

High-order mixed-type differential equations with weighted integral boundary conditions *

M. Denche & A. L. Marhoune

Abstract

In this paper, we prove the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions for high-order mixed-type problems with weighted integral boundary conditions. The proof uses energy inequalities and the density of the range of the operator generated.

1 Introduction

Let α be a positive integer and Q be the set $(0, 1) \times (0, T)$. We consider the equation

$$\mathcal{L}u := \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + (-1)^\alpha a(t) \frac{\partial^{2\alpha+1} u}{\partial x^{2\alpha} \partial t} = f(x, t), \quad (1)$$

where the function $a(t)$ and its derivative are bounded on the interval $[0, T]$:

$$0 < a_0 \leq a(t) \leq a_1, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{da(t)}{dt} \leq a_2. \quad (3)$$

To equation (1) we attach the initial conditions

$$l_1 u = u(x, 0) = \varphi(x), \quad l_2 u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, 0) = \psi(x) \quad x \in (0, 1), \quad (4)$$

the boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial^i}{\partial x^i} u(0, t) = \frac{\partial^i}{\partial x^i} u(1, t) = 0, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq \alpha - k - 1, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (5)$$

and integral conditions

$$\int_0^1 x^i u(x, t) dx = 0, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq 2k - 1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq \alpha \quad t \in (0, T). \quad (6)$$

* *Mathematics Subject Classifications:* 35B45, 35G10, 35M10.

Key words: Integral boundary condition, energy inequalities, equation of mixed type.

©2000 Southwest Texas State University.

Submitted March 27, 2000. Published September 21, 2000.

where φ and ψ are known functions which satisfy the compatibility conditions given in (5)-(6).

Various problems arising in heat conduction [3, 4, 8, 9], chemical engineering [6], underground water flow [7], thermo-elasticity [14], and plasma physics [12] can be reduced to the nonlocal problems with integral boundary conditions. This type of boundary value problems has been investigated in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 13, 16] for parabolic equations and in [11, 15] for hyperbolic equations. The basic tool in [2, 10, 16] is the energy inequality method which, of course, requires appropriate multipliers and functional spaces. In this paper, we extend this method to the study of a high-order mixed-type partial differential equations.

2 Preliminaries

In this paper, we prove existence and uniqueness of a strong solution of problem (1)-(6). For this, we consider the problem (1)-(6) as a solution of the operator equation

$$Lu = \mathcal{F}, \quad (7)$$

where $L = (\mathcal{L}, l_1, l_2)$, with domain of definition $D(L)$ consisting of functions $u \in W_2^{2\alpha, 2}(Q)$ such that $\frac{\partial^i}{\partial x^i} (\frac{\partial^{\alpha-k+1} u}{\partial x^{\alpha-k} \partial t}) \in L_2(Q)$, $i = 0, \alpha + k - 1$ and u satisfies conditions (5)-(6); the operator L is considered from E to F , where E is the Banach space consisting of functions $u \in L_2(Q)$, satisfying (5)-(6), with the finite norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_E^2 &= \int_Q |J^k \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}|^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-k} |\frac{\partial^{i+1} u}{\partial x^i \partial t}|^2 dx dt \\ &+ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^1 \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-k} |\frac{\partial^i u}{\partial x^i}|^2 + |\frac{\partial^{\alpha-k+1} u}{\partial x^{\alpha-k} \partial t}|^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $J^k u = \int_0^x \int_0^{\xi_1} \dots \int_0^{\xi_{k-1}} u(\xi, t) d\xi$. Here F is the Hilbert space of vector-valued functions $\mathcal{F} = (f, \varphi, \psi)$ obtained by completing of the space $L_2(Q) \times W_2^{2\alpha}(0, 1) \times W_2^{2\alpha}(0, 1)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_F^2 = \int_Q |f|^2 dx dt + \int_0^1 \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-k} |\frac{\partial^i \varphi}{\partial x^i}|^2 + |\frac{\partial^{\alpha-k} \psi}{\partial x^{\alpha-k}}|^2 dx. \quad (9)$$

Then we establish an energy inequality

$$\|u\|_E \leq C_1 \|Lu\|_F, \quad (10)$$

and we show that the operator L has the closure \overline{L} .

