of the type $\Delta u + p(x)u^{-\gamma}$, in a regular

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B27, 35J40.

Key words and phrases. Green function; higher-order elliptic equations; positive solution; Schauder fixed point theorem.

©2006 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted May 10, 2006. Published August 31, 2006.

domain D , $u = 0$ on ∂D , where $\gamma > 0$ and p is a nonnegative function. They proved the existence of positive solutions. This has been extended by Mâagli and Zribi [13] to the problem $\Delta u = -f(\cdot, u)$ in D , $u = 0$ on ∂D , where $f(x, \cdot)$ is nonnegative and nonincreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

On the other hand, problem (1.1) with a sublinear term $\psi(\cdot, u)$ and a singular term $\varphi(\cdot, u) = 0$, has been studied by Mâagli, Toumi and Zribi in [12] for $p = 1$ and by Bachar [2] for $p \geq 1$.

Thus a natural question to ask, is for more general singular and sublinear terms combined in the nonlinearity, whether or not the problem (1.1) has a solution, which we aim to study in this paper.

Our tools are based essentially on some inequalities satisfied by the Green function $\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}$ (see (2.1) below) of the polyharmonic operator $u \mapsto (-\Delta)^{pm}u$, on the unit ball B of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) with boundary conditions $(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu})^j (-\Delta)^{im}u|_{\partial B} = 0$, for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq m-1$, where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is the outward normal derivative. Also, we use some properties of functions belonging to the polyharmonic Kato class $\mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}$ which is defined as follows.

Definition 1.1 ([2]). A Borel measurable function q in B belongs to the class $\mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}$ if q satisfies the condition

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{x \in B} \int_{B \cap B(x, \alpha)} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^m \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y) |q(y)| dy \right) = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\delta(x) = 1 - |x|$, denotes the Euclidean distance between x and ∂B .

Typical examples of elements in the class $\mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}$ are functions in $L^s(B)$, with

$$s > \frac{n}{2pm} \quad \text{if } n > 2pm$$

or with

$$s > \frac{n}{2(p-1)m}, \quad \text{if } 2(p-1)m < n < 2pm$$

or with

$$s \in (1, \infty] \quad \text{if } n \leq 2(p-1)m$$

or with $n = 2pm$; see [2]. Furthermore, if $q(x) = (\delta(x))^{-\lambda}$, then $q \in \mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda < 2m, & \quad \text{if } p = 1 \quad (\text{see [4]}) \text{ or} \\ \lambda < 2m + 1, & \quad \text{if } p \geq 2 \quad (\text{see [2]}). \end{aligned}$$

For the rest of this paper, we refer to the potential of a nonnegative measurable function f , defined in B by

$$V_p(f)(x) = \int_B \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

The plan for this paper is as follows. In section 2, we collect some estimates for the Green function $\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}$ and some properties of functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}$. In section 3, we will fix $r > n$ and we assume that the functions φ and ψ satisfy the following hypotheses:

- (H1) φ is a nonnegative Borel measurable function on $B \times (0, \infty)$, continuous and nonincreasing with respect to the second variable.
- (H2) For each $c > 0$, the function $x \mapsto \varphi(x, c(\delta(x))^m)/(\delta(x))^m$ is in $\mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(1)}$.

- (H3) For each $c > 0$, the function $x \mapsto \varphi(x, c(\delta(x))^m)$ is in $L^r(B)$.
 (H4) ψ is a nonnegative Borel measurable function on $B \times [0, \infty)$, continuous with respect to the second variable such that there exist a nontrivial nonnegative function $h \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(B)$ and a nontrivial nonnegative function $k \in \mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(1)}$ such that

$$h(x)f(t) \leq \psi(x, t) \leq (\delta(x))^m k(x)g(t), \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in B \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.4)$$

where $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a measurable nondecreasing function satisfying

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(t)}{t} = +\infty, \quad (1.5)$$

and g is a nonnegative measurable function locally bounded on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(t)}{t} < \|V_p((\delta(\cdot))^m k)\|_\infty. \quad (1.6)$$

- (H5) The function $x \mapsto (\delta(x))^m k(x)$ is in $L^r(B)$.

