

EXISTENCE OF MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR QUASILINEAR DIAGONAL ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS

MARCO SQUASSINA

ABSTRACT. Nonsmooth-critical-point theory is applied in proving multiplicity results for the quasilinear symmetric elliptic system

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^n D_j(a_{ij}^k(x, u)D_i u_k) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_{s_k} a_{ij}^h(x, u)D_i u_h D_j u_h = g_k(x, u),$$

for $k = 1, \dots, N$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many papers have been published on the study of multiplicity of solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations via nonsmooth-critical-point theory; see e.g. [2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 18, 20]. However, for the vectorial case only a few multiplicity results have been proven: [20, Theorem 3.2] and recently [4, Theorem 3.2], where systems with multiple identity coefficients are treated. In this paper, we consider the following diagonal quasilinear elliptic system, in an open bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{i,j=1}^n D_j(a_{ij}^k(x, u)D_i u_k) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_{s_k} a_{ij}^h(x, u)D_i u_h D_j u_h &= \\ &= D_{s_k} G(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, N$, where $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. To prove the existence of weak solutions, we look for critical points of the functional $f : H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u)D_i u_h D_j u_h \, dx - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) \, dx. \tag{2}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 35D05, 35J20, 35J60.

Key words and phrases. Quasilinear elliptic differential systems, Nonsmooth critical point theory.

©1999 Southwest Texas State University and University of North Texas.

Submitted January 4, 1999. Published May 10, 1999.

This functional is not locally Lipschitz if the coefficients a_{ij}^h depend on u ; however, as pointed out in [2, 7], it is possible to evaluate f' ,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(u)(v) &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u) D_i u_h D_j v_h \, dx + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_s a_{ij}^h(x, u) \cdot v D_i u_h D_j u_h \, dx - \int_{\Omega} D_s G(x, u) \cdot v \, dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$. We shall apply the nonsmooth-critical-point theory developed in [11, 13, 15, 16]. For notation and related results, the reader is referred to [9]. To prove our main result and to provide some regularity of solutions, we consider the following assumptions.

(A1) The matrix $(a_{ij}^h(\cdot, s))$ is measurable in x for every $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and of class C^1 in s for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ with

$$a_{ij}^h = a_{ji}^h.$$

Furthermore, we assume that there exist $\nu > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, all $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, s) \xi_i^h \xi_j^h \geq \nu |\xi|^2, \quad |a_{ij}^h(x, s)| \leq C, \quad |D_s a_{ij}^h(x, s)| \leq C \quad (3)$$

and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N s \cdot D_s a_{ij}^h(x, s) \xi_i^h \xi_j^h \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

(A2) There exists a bounded Lipschitz function $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}$, $\sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^N$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{2} D_s a_{ij}^h(x, s) \cdot \exp_\sigma(r, s) + a_{ij}^h(x, s) D_{s_h} (\exp_\sigma(r, s))_h \right) \xi_i^h \xi_j^h \leq 0, \quad (5)$$

where $(\exp_\sigma(r, s))_i := \sigma_i \exp[\sigma_i(\psi(r_i) - \psi(s_i))]$ for each $i = 1, \dots, N$.

(G1) The function $G(x, s)$ is measurable in x for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and of class C^1 in s for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, with $G(x, 0) = 0$. Moreover for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ we will denote with $g(x, \cdot)$ the gradient of G with respect to s .

(G2) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $a_\varepsilon \in L^{2n/(n+2)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$|g(x, s)| \leq a_\varepsilon(x) + \varepsilon |s|^{(n+2)/(n-2)} \quad (6)$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and that there exist $q > 2$, $R > 0$ such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for a.e. $x \in \Omega$

$$|s| \geq R \implies 0 < qG(x, s) \leq s \cdot g(x, s). \quad (7)$$

(AG) There exists $\gamma \in (0, q - 2)$ such that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. in Ω

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N s \cdot D_s a_{ij}^h(x, s) \xi_i^h \xi_j^h \leq \gamma \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, s) \xi_i^h \xi_j^h. \quad (8)$$

Under these assumptions we will prove the following.