Definition A solution of the operator equation $\overline{L}u = \mathcal{F}$ is called a strong solution of the problem (1)-(6).

Inequality (10) can be extended to $u \in D(\overline{L})$, i.e.,

$$\|u\|_E \leq C_1 \|\overline{L}u\|_F, \quad \forall u \in D(\overline{L}).$$

From this inequality we obtain the uniqueness of a strong solution if it exists, and the equality of sets $R(\overline{L})$ and $\overline{R(L)}$. Thus, to prove the existence of a strong solution of the problem (1)-(6) for any $\mathcal{F} \in F$, it remains to prove that the set $R(L)$ is dense in F .

Lemma 2.1 *For any function $u \in E$, we have*

$$\int_0^1 |J^{2k} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}|^2 dx \leq 4^k \int_0^1 |J^k \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}|^2 dx. \tag{11}$$

Proof Integrating $-\int_0^1 x J^k \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} J^{k+1} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u}}{\partial t^2} dx$ by parts, and using elementary inequalities we obtain (11). \diamond

Lemma 2.2 *For $u \in E$ and $0 \leq i \leq \alpha - k$, we have*

$$\int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^{i+1} u}{\partial x^i \partial t} \right|^2 dx \leq 4^{(\alpha-k)-i} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} (J^k \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}) \right|^2 dx. \tag{12}$$

Proof Integrating by parts $-\int_0^1 x \frac{\partial^{\alpha-i}}{\partial x^{\alpha-i}} (J^k \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}) \frac{\partial^{\alpha-i-1}}{\partial x^{\alpha-i-1}} (J^k \frac{\partial \overline{u}}{\partial t}) dx$ and using elementary inequalities yield (12). \diamond

Lemma 2.3 *For $u \in E$ satisfying the condition (4) we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-k} \int_0^1 \exp(-c\tau) \left| \frac{\partial^i u(x, \tau)}{\partial x^i} \right|^2 dx \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-k} \int_0^1 (1-x) \left| \frac{\partial^i \varphi}{\partial x^i} \right|^2 dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{3} (4^{\alpha-k+1} - 1) \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \exp(-ct) \left| \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} (J^k \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}) \right|^2 dx dt, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $c \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq T$.

Proof Integrating by parts $\int_0^\tau \exp(-ct) \frac{\partial^{i+1} u}{\partial x^i \partial t} \frac{\partial^i \overline{u}}{\partial x^i} dt$ for $i = 0, \alpha - k - 1$, using elementary inequalities, and lemma 2.2, we obtain (13).

3 An energy inequality and its applications

Theorem 3.1 *For any function $u \in D(L)$, we have*

$$\|u\|_E \leq C_1 \|Lu\|_F, \tag{14}$$

where $C_1 = \exp(cT) \max(8.4^k, \frac{a_1}{2}) / \min(\frac{a_0}{2}, \frac{7}{8})$, with the constant c satisfying

$$c \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 3(ca_0 - a_2) \geq 2(4^{\alpha-k-1} - 1). \tag{15}$$

Proof Let

$$Mu = (-1)^k J^{2k} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}.$$

For a constant c satisfying (15), we consider the quadratic form

$$\operatorname{Re} \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \exp(-ct) \mathcal{L}u \overline{Mu} \, dx \, dt, \quad (16)$$

which is obtained by multiplying (1) by $\exp(-ct) \overline{Mu}$, then integrating over Q^τ , with $Q^\tau = (0, 1) \times (0, \tau)$, $0 \leq \tau \leq T$, and then taking the real part. Integrating by parts in (16) with the use of boundary conditions (5) and (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \exp(-ct) \mathcal{L}u \overline{Mu} \, dx \, dt \\ &= \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \exp(-ct) \left| J^k \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \right|^2 \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad + \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \exp(-ct) a(t) \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k+1} u}{\partial x^{\alpha-k} \partial t} \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k+2} \overline{u}}{\partial x^{\alpha-k} \partial t^2} \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