Using a fixed point argument, we shall prove the following existence result.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume (H1)–(H5). Then (1.1) has at least one positive solution $u \in C^{2pm-1}(B)$, such that*

$$a_j(\delta(x))^m \leq (-\Delta)^{jm} u(x) \leq V_{p-j}(\varphi(\cdot, a_j(\delta(\cdot))^m))(x) + b_j V_{p-j}((\delta(\cdot))^m k)(x),$$

for $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$. In particular,

$$a_j(\delta(x))^m \leq (-\Delta)^{jm} u(x) \leq c_j(\delta(x))^m,$$

where a_j, b_j, c_j are positive constants.

Typical examples of nonlinearities satisfying (H1)–(H5) are:

$$\varphi(x, t) = k(x)(\delta(x))^{m\gamma+m} t^{-\gamma},$$

for $\gamma \geq 0$, and

$$\psi(x, t) = k(x)(\delta(x))^{m\alpha} \text{Log}(1 + t^\beta),$$

for $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta < 1$, where k is a nontrivial nonnegative functions in $L^r(B)$.

Recently Ben Othman [5] considered (1.1) when $p = 1$ and the functions φ, ψ satisfy hypotheses similar to the ones stated above. Then she proved that (1.1) has a positive continuous solutions u satisfying

$$a_0(\delta(x))^m \leq u(x) \leq V_1(\varphi(\cdot, a_0(\delta(\cdot))^m))(x) + b_0 V_1((\delta(\cdot))^{m-1} k)(x).$$

Here we prove an existence result for the more general problem (1.1) and obtain estimates both on the solution u and their derivatives $(-\Delta)^{jm} u$, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$.

To simplify our statements, we define some convenient notations:

- (i) Let $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$ and let $\overline{B} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq 1\}$, for $n \geq 2$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{B}(B)$ denotes the set of Borel measurable functions in B , and $\mathcal{B}^+(B)$ the set of nonnegative ones.
- (iii) $C(\overline{B})$ is the set of continuous functions in \overline{B} .
- (iv) $C^j(B)$ is the set of functions having derivatives of order $\leq j$, continuous in B ($j \in \mathbb{N}$).
- (v) For $x, y \in B$, $[x, y]^2 = |x - y|^2 + (1 - |x|^2)(1 - |y|^2)$.

- (vi) Let f and g be two positive functions on a set S . We call $f \preceq g$, if there is $c > 0$ such that $f(x) \leq cg(x)$, for all $x \in S$.
We call $f \sim g$, if there is $c > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{c}g(x) \leq f(x) \leq cg(x)$, for all $x \in S$.
- (vii) For any $q \in \mathcal{B}(B)$, we put

$$\|q\|_{m,n,p} := \sup_{x \in B} \int_B \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^m \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x,y) |q(y)| dy.$$

2. PROPERTIES OF THE ITERATED GREEN FUNCTION AND THE KATO CLASS

Let $m \geq 1$, $p \geq 1$ be a positive integer and $\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}$ be the iterated Green function of the polyharmonic operator $u \mapsto (-\Delta)^{pm}u$, on the unit ball B of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) with boundary conditions $(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu})^j (-\Delta)^{im}u|_{\partial B} = 0$, for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq m-1$, where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is the outward normal derivative.

Then for $p \geq 2$ and $x, y \in B$,

$$\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x,y) = \int_B \dots \int_B G_{m,n}(x,z_1) G_{m,n}(z_1,z_2) \dots G_{m,n}(z_{p-1},y) dz_1 \dots dz_{p-1}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $G_{m,n}$ is the Green function of the polyharmonic operator $u \mapsto (-\Delta)^m u$, on B with Dirichlet boundary conditions $(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu})^j u = 0$, $0 \leq j \leq m-1$.