Theorem 1. *Assume that for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for each $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$*

$$a_{ij}^h(x, -s) = a_{ij}^h(x, s), \quad g(x, -s) = -g(x, s).$$

Then there exists a sequence $(u^m) \subseteq H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ of weak solutions to (1) such that

$$\lim_m f(u^m) = +\infty.$$

The above result is well known for the semilinear scalar problem

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_j(a_{ij}(x)D_i u) &= g(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

A. Ambrosetti and P. H. Rabinowitz in [1, 19] studied this problem using techniques of classical critical point theory. The quasilinear scalar problem

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_j(a_{ij}(x, u)D_i u) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_s a_{ij}(x, u)D_i u D_j u &= g(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

was studied in [7, 8, 9] and in [18] in a more general setting. In this case the functional

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, u)D_i u D_j u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) \, dx$$

is continuous under appropriate conditions, but it is not locally Lipschitz. Consequently, techniques of nonsmooth-critical-point theory have to be applied. In the vectorial case, to my knowledge, problem (1) has only been considered in [20, Theorem 3.2] and recently in [4, Theorem 3.2] for coefficients of the type $a_{ij}^{hk}(x, s) = \delta^{hk} \alpha_{ij}(x, s)$. In [4] a new technical condition is introduced to be compared with our (5). They assume that there exist $K > 0$ and an increasing bounded Lipschitz function $\psi : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[$, with $\psi(0) = 0$, ψ' non-increasing, $\psi(t) \rightarrow K$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and such that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for all $r, s \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^N |D_{s_k} a_{ij}(x, s) \xi_i \xi_j| \leq 2e^{-4K} \psi'(|s|) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, s) \xi_i \xi_j. \tag{9}$$

The proof itself of [4, Lemma 6.1] shows that condition (9) implies our assumption **(A2)**. On the other hand, if $N \geq 2$, the two conditions look quite similar. However, condition **(A2)** seems to be preferable, because when $N = 1$ it reduces to the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_s a_{ij}(x, s) \xi_i \xi_j \right| \leq 2\psi'(s) \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, s) \xi_i \xi_j,$$

which is not so restrictive in view of (3), while (9) is in this case much stronger.

2. BOUNDEDNESS OF CONCRETE PALAIS-SMALE SEQUENCES

Definition 2. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. A sequence $(u^m) \subseteq H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ is said to be a concrete Palais-Smale sequence at level c ($(CPS)_c$ -sequence, in short) for f , if $f(u^m) \rightarrow c$,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_{s_k} a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \in H^{-1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$$

eventually as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$- \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_j (a_{ij}^k(x, u^m) D_i u_k^m) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_{s_k} a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m - g_k(x, u^m)$$

converges to zero strongly in $H^{-1}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$. We say that f satisfies the concrete Palais-Smale condition at level c ($(CPS)_c$ in short), if every $(CPS)_c$ -sequence for f admits a strongly convergent subsequence in $H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Next we state and prove a vectorial version of Brezis-Browder's Theorem [6].

Lemma 3. Let $T \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^{-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $v \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\eta \in L^1(\Omega)$ with $T \cdot v \geq \eta$. Then $T \cdot v \in L^1(\Omega)$ and

$$\langle T, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} T \cdot v \, dx$$