By substituting the expression of Mu in (16), using elementary inequalities and Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \exp(-ct) \mathcal{L}u \overline{Mu} \, dx \, dt &\leq 8.4^k \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \exp(-ct) |\mathcal{L}u|^2 \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{8} \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \exp(-ct) \left| J^k \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \right|^2 \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By integrating the last term on the right-hand side of (17) and combining the obtained results with the inequalities (15), (18) and lemmas 2.2, 2.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 8.4^k \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \exp(-ct) |\mathcal{L}u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 a(0) \left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k} \psi}{\partial x^{\alpha-k}} \right|^2 \, dx + \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-k} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^i \varphi}{\partial x^i} \right|^2 \, dx \\ & \geq \frac{7}{8} \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \exp(-ct) \left| J^k \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \right|^2 \, dx \, dt + \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-k} \int_0^1 \exp(-c\tau) \left| \frac{\partial^i u(x, \tau)}{\partial x^i} \right|^2 \, dx \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-k} \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \exp(-ct) \left| \frac{\partial^{i+1} u}{\partial x^i \partial t} \right|^2 \, dx \, dt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \exp(-c\tau) a(\tau) \left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k+1} u(x, \tau)}{\partial x^{\alpha-k} \partial t} \right|^2 \, dx \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Using elementary inequalities and (2) we obtain

$$8.4^k \int_Q |\mathcal{L}u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{a_1}{2} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k} \psi}{\partial x^{\alpha-k}} \right|^2 \, dx + \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-k} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^i \varphi}{\partial x^i} \right|^2 \, dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \exp(-cT) \left[\frac{7}{8} \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 |J^k \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}|^2 dx dt + \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-k} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^i u(x, \tau)}{\partial x^i} \right|^2 dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-k} \int_0^\tau \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^{i+1} u}{\partial x^i \partial t} \right|^2 dx dt + \frac{a_0}{2} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k+1} u(x, \tau)}{\partial x^{\alpha-k} \partial t} \right|^2 dx \right] \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

As the left hand side of (20) is independent of τ , by replacing the right hand side by its upper bound with respect to τ in the interval $[0, T]$, we obtain the desired inequality. \diamond

Lemma 3.2 *The operator L from E to F admits a closure.*

Proof Suppose that $(u_n) \in D(L)$ is a sequence such that

$$u_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } E \tag{21}$$

and

$$Lu_n \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \quad \text{in } F. \tag{22}$$

We need to show that $\mathcal{F} = (f, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = 0$. The fact that $\varphi_i = 0$; $i = 1, 2$; results directly from the continuity of the trace operators l_i .

Introduce the operator

$$\mathcal{L}_0 v = \frac{\partial^2((1-x)^{\alpha+k} J^k v)}{\partial t^2} + (-1)^{\alpha+1} \frac{\partial^{\alpha+1}}{\partial x^\alpha \partial t} (a(t) \frac{\partial^\alpha((1-x)^{\alpha+k} J^k v)}{\partial x^\alpha}),$$

defined on the domain $D(\mathcal{L}_0)$ of functions $v \in W_2^{2\alpha, 2}(Q)$ satisfying

$$v|_{t=T} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}|_{t=T} = 0 \quad \frac{\partial^i v}{\partial x^i}|_{x=0} = \frac{\partial^i v}{\partial x^i}|_{x=1} = 0, \quad i = \overline{0, \alpha-1}.$$

we note that $D(\mathcal{L}_0)$ is dense in the Hilbert space obtained by completing $L_2(Q)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|v\|^2 = \int_Q (1-x)^{2(\alpha+k)} |J^k v|^2 dx dt.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \overline{f(1-x)^{\alpha+k} J^k v} dx dt &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q \overline{\mathcal{L}u_n(1-x)^{\alpha+k} J^k v} dx dt \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q u_n \mathcal{L}_0 \overline{v} dx dt = 0, \end{aligned}$$

holds for every function $v \in D(\mathcal{L}_0)$, it follows that $f = 0$. \diamond