Recall that Boggio in [6] gave an explicit expression for $G_{m,n}$: For each x, y in B ,

$$G_{m,n}(x,y) = k_{m,n} |x-y|^{2m-n} \int_1^{\frac{|x,y|}{|x-y|}} \frac{(v^2-1)^{m-1}}{v^{n-1}} dv,$$

where $k_{m,n}$ is a positive constant.

In this section we state some properties of $\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}$ and of functions belonging to the Kato class $\mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}$. These properties are useful for the statements of our existence result, and their proofs can be found in [2].

Proposition 2.1. *On B^2 , the following estimates hold*

$$\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x,y) \sim \begin{cases} \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{|x-y|^{n-2pm} [x,y]^{2m}}, & \text{for } n > 2pm, \\ \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{[x,y]^{2m}} \log\left(1 + \frac{|x,y|^2}{|x-y|^2}\right), & \text{for } n = 2pm \\ \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{[x,y]^{n-2(p-1)m}}, & \text{for } 2(p-1)m < n < 2pm. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Proposition 2.2. *With the above notation,*

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta(x)\delta(y))^m &\preceq \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x,y), \\ \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x,y) &\preceq \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p-1)}(x,y), \quad \text{for } p \geq 2. \\ \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x,y) &\preceq \delta(x)\delta(y)\Gamma_{m-1,n}^{(p)}(x,y), \quad \text{for } m \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(2)} \dots \subset \mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}, \quad \mathcal{J}_{1,n}^{(p)} \subset \mathcal{J}_{2,n}^{(p)} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proposition 2.3. *Let q be a function in $\mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}$. Then*

$$\text{The function } x \mapsto (\delta(x))^{2m} q(x) \text{ is in } L^1(B). \quad (2.4)$$

$$\|q\|_{m,n,p} < \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

3. EXISTENCE RESULT

We are concerned with the existence of positive solutions for the iterated polyharmonic nonlinear problems (1.1). For the proof, we need the next Lemma. For a given nonnegative function q in $\mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}$, we define

$$\mathcal{M}_q = \{\theta \in \mathcal{B}(B), |\theta| \leq q\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any nonnegative function $q \in \mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}$, the family of functions*

$$\left\{ \int_B \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^m \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y) |\theta(y)| dy : \theta \in \mathcal{M}_q \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous in \overline{B} and consequently it is relatively compact in $C(\overline{B})$.

Proof. Let q be a nonnegative function $q \in \mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}$ and L be the operator defined on \mathcal{M}_q by

$$L\theta(x) = \int_B \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^m \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y) |\theta(y)| dy.$$

By (2.5), for each $\theta \in \mathcal{M}_q$, we have

$$\sup_{x \in B} \int_B \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^m \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y) |\theta(y)| dy \leq \|q\|_{m,n,p} < \infty.$$

Then the family $L(\mathcal{M}_q)$ is uniformly bounded. Next, we prove the equicontinuity of functions in $L(\mathcal{M}_q)$ on \overline{B} . Indeed, let $x_0 \in \overline{B}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By (1.3), there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for each $x, x' \in B(x_0, \alpha) \cap B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |L\theta(x) - L\theta(x')| \\ & \leq \int_B \left| \frac{\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y)}{(\delta(x))^m} - \frac{\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x', y)}{(\delta(x'))^m} \right| (\delta(y))^m |q(y)| dy \\ & \leq \varepsilon + \int_{B \cap B(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B^c(x, 2\alpha)} \left| \frac{\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y)}{(\delta(x))^m} - \frac{\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x', y)}{(\delta(x'))^m} \right| (\delta(y))^m |q(y)| dy \\ & \quad + \int_{B \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B^c(x, 2\alpha)} \left| \frac{\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y)}{(\delta(x))^m} - \frac{\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x', y)}{(\delta(x'))^m} \right| (\delta(y))^m |q(y)| dy \end{aligned}$$

Now since for $y \in B^c(x, 2\alpha) \cap B$, from Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y) \preceq (\delta(x)\delta(y))^m.$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B \cap B(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B^c(x, 2\alpha)} \left| \frac{\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y)}{(\delta(x))^m} - \frac{\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x', y)}{(\delta(x'))^m} \right| (\delta(y))^m |q(y)| dy \\ & \preceq \int_{B \cap B(x_0, 2\alpha)} (\delta(y))^{2m} |q(y)| dy, \end{aligned}$$

which tends by (2.4) to zero as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