Proof. Let $(v_h) \subseteq C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with $v_h \rightarrow v$. Define $\Theta_h(v) \in H_0^1 \cap L^\infty$ with compact support in Ω by setting

$$\Theta_h(v) = \min\{|v|, |v_h|\} \frac{v}{\sqrt{|v|^2 + \frac{1}{h}}}.$$

Since

$$\min\{|v|, |v_h|\} \frac{T \cdot v}{\sqrt{|v|^2 + \frac{1}{h}}} \geq -\eta^- \in L^1(\Omega),$$

and

$$\left\langle T, \min\{|v|, |v_h|\} \frac{v}{\sqrt{|v|^2 + \frac{1}{h}}} \right\rangle = \int_{\Omega} \min\{|v|, |v_h|\} \frac{T \cdot v}{\sqrt{|v|^2 + \frac{1}{h}}} \, dx,$$

a variant of Fatou's Lemma implies $\int_{\Omega} T \cdot v \, dx \leq \langle T, v \rangle$, so that $T \cdot v \in L^1(\Omega)$. Finally, since

$$\left| \min\{|v|, |v_h|\} \frac{T \cdot v}{\sqrt{|v|^2 + \frac{1}{h}}} \right| \leq |T \cdot v|,$$

Lebesgue's Theorem yields

$$\langle T, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} T \cdot v \, dx,$$

and the proof is complete. \square

The first step for the $(CPS)_c$ to hold is the boundedness of $(CPS)_c$ sequences.

Lemma 4. Assume **(A1)**, **(G1)**, **(G2)** and **(AG)**. Then for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$ each $(CPS)_c$ sequence of f is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. Let $a_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ be such that for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$qG(x, s) \leq s \cdot g(x, s) + a_0(x).$$

Now let (u^m) be a $(CPS)_c$ sequence for f and let $w^m \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that for all $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w^m, v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j v_h \, dx + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_s a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) \cdot v D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \, dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u^m) \cdot v. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the previous Lemma, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\|w^m\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)} \|u^m\|_{H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)} &\leq \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \, dx + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_s a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) \cdot u^m D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \, dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u^m) \cdot u^m \, dx \leq \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \, dx + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_s a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) \cdot u^m D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \, dx + \\ &\quad - q \int_{\Omega} G(x, u^m) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} a_0 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the expression of f and assumption **(AG)**, we have that for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} -\|w^m\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)} \|u^m\|_{H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)} &\leq \\ &\leq -\left(\frac{q}{2} - 1\right) \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \, dx + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_s a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) \cdot u^m D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \, dx + qf(u^m) + \int_{\Omega} a_0 \, dx \leq \\ &\leq -\left(\frac{q}{2} - 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}\right) \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \, dx + \\ &\quad + qf(u^m) + \int_{\Omega} a_0 \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Because of **(A1)**, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(q-2-\gamma)\|Du^m\|_2^2 &\leq (q-2-\gamma) \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m dx \\ &\leq 2\|w^m\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)} \|u^m\|_{H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)} + 2qf(u^m) + 2 \int_{\Omega} a_0 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since (w^m) converges to 0 in $H^{-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, we conclude that (u^m) is a bounded sequence in $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$. \square

Lemma 5. *If condition (6) holds, then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) &\longrightarrow L^{2n/(n+2)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) \\ u &\longmapsto g(x, u) \end{aligned}$$

is completely continuous.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of [9, Theorem 2.2.7]. \square

3. COMPACTNESS OF CONCRETE PALAIS-SMALE SEQUENCES

The next result is crucial for the $(CPS)_c$ condition to hold for our elliptic system.

Lemma 6. *Assume **(A1)** and **(A2)**, let (u^m) be a bounded sequence in $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, and set*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w^m, v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j v_h dx + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_s a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) \cdot v D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$. If (w^m) is strongly convergent to some w in $H^{-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, then (u^m) admits a strongly convergent subsequence in $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. Since (u^m) is bounded, we have $u^m \rightharpoonup u$ for some u up to a subsequence. Each component u_k^m satisfies (2.5) in [5], so we may suppose that $D_i u_k^m \rightarrow D_i u_k$ a.e. in Ω for all $k = 1, \dots, N$ (see also [12]). We first prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u) D_i u_h D_j u_h dx + \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_s a_{ij}^h(x, u) \cdot u D_i u_h D_j u_h dx = \langle w, u \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Let ψ be as in assumption **(A2)** and consider the following test functions

