

## UNBOUNDED SOLUTIONS FOR SCHRÖDINGER QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS WITH PERTURBATION BY A POSITIVE NON-SQUARE DIFFUSION TERM

CARLOS ALBERTO SANTOS, JIAZHENG ZHOU

*Communicated by Claudianor O. Alves*

ABSTRACT. In this article, we present a version of Keller-Osserman condition for the Schrödinger quasilinear elliptic problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + \frac{k}{2}u\Delta u^2 &= a(x)g(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) &= \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are suitable continuous functions,  $N \geq 1$ , and  $k > 0$  is a parameter. By combining a dual approach and this version of Keller-Osserman condition, we show the existence and multiplicity of solutions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + \frac{k}{2}u\Delta u^2 &= a(x)g(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) &\rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the *Laplacian* operator,  $a(x)$  is a nonnegative continuous function,  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a nondecreasing continuous function that satisfies  $g(s) > 0$ ,  $s > 0$ ,  $N \geq 1$  and  $k > 0$  is a parameter.

Equation (1.1) is a modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation by the quasilinear and nonconvex term  $u\Delta u^2$ , which is called of square diffusion. A solution of (1.1) is related to standing wave solutions for the quasilinear Schrödinger equation

$$iz_t + \Delta z - \omega(x)z + \kappa\Delta(h(|z|^2))h'(|z|^2)z + \eta(x, z) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\omega$  is a potential function,  $h$  and  $\eta$  are real functions and  $\kappa$  is a real constant. This connection is established by the fact that  $z(t, x) = e^{-i\beta t}u(x)$  is a solution to (1.2) if and only if  $u$  satisfies the equation in (1.1) for suitable constants  $\omega$ ,  $h$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\kappa$ . This kind of equations appears in several applications: superfluid film in plasma physics [10]; in models of the self-channeling of a high-power ultrashort laser in

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J10, 35J62, 35B08, 35B09, 35B44.

*Key words and phrases.* Schrödinger equations; blow up solutions; quasilinear problem; non-square diffusion; multiplicity of solutions.

©2018 Texas State University.

Submitted October 10, 2017. Published May 3, 2018.

matter [9] and [16]; in the theory of Heidelberg ferromagnetism and magnus [7]; in dissipative quantum mechanics [1]; and in condensed matter theory [6].

Even for bounded solutions, there are only a few results in the literature studying existence and multiplicity of such solutions to the equation in (1.1) with positive perturbation; that is,  $k > 0$ . One important result, that shows the existence of solutions for a related operator of the equation in (1.1), is due to Alves, Wang and Shen [3] who showed the existence of bounded solutions satisfying

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)| \leq \sqrt{1/k}$$

for each  $0 < k < k_0$ , for some  $k_0 > 0$ . In fact, they considered the equation

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u + \frac{k}{2}u\Delta u^2 = a(x)g(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

for some appropriate potential  $V$ . For more references on this direction, we refer the reader to [4, 2, 20, 19] and references therein.

On the other hand, after these papers, we wondered whether it is possible to exist unbounded solutions for (1.1); that is, solutions  $u(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . Surprisingly