Since for $y \in B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B$, the function $x \mapsto \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^m \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y)$ is continuous on $B(x_0, \alpha) \cap B$, by (2.4) and by the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{B \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B^c(x, 2\alpha)} \left| \frac{\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y)}{(\delta(x))^m} - \frac{\Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x', y)}{(\delta(x'))^m} \right| (\delta(y))^m |q(y)| dy \rightarrow 0$$

as $|x - x'| \rightarrow 0$. This proves that the family $L(\mathcal{M}_q)$ is equicontinuous in \overline{B} . It follows by Ascoli's theorem, that $L(\mathcal{M}_q)$ is relatively compact in $C(\overline{B})$. \square

The next remark will be used to obtain regularity of the solution.

Remark 3.2. Let $r > n$ and f be a nonnegative measurable function in $L^r(B)$. Then $V_p f \in C^{2pm-1}(B)$.

Indeed, by using the regularity theory of [1] (see also [10, Theorem 5.1], and [7, Theorem IX.32]), we obtain that $V_p f \in W^{2pm,r}(B)$. Furthermore, since $r > n$, then one finds that $V_p f \in C^{2pm-1}(B)$ (see [9, Chap. 7, p.158], or [7, Corollary IX.15]).

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let K be compact in B such that $\gamma := \int_K h(y)dy > 0$ and define $r_0 := \min_{y \in K} (\delta(y))^m > 0$.

By (2.2) there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for each $x, y \in B$,

$$c(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m \leq \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y). \quad (3.2)$$

By (1.5) we can find $a > 0$ such that $cr_0\gamma f(ar_0) \geq a$.

By (H4) and (2.3), the function $k \in \mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}$; then it follows from (2.5) that

$$\delta := \|V_p((\delta(\cdot))^m k)\|_\infty \leq \|k\|_{m,n,p} < \infty.$$

Let $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{\delta}$, then using (1.6) we can find $\eta > 0$ such that for each $t \geq \eta$, $g(t) \leq \alpha t$. Put $\beta := \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \eta} g(t)$. Then we have

$$0 \leq g(t) \leq \alpha t + \beta, \text{ for } t \geq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

On the other hand, using (3.2) and (2.4), there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$V_p((\delta(\cdot))^m k)(x) \geq c_0(\delta(x))^m. \quad (3.4)$$

From (H2), (2.5) and (2.3) we derive that

$$\nu := \|V_p(\varphi(\cdot, a(\delta(\cdot))^m))\|_\infty < \infty.$$

Put $b = \max\{\frac{a}{c_0}, \frac{\alpha\nu + \beta}{1 - \alpha\delta}\}$ and let Λ be the convex set given by

$$\Lambda = \{u \in C(\overline{B}) : a(\delta(x))^m \leq u(x) \leq V_p(\varphi(\cdot, a(\delta(\cdot))^m))(x) + bV_p((\delta(\cdot))^m k)(x)\}.$$

and T be the operator defined on Λ by

$$Tu(x) = \int_B \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y)[\varphi(y, u(y)) + \psi(y, u(y))]dy.$$

From (3.4), $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$. We will prove that T has a fixed point in Λ . Indeed, for $u \in \Lambda$, we have by (1.4), (3.2) and the monotonicity of f that