Theorem 3.1 is valid for strong solutions, i.e., we have the inequality

$$\|u\|_E \leq C_1 \|\overline{Lu}\|_F, \quad \forall u \in D(\overline{L}),$$

hence we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.3 *A strong solution of (1)-(6) is unique if it exists, and depends continuously on $\mathcal{F} = (f, \varphi, \psi) \in F$.*

Corollary 3.4 *The range $R(L)$ of the operator \bar{L} is closed in F , and $R(\bar{L}) = \overline{R(L)}$.*

4 Solvability of Problem (1)-(6)

To proof solvability of (1)-(6), it is sufficient to show that $R(L)$ is dense in F . The proof is based on the following lemma

Lemma 4.1 *Let $D_0(L) = \{u \in D(L) : l_1 u = 0, l_2 u = 0\}$. If for $u \in D_0(L)$ and some $\omega \in L_2(Q)$, we have*

$$\int_Q (1-x)^{2k} \mathcal{L}u \varpi \, dx \, dt = 0, \quad (23)$$

then $\omega = 0$.

Proof The equality (23) can be written as follows

$$-\int_Q (1-x)^{2k} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} \varpi \, dx \, dt = (-1)^\alpha \int_Q (1-x)^{2k} \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} \left(a \frac{\partial^{\alpha+1} u}{\partial x^\alpha \partial t} \right) \varpi \, dx \, dt. \quad (24)$$

For $\omega(x, t)$ given, we introduce the function $v(x, t) = (-1)^k \partial^{2k}((1-x)^{2k} \omega) / \partial x^{2k}$, then we have $\int_0^1 x^i v(x, t) dx = 0$ for $i = \overline{0, 2k-1}$. Then from equality (24) we have

$$-\int_Q \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} J^{2k} \bar{v} \, dx \, dt = (-1)^\alpha \int_Q \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} \left(a \frac{\partial^{\alpha+1} u}{\partial x^\alpha \partial t} \right) J^{2k} \bar{v} \, dx \, dt. \quad (25)$$

Integrating by parts the right hand side of (25) $2k$ times, we get

$$-\int_Q \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} J^{2k} \bar{v} \, dx \, dt = \int_Q A(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \bar{v} \, dx \, dt, \quad (26)$$

where $A(t)u = (-1)^\alpha \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k}}{\partial x^{\alpha-k}} \left(a \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k} u}{\partial x^{\alpha-k}} \right)$.

When we introduce the smoothing operators $J_\varepsilon^{-1} = (I + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t})^{-1}$ and $(J_\varepsilon^{-1})^*$ with respect to t [16], then these operators provide solutions of the problems

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \frac{dg_\varepsilon(t)}{dt} + g_\varepsilon(t) &= g(t), \\ g_\varepsilon(t)|_{t=0} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon \frac{dg_\varepsilon^*(t)}{dt} + g_\varepsilon^*(t) &= g(t), \\ g_\varepsilon^*(t)|_{t=T} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The solutions have the following properties: for any $g \in L_2(0, T)$, the functions $g_\varepsilon = (J_\varepsilon^{-1})g$ and $g_\varepsilon^* = (J_\varepsilon^{-1})^*g$ are in $W_2^1(0, T)$ such that $g_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = 0$ and $g_\varepsilon^*|_{t=T} = 0$. Moreover, J_ε^{-1} commutes with $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, so $\int_0^T |g_\varepsilon - g|^2 dt \rightarrow 0$ and $\int_0^T |g_\varepsilon^* - g|^2 dt \rightarrow 0$, for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Replacing in (26), $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ by the smoothed function $J_\varepsilon^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$, using the relation $A(t)J_\varepsilon^{-1} = J_\varepsilon^{-1}A(t) + \varepsilon J_\varepsilon^{-1} \frac{\partial A(t)}{\partial t} J_\varepsilon^{-1}$, and using properties of the smoothing operators we obtain

$$\int_Q \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \overline{J^{2k} \left(\frac{\partial v_\varepsilon^*}{\partial t} \right)} dx dt = \int_Q A(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \overline{v_\varepsilon^*} dx dt + \varepsilon \int_Q \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)_\varepsilon \overline{v_\varepsilon^*} dx dt. \tag{29}$$

Passing to the limit, (29) is satisfied for all functions satisfying the conditions (4)-(6) such that $\frac{\partial^i}{\partial x^i} (a \frac{\partial^{\alpha+1} u}{\partial x^\alpha \partial t}) \in L_2(Q)$ for $0 \leq i \leq \alpha$.