$$v^m = \varphi(\sigma_1 \exp[\sigma_1(\psi(u_1) - \psi(u_1^m))], \dots, \sigma_N \exp[\sigma_N(\psi(u_N) - \psi(u_N^m))]),$$

where $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, $\varphi \geq 0$ and $\sigma_l = \pm 1$ for all l . Therefore, since we have

$$D_j v_k^m = (\sigma_k D_j \varphi + (\psi'(u_k) D_j u_k - \psi'(u_k^m) D_j u_k^m) \varphi) \exp[\sigma_k(\psi(u_k) - \psi(u_k^m))],$$

we deduce that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m (\sigma_h D_j \varphi + \psi'(u_h) D_j u_h \varphi) \exp[\sigma_h(\psi(u_h) - \psi(u_h^m))] dx + \\ & + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h,l=1}^N \frac{\sigma_l}{2} D_{s_l} a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) \exp[\sigma_l(\psi(u_l) - \psi(u_l^m))] D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \varphi dx + \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \psi'(u_h^m) \exp[\sigma_h(\psi(u_h) - \psi(u_h^m))] \varphi dx = \\ & = \langle w^m, v^m \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let us study the behavior of each term of the previous equality as $m \rightarrow \infty$. First of all, if $v = (\sigma_1 \varphi, \dots, \sigma_N \varphi)$, we have that $v^m \rightharpoonup v$ implies

$$\lim_m \langle w^m, v^m \rangle = \langle w, v \rangle. \quad (11)$$

Since $u^m \rightharpoonup u$, by Lebesgue's Theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_m \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m (D_j(\sigma_h \varphi) + \\ & + \varphi \psi'(u_h) D_j u_h) \exp[\sigma_h(\psi(u_h) - \psi(u_h^m))] dx = \\ & = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u) D_i u_h (D_j v_h + \varphi \psi'(u_h) D_j u_h) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Finally, note that by assumption **(A2)** we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N \left(\sum_{l=1}^N \frac{\sigma_l}{2} D_{s_l} a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) \exp[\sigma_l(\psi(u_l) - \psi(u_l^m))] + \right. \\ & \left. - a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) \psi'(u_h^m) \exp[\sigma_h(\psi(u_h) - \psi(u_h^m))] \right) D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can apply Fatou's Lemma to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_m \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h,l=1}^N D_{s_l} a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) \exp[\sigma_l(\psi(u_l) - \psi(u_l^m))] D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m (\sigma_l \varphi) dx + \right. \\ & \left. - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \psi'(u_h^m) \exp[\sigma_h(\psi(u_h) - \psi(u_h^m))] \varphi dx \right\} \leq \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h,l=1}^N D_{s_l} a_{ij}^h(x, u) D_i u_h D_j u_h (\sigma_l \varphi) dx + \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u) D_i u_h D_j u_h \psi'(u_h) \varphi dx, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (11) and (12), yields

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u) D_i u_h D_j v_h \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_s a_{ij}^h(x, u) \cdot v D_i u_h D_j u_h \, dx \geq \langle w, v \rangle$$

for all test functions $v = (\sigma_1 \varphi, \dots, \sigma_N \varphi)$ with $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $\varphi \geq 0$. Since we may exchange v with $-v$ we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u) D_i u_h D_j v_h \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_s a_{ij}^h(x, u) \cdot v D_i u_h D_j u_h \, dx = \langle w, v \rangle$$

for all test functions $v = (\sigma_1 \varphi, \dots, \sigma_N \varphi)$, and since every function $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ can be written as a linear combination of such functions, taking into account Lemma 3, we infer (10). Now, let us prove that

$$\limsup_m \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u) D_i u_h D_j u_h \, dx. \quad (13)$$