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(x) &\geq \int_B \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y)\psi(y, u(y))dy \\ &\geq c(\delta(x))^m \int_B (\delta(y))^m h(y) f(u(y))dy \\ &\geq c(\delta(x))^m f(ar_0)r_0 \int_K h(y)dy \\ &\geq a(\delta(x))^m. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (H1), (1.4) and (3.3), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(x) &\leq V_p(\varphi(\cdot, a(\delta(\cdot))^m)(x) + \int_B \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y)(\delta(y))^m k(y)g(u(y))dy \\ &\leq V_p(\varphi(\cdot, a(\delta(\cdot))^m)(x) + \int_B \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y)(\delta(y))^m k(y)(\alpha u(y) + \beta)dy \\ &\leq V_p(\varphi(\cdot, a(\delta(\cdot))^m)(x) + (\alpha(\nu + b\delta) + \beta)V_p((\delta(\cdot))^m k)(x) \\ &\leq V_p(\varphi(\cdot, a(\delta(\cdot))^m)(x) + bV_p((\delta(\cdot))^m k)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let $v(x) = \varphi(x, a(\delta(x))^m)/(\delta(x))^m$. Then using similar arguments as above, we deduce that for each $u \in \Lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\cdot, u) &\leq \varphi(\cdot, a(\delta(\cdot))^m) = (\delta(\cdot))^m v, \\ \psi(\cdot, u) &\leq g(u)(\delta(\cdot))^m k \leq b(\delta(\cdot))^m k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

That is, $\varphi(\cdot, u) + \psi(\cdot, u) \in \mathcal{M}_{(v+bk)(\delta(\cdot))^m}$. Now since by (H2) and (H4), the function $(v + bk)(\delta(\cdot))^m \in \mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{J}_{m,n}^{(p)}$, we deduce from Lemma 3.1, that $T(\Lambda)$ is relatively compact in $C(\overline{B})$. In particular, for all $u \in \Lambda$, $Tu \in C(\overline{B})$ and so $T(\Lambda) \subset \Lambda$. Next, let us prove the continuity of T in Λ . We consider a sequence $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Λ which converges uniformly to a function $u \in \Lambda$. Then we have

$$|Tu_j(x) - Tu(x)| \leq V_p[|\varphi(\cdot, u_j(\cdot)) - \varphi(\cdot, u(\cdot))| + |\psi(\cdot, u_j(\cdot)) - \psi(\cdot, u(\cdot))|].$$

Now, by (3.5), we have

$$|\varphi(\cdot, u_j(\cdot)) - \varphi(\cdot, u(\cdot))| + |\psi(\cdot, u_j(\cdot)) - \psi(\cdot, u(\cdot))| \leq 2(1 + b)(\delta(\cdot))^m(v + k)$$

and since φ, ψ are continuous with respect on the second variable, we deduce by (2.5) and the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\forall x \in B, Tu_j(x) \rightarrow Tu(x) \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty$$

Since $T\Lambda$ is relatively compact in $C(\overline{B})$, we have the uniform convergence, namely

$$\|Tu_j - Tu\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus we have proved that T is a compact mapping from Λ to itself. Hence by the Schauder fixed point theorem, there exists $u \in \Lambda$ such that

$$u(x) = \int_B \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p)}(x, y)[\varphi(y, u(y)) + \psi(y, u(y))]dy. \tag{3.6}$$

Using (3.5), (H3) and (H5), for each $y \in B$,

$$\varphi(y, u(y)) + \psi(y, u(y)) \leq \varphi(y, a(\delta(y))^m) + b(\delta(y))^m k(y) \in L^r(B). \tag{3.7}$$

So it is clear that u satisfies (in the sense of distributions) the elliptic differential equation

$$(-\Delta)^{pm} u = \varphi(\cdot, u) + \psi(\cdot, u), \quad \text{in } B.$$

Furthermore, by (3.6), (3.7) and Remark 3.2, we deduce that $u \in C^{2pm-1}(B)$. Therefore, using again (3.6) and (2.1) we obtain for $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$,

$$(-\Delta)^{jm} u(x) = \int_B \Gamma_{m,n}^{(p-j)}(x, y)[\varphi(y, u(y)) + \psi(y, u(y))]dy. \tag{3.8}$$