The operator $A(t)$ has a continuous inverse on $L_2(0, 1)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1}(t)g &= \tag{30} \\ &= (-1)^\alpha \int_0^x \int_0^{\eta_{\alpha-k-1}} \dots \int_0^{\eta_1} \left[\int_0^\eta \int_0^{\xi_{\alpha-k-1}} \dots \int_0^{\xi_1} \frac{1}{a} g(\xi) d\xi d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_{\alpha-k-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha-k} C_i(t) \frac{\eta^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} \right] d\eta d\eta_1 \dots d\eta_{\alpha-k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\int_0^1 A^{-1}(t)g dx = 0. \tag{31}$$

Hence the function $(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t})_\varepsilon$ can be represented in the form $(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t})_\varepsilon = J_\varepsilon^{-1} A^{-1} A \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$. Then $\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} (\frac{\partial u}{\partial t})_\varepsilon = A_\varepsilon(t) A(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$, where

$$A_\varepsilon(t)g = (-1)^\alpha \left[a'(t) J_\varepsilon^{-1} \frac{g}{a} + \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha-k} \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k}}{\partial x^{\alpha-k}} \left\{ \frac{x^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} J_\varepsilon^{-1} C_i \right\} \right]. \tag{32}$$

Consequently, (29) becomes

$$\int_Q \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \overline{J^{2k} \left(\frac{\partial v_\varepsilon^*}{\partial t} \right)} dx dt = \int_Q A(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \overline{(v_\varepsilon^* + \varepsilon A_\varepsilon^* v_\varepsilon^*)} dx dt, \tag{33}$$

in which $A_\varepsilon^*(t)$ is the adjoint of the operator $A_\varepsilon(t)$. The left-hand side of (33) is a continuous linear functional of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$. Hence the function $h_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon^* + \varepsilon A_\varepsilon^* v_\varepsilon^*$ has the derivatives $\frac{\partial^i h_\varepsilon}{\partial x^i} \in L_2(Q)$, $\frac{\partial^i}{\partial x^i} (a \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k} h_\varepsilon}{\partial x^{\alpha-k}}) \in L_2(Q)$, $i = \overline{0, \alpha - k}$, and the following conditions are satisfied

$$\frac{\partial^i h_\varepsilon}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{x=0} = \frac{\partial^i h_\varepsilon}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{x=1} = 0, \quad i = \overline{0, \alpha - k - 1}. \tag{34}$$

The operators $A_\varepsilon^*(t)$ are bounded in $L_2(Q)$, for ε sufficiently small we have $\|\varepsilon A_\varepsilon^*(t)\|_{L_2(Q)} < 1$; hence the operator $I + \varepsilon A_\varepsilon^*(t)$ has a bounded inverse in

$L_2(Q)$. In addition, the operators $\frac{\partial^i A_\varepsilon^*(t)}{\partial x^i}$, $i = \overline{0, \alpha - k}$ are bounded in $L_2(Q)$. From the equality

$$\frac{\partial^i h_\varepsilon}{\partial x^i} = (I + \varepsilon A_\varepsilon^*(t)) \frac{\partial^i v_\varepsilon^*}{\partial x^i} + \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^i C_i^k \frac{\partial^k A_\varepsilon^*(t)}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial^{i-k} v_\varepsilon^*}{\partial x^{i-k}}, \quad i = \overline{0, \alpha - k - 1} \quad (35)$$

we conclude that v_ε^* has derivatives $\frac{\partial^i v_\varepsilon^*}{\partial x^i}$ in $L_2(Q)$, $i = \overline{0, \alpha - k - 1}$. Taking into account (34) and (35), for $i = \overline{0, \alpha - k - 1}$, we have