Because of (4), Fatou's Lemma implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N u \cdot D_s a_{ij}^h(x, u) D_i u_h D_j u_h \, dx &\leq \\ &\leq \liminf_m \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N u^m \cdot D_s a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this fact with (10), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_m \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \, dx &= \\ &= \limsup_m \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N u^m \cdot D_s a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m \, dx + \langle w^m, u^m \rangle \right] \leq \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N u \cdot D_s a_{ij}^h(x, u) D_i u_h D_j u_h \, dx + \langle w, u \rangle = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u) D_i u_h D_j u_h \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

so that (13) is proved. Finally, by (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \|Du^m - Du\|_2^2 &\leq \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}^h(x, u^m) (D_i u_h^m D_j u_h^m - 2D_i u_h^m D_j u_h + D_i u_h D_j u_h) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (13) we obtain

$$\limsup_m \|Du^m - Du\|_2 \leq 0$$

which proves that $u^m \rightarrow u$ in $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$. \square

We now come to one of the main tools of this paper, the $(CPS)_c$ condition for system (1).

Theorem 7. *Assume (A1), (A2), (G1), (G2), (AG). Then f satisfies $(CPS)_c$ condition for each $c \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let (u^m) be a $(CPS)_c$ sequence for f . Since (u^m) is bounded in $H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, from Lemma 5 we deduce that, up to a subsequence, $(g(x, u^m))$ is strongly convergent in $H^{-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$. Applying Lemma 6, we conclude the present proof. \square

4. EXISTENCE OF MULTIPLE SOLUTIONS FOR ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS

We now prove the main result, which is an extension of theorems of [7, 9] and a generalization of [4, Theorem 3.2] to systems in diagonal form.

Proof of Theorem 1. We want to apply [9, Theorem 2.1.6]. First of all, because of Theorem 7, f satisfies $(CPS)_c$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Whence, (c) of [9, Theorem 2.1.6] is satisfied. Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega} |Du|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx &\leq f(u) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} nNC \int_{\Omega} |Du|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We want to prove that assumptions (a) and (b) of [9, Theorem 2.1.6] are also satisfied. Let us observe that, instead of (b) of [9, Theorem 2.1.6], it is enough to find a sequence (W_n) of finite dimensional subspaces with $\dim(W_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ satisfying the inequality of (b) (see also [17, Theorem 1.2]). Let W be a finite dimensional subspace of $H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$. From (7) we deduce that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|s| \geq R$

$$G(x, s) \geq \frac{G\left(x, R \frac{s}{|s|}\right)}{R^q} |s|^q \geq b_0(x) |s|^q,$$

where

$$b_0(x) = R^{-q} \inf\{G(x, s) : |s| = R\} > 0$$

a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Therefore there exists $a_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$G(x, s) \geq b_0(x) |s|^q - a_0(x) \tag{14}$$

a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Since $b_0 \in L^1(\Omega)$, we may define a norm $\|\cdot\|_G$ on W by

$$\|u\|_G = \left(\int_{\Omega} b_0 |u|^q dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

Since W is finite dimensional and $q > 2$, from (14) it follows

$$\lim_{\substack{\|u\|_G \rightarrow +\infty \\ u \in W}} f(u) = -\infty$$

and condition (b) of [9, Theorem 2.1.6] is clearly fulfilled too for a sufficiently large $R > 0$. Let now (λ_h, u_h) be the sequence of eigenvalues and eigenvectors for the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u &= -\lambda u \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove that there exist $h_0, \alpha > 0$ such that

$$\forall u \in V^+ : \|Du\|_2 = 1 \implies f(u) \geq \alpha,$$

where $V^+ = \overline{\text{span}} \{u_h \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) : h \geq h_0\}$. In fact, given $u \in V^+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we find

$$a_\varepsilon^{(1)} \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), \quad a_\varepsilon^{(2)} \in L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\Omega),$$

such that $\|a_\varepsilon^{(2)}\|_{\frac{2n}{n+2}} \leq \varepsilon$ and

$$|g(x, s)| \leq a_\varepsilon^{(1)}(x) + a_\varepsilon^{(2)}(x) + \varepsilon |s|^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}.$$