Using similar arguments as above, we obtain for all $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$,

$$a_j(\delta(x))^m \leq (-\Delta)^{jm} u(x) \leq V_{p-j}(\varphi(\cdot, a_j(\delta(\cdot))^m))(x) + b_j V_{p-j}((\delta(\cdot))^m k)(x), \tag{3.9}$$

where a_j, b_j are positive constants. Finally, for $j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, from (3.9), (2.3) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_j(\delta(x))^m &\leq (-\Delta)^{j_m}u(x) \\ &\leq (\delta(x))^m \left(\left\| \frac{\varphi(\cdot, a_j(\delta(\cdot))^m)}{(\delta(\cdot))^m} \right\|_{m,n,p-j} + b_j \|k\|_{m,n,p-j} \right) \\ &\leq (\delta(x))^m. \end{aligned}$$

So u is the required solution. \square

Example 3.3. Let $r > n$, $\lambda < m + \frac{1}{r}$, $\gamma \geq 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Let ρ_1, ρ_2 be a nontrivial nonnegative Borel measurable functions on B satisfying $\rho_1(x) \leq (\delta(x))^{m(1+\gamma)-\lambda}$ and $\rho_2(x) \leq (\delta(x))^{m-\lambda}$. Then the problem

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{pm}u &= \rho_1(x)u^{-\gamma} + \rho_2(x)u^\alpha \log(1 + u^\beta), \quad \text{in } B \\ u &> 0 \quad \text{in } B \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 1} \frac{(-\Delta)^{j_m}u(x)}{(1 - |x|)^{m-1}} = 0, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq p-1,$$

has at least one positive solution, $u \in C^{2pm-1}(B)$, satisfying

$$(-\Delta)^{j_m}u(x) \sim (\delta(x))^m, \quad \forall j \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}.$$

Remark 3.4. If $m = 1$ and $p \geq 1$, one can obtain similar existence result for (1.1) on a bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 2$) of class $C^{2p,\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Professor Habib Mâagli for stimulating discussions and useful suggestions. I also thank the anonymous referee for his/her careful reading of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, L. Nirenberg; *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math, **12** (1959), 623-727.
- [2] I. Bachar; *Estimates for the Green function and Existence of positive solutions for higher order elliptic equations*, to appear in Abstract and Applied Analysis (2006).
- [3] I. Bachar; *Estimates for the Green function and existence of positive solutions of polyharmonic nonlinear equations with Navier boundary conditions*, New Trends in Potential Theory, Theta, Bucharest 2005, 101-113.
- [4] I. Bachar, H. Mâagli, S. Masmoudi, M Zribi; *Estimates on the Green function and singular solutions for polyharmonic nonlinear equation*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, **2003** (2003), no. 12, 715-741.
- [5] S. Ben Othman; *On a singular sublinear polyharmonic problem*, to appear in Abstract and Applied Analysis.
- [6] T. Boggio; *Sulle funzioni di Green d'ordine m*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **20**, (1905), 97-135.
- [7] H. Brezis; *Analyse fonctionnelle*, Dunod, Paris, 1999.
- [8] Y. S. Choi, P. J. Mckenna; *A singular quasilinear anisotropic elliptic boundary value problem, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350**(7) (1998), 2925-2937.
- [9] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger; *Elliptic partial differential equations of Second Order*. Springer-Verlag 1983.
- [10] H. C. Grunau, G. Sweers; *Positivity for equations involving polyharmonic operators with Dirichlet boundary conditions*, Math. Ann. Man. **307** (1997), no. 4, 589-626.
- [11] A. C. Lazer, P. J. Mckenna; *On a singular nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **111** (1991), no. 3, 721-730.
- [12] H. Mâagli, F. Toumi, M. Zribi; *Existence of positive solutions for some polyharmonic nonlinear boundary value problems*, Electron. Journal Diff. Eqns., **2003** (2003), no. 58, 1-19.

- [13] H. Mâagli, M. Zribi; *Existence and estimates of solutions for singular nonlinear elliptic problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **263** (2001), no. 2, 522-542.
- [14] J. P. Shi, M. X. Yao; *On a singular semilinear elliptic problem*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburg **128A** (1998) 1389-1401.

IMED BACHAR

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TUNIS, CAMPUS UNIVERSITAIRE,
2092 TUNIS, TUNISIA

E-mail address: `Imed.Bachar@ipeit.rnu.tn`