$$\left[(I + \varepsilon A_\varepsilon^*(t)) \frac{\partial^i v_\varepsilon^*}{\partial x^i} + \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^i C_i^k \frac{\partial^k A_\varepsilon^*(t)}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial^{i-k} v_\varepsilon^*}{\partial x^{i-k}} \right]_{x=0} = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$\left[(I + \varepsilon A_\varepsilon^*(t)) \frac{\partial^i v_\varepsilon^*}{\partial x^i} + \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^i C_i^k \frac{\partial^k A_\varepsilon^*(t)}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial^{i-k} v_\varepsilon^*}{\partial x^{i-k}} \right]_{x=1} = 0. \quad (37)$$

Similarly, for ε sufficiently small, and each fixed $x \in [0, 1]$ the operators $\frac{\partial^i A_\varepsilon^*(t)}{\partial x^i}$, $i = \overline{0, \alpha - k}$ are bounded in $L_2(Q)$ and the operator $I + \varepsilon A_\varepsilon^*(t)$ is continuously invertible in $L_2(Q)$. From (36) and (37) result that v_ε^* satisfies the conditions

$$\frac{\partial^i v_\varepsilon^*}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{x=0} = \frac{\partial^i v_\varepsilon^*}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{x=1} = 0, \quad i = \overline{0, \alpha - k - 1},$$

So, for ε sufficiently small, the function v_ε^* has the same properties as h_ε . In addition v_ε^* satisfies the integral conditions (6).

Putting $u = \int_0^t \int_0^\tau \exp(c\eta) v_\varepsilon^*(\eta, \tau) d\eta d\tau$ in (26), with the constant c satisfying $ca_0 - a_2 - \frac{a_2^2}{a_0} \geq 0$, and using (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q (-1)^k \exp(ct) v_\varepsilon^* \overline{J^{2k} v} \, dx \, dt &= - \int_Q (-1)^k A(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \exp(-ct) \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial t^2} \, dx \, dt \\ &+ \varepsilon \int_Q (-1)^k A(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \bar{v}_\varepsilon^*}{\partial t} \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Integrating by parts each term in the right-hand side of (38), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Re} \int_Q (-1)^k A(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \exp(-ct) \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial t^2} \, dx \, dt \\ &\geq \frac{c}{2} \int_Q a(t) e^{-ct} \left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k+1} u}{\partial x^{\alpha-k} \partial t} \right|^2 \, dx \, dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_Q \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} e^{-ct} \left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k+1} u}{\partial x^{\alpha-k} \partial t} \right|^2 \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(-\varepsilon \int_Q (-1)^k A(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \bar{v}_\varepsilon^*}{\partial t} \, dx \, dt \right) \geq \frac{-\varepsilon a_2^2}{2a_0} \int_Q \exp(-ct) \left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k+1} u}{\partial x^{\alpha-k} \partial t} \right|^2 \, dx \, dt. \quad (40)$$

Now, using (39) and (40) in (38), with the choice of c indicated above, we have $2 \operatorname{Re} \int_Q \exp(ct) v_\varepsilon^* \overline{J^{2k} v} \, dx \, dt \leq 0$, then $2 \operatorname{Re} \int_Q \exp(ct) v \overline{J^{2k} v} \, dx \, dt \leq 0$ as ε approaches zero. Since $\operatorname{Re} \int_Q \exp(ct) |J^k \bar{v}|^2 \, dx \, dt = 0$, we conclude that $J^{2k} v = 0$, hence $\omega = 0$, which completes the present proof. \diamond

Theorem 4.2 *The range $R(L)$ of L coincides with F .*

Proof Since F is a Hilbert space, we have $R(L) = F$ if and only if the following implication is satisfied:

$$\int_Q \mathcal{L}u\bar{f} dx dt + \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-k} \frac{\partial^i l_1 u}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial^i \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k} l_2 u}{\partial x^{\alpha-k}} \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k} \bar{\psi}}{\partial x^{\alpha-k}} \right) dx = 0, \quad (41)$$

for arbitrary $u \in E$ and $\mathcal{F} = (f, \varphi, \psi) \in F$, implies that f , φ , and ψ are zero.