If $u \in V^+$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) &\geq \frac{\nu}{2} \|Du\|_2^2 - \int_\Omega G(x, u) \, dx \\ &\geq \frac{\nu}{2} \|Du\|_2^2 - \int_\Omega \left((a_\varepsilon^{(1)} + a_\varepsilon^{(2)}) |u| + \frac{n-2}{2n} \varepsilon |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \right) \, dx \\ &\geq \frac{\nu}{2} \|Du\|_2^2 - \|a_\varepsilon^{(1)}\|_2 \|u\|_2 - c_1 \|a_\varepsilon^{(2)}\|_{\frac{2n}{n+2}} \|Du\|_2 - \varepsilon c_2 \|Du\|_2^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \\ &\geq \frac{\nu}{2} \|Du\|_2^2 - \|a_\varepsilon^{(1)}\|_2 \|u\|_2 - c_1 \varepsilon \|Du\|_2 - \varepsilon c_2 \|Du\|_2^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then if h_0 is sufficiently large, from the fact that (λ_h) diverges, for all $u \in V^+$, $\|Du\|_2 = 1$ implies

$$\|a_\varepsilon^{(1)}\|_2 \|u\|_2 \leq \frac{\nu}{6}.$$

Hence, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, $\|Du\|_2 = 1$ implies that $f(u) \geq \nu/6$.

Finally, set $V^- = \overline{\text{span}} \{u_h \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) : h < h_0\}$, we have the decomposition

$$H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N) = V^+ \oplus V^-.$$

Therefore, since the hypotheses for [9, Theorem 2.1.6] are fulfilled, we can find a sequence (u^m) of weak solution of system (1) such that

$$\lim_m f(u^m) = +\infty,$$

and the theorem is now proven.

5. REGULARITY OF WEAK SOLUTIONS FOR ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS

Assume conditions **(A1)** and **(G1)**, and consider the nonlinear elliptic system

$$\int_\Omega \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h,k=1}^N a_{ij}^{hk}(x, u) D_i u_h D_j v_k \, dx = \int_\Omega b(x, u, Du) \cdot v \, dx \tag{15}$$

for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$. For $l = 1, \dots, N$, we choose

$$b_l(x, u, Du) = \left\{ - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h,k=1}^N D_{s_i} a_{ij}^{hk}(x, u) D_i u_h D_j u_k + g_l(x, u) \right\}.$$

Assume that there exist $c > 0$ and $q < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. in Ω

$$|g(x, s)| \leq c(1 + |s|^q). \tag{16}$$

Then it follows that for every $M > 0$, there exists $C(M) > 0$ such that for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|s| \leq M$

$$|b(x, s, \xi)| \leq c(M) (1 + |\xi|^2). \tag{17}$$

A nontrivial regularity theory for quasilinear systems (see, [14, Chapter VI]) yields the following :

Theorem 8. *For every weak solution $u \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ of the system (1) there exist an open subset $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Omega$ and $s > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \forall p \in (n, +\infty) : u \in C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\Omega_0; \mathbb{R}^N), \\ \mathcal{H}^{n-s}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the proof, see [14, Chapter VI]. □

We now consider the particular case when $a_{ij}^{hk}(x, s) = \alpha_{ij}(x, s)\delta^{hk}$, and provide an almost everywhere regularity result.

Lemma 9. *Assume condition (17). Then the weak solutions $u \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ of the system*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}(x, u) D_i u_h D_j v_h \, dx + \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_s a_{ij}(x, u) \cdot v D_i u_h D_j u_h \, dx = \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) \cdot v \, dx \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

for all $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, belong to $L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. By [20, Lemma 3.3], for each $(CPS)_c$ sequence (u^m) there exist $u \in H_0^1 \cap L^\infty$ and a subsequence (u^{m_k}) with $u^{m_k} \rightharpoonup u$. Then, given a weak solution u , consider the sequence (u^m) such that each element is equal to u and the assertion follows. □

We can finally state a partial regularity result for our system.