Putting $u \in D_0(L)$ in (41), we obtain $\int_Q \mathcal{L}u\bar{f} dx dt = 0$. Taking $\omega = f/(1-x)^{2k}$, and using lemma 4.1 we obtain that $f/(1-x)^{2k} = 0$, then $f = 0$. Consequently, $\forall u \in D(L)$ we have

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-k} \frac{\partial^i l_1 u}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial^i \bar{\varphi}}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k} l_2 u}{\partial x^{\alpha-k}} \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k} \bar{\psi}}{\partial x^{\alpha-k}} dx = 0. \quad (42)$$

The range of the trace operator (l_1, l_2) is everywhere dense in a Hilbert space with norm

$$\left[\int_0^1 \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha-k} \left| \frac{\partial^i \varphi}{\partial x^i} \right|^2 + \left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha-k} \psi}{\partial x^{\alpha-k}} \right|^2 dx \right]^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, $(\varphi, \psi) = (0, 0)$ and the present proof is complete.

References

- [1] G. W. Batten, Jr., Second-order correct boundary conditions for the numerical solution of the mixed boundary problem for parabolic equations, *Math. Comp.*, **17** (1963), 405-413.
- [2] A. Bouziani and N. E. Benouar, Mixed problem with integral conditions for a third order parabolic equation, *Kobe J. Math.*, **15** (1998), 47-58 .
- [3] B. Cahlon, D. M. Kulkarni and P. Shi, Stepwise stability for the heat equation with a nonlocal constraint, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **32** (1995), 571-593.
- [4] J. R. Cannon, The solution of the heat equation subject to the specification of energy, *Quart. Appl. Math.*, **21** (1963), 155-160.
- [5] J. R. Cannon, The one-dimensional heat equation, in *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications* **23**, Addison-Wesley, Mento Park, CA (1984).
- [6] Y. S. Choi and K. Y. Chan, A parabolic equation with nonlocal boundary conditions arising from electrochemistry, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **18** (1992), 317-331.
- [7] R. E. Ewing and T. Lin, A class of parameter estimation techniques for fluid flow in porous media, *Adv. Water Ressources*, **14** (1991), 89-97.

- [8] N. I. Ionkin, Solution of a boundary-value problem in heat conduction with a nonclassical boundary condition, *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya*, **13** (1977), 294-304.
- [9] N. I. Kamynin, A boundary value problem in the theory of the heat conduction with non classical boundary condition, *U.S.S.R. Comput. Math. and Math. Phys.*, **4** (1964), 33-59.
- [10] A. V. Kartynnik, Three-point boundary-value problem with an integral space-variable condition for a second-order parabolic equation, *Differential Equations*, **26** (1990), 1160-1166 .
- [11] L. S. Pulkina, A non-local problem with integral conditions for hyperbolic equations, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, **1999** (1999), No. 45, 1-6.
- [12] A. A. Samarski, Some problems in the modern theory of differential equations, *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya*, **16** (1980), 1221-1228.
- [13] P. Shi, weak solution to evolution problem with a nonlocal constraint, *SIAM J. Anal.*, **24** (1993), 46-58.
- [14] P. Shi and M. Shillor, Design of Contact Patterns in One Dimensional Thermoelasticity, in *Theoretical Aspects of Industrial Design*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, PA, 1992.
- [15] V. F. Volkodavov and V. E. Zhukov, Two problems for the string vibration equation with integral conditions and special matching conditions on the characteristic, *Differential Equations*, **34** (1998), 501-505.
- [16] N. I. Yurchuk, Mixed problem with an integral condition for certain parabolic equations, *Differential Equations*, **22** (1986), 1457-1463.

MOHAMED DENCHE (e-mail: m_denche@hotmail.com)

A. L. MARHOUNE

Institut de Mathematiques

Université Mentouri Constantine

25000 Constantine, Algeria