Theorem 10. *Assume condition (17) and let $u \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution of the system*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N a_{ij}(x, u) D_i u_h D_j v_h \, dx + \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{h=1}^N D_s a_{ij}(x, u) \cdot v D_i u_h D_j u_h \, dx = \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) \cdot v \, dx \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

for all $v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$. Then there exist an open subset $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Omega$ and $s > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall p \in (n, +\infty) : u \in C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\Omega_0; \mathbb{R}^N), \\ \mathcal{H}^{n-s}(\Omega \setminus \Omega_0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It suffices to combine the previous Lemma with Theorem 8. □

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank Professor Marco Degiovanni for providing helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. AMBROSETTI AND P. H. RABINOWITZ, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* **14** (1973), 349–381.
- [2] D. ARCOYA, L. BOCCARDO, Critical points for multiple integrals of the calculus of variations, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **134**, (1996), 249-274.
- [3] G. ARIOLI, F. GAZZOLA, Weak solutions of quasilinear elliptic PDE's at resonance, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse* **6**, (1997), 573-589.
- [4] G. ARIOLI, F. GAZZOLA, Existence and multiplicity results for quasilinear elliptic differential systems, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, in press.
- [5] L. BOCCARDO, F. MURAT, Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations, *Nonlin. Anal.* **19**, (1992), 581-597.
- [6] H. BREZIS, F.E. BROWDER, Sur une propriété des espaces de Sobolev, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris* **287**, (1978), 113-115.
- [7] A. CANINO, Multiplicity of solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations, *Top. Meth. Nonl. Anal.* **6**, (1995), 357-370.
- [8] A. CANINO, On a variational approach to some quasilinear problems, *Serdica Math. J.* **22** (1996), 399-426.
- [9] A. CANINO, M. DEGIOVANNI, Nonsmooth critical point theory and quasilinear elliptic equations, *Topological Methods in Differential Equations and Inclusions*, 1-50 - A. Granas, M. Frigon, G. Sabidussi Eds. - Montreal (1994), NATO ASI Series - Kluwer A.P. (1995).
- [10] J.N. CORVELLEC, M. DEGIOVANNI, Nontrivial solutions of quasilinear equations via nonsmooth Morse theory, *J. Diff. Eq.* **136**, (1997), 268-293.
- [11] J.N. CORVELLEC, M. DEGIOVANNI, M. MARZOCCHI, Deformation properties for continuous functionals and critical point theory, *Top. Meth. Nonl. Anal.* **1**, (1993), 151-171.
- [12] G. DAL MASO, F. MURAT, Almost everywhere convergence of gradients of solutions to nonlinear elliptic systems, *Nonlin. Anal.* **31**, (1998), 405-412.
- [13] M. DEGIOVANNI, M. MARZOCCHI, A critical point theory for nonsmooth functionals, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. IV, CLXVII*, (1994), 73-100.
- [14] M. GIAQUINTA, Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations, *Princeton University Press*, Princeton, (1983).
- [15] A. IOFFE, E. SCHWARTZMAN, Metric critical point theory 1. Morse regularity and homotopic stability of a minimum, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **75** (1996), 125-153.
- [16] G. KATRIEL, Mountain pass theorems and global homeomorphism theorems, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **11** (1994), 189–209.
- [17] M. MARZOCCHI, Multiple solutions of quasilinear equations involving an area-type term, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **196** (1995), 1093-1104.
- [18] B. PELLACCI, Critical points for non differentiable functionals, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7)* **11** (1997), 733-749.
- [19] P. H. RABINOWITZ, Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations, *CBMS Reg. Conf. Series Math.*, **65**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., (1986).
- [20] M. STRUWE, Quasilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* **58**, (1983), 509-527.

MARCO SQUASSINA

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, MILAN UNIVERSITY, VIA SALDINI 50, 20133 MILANO, ITALY

E-mail address: `squassin@ares.mat.unimi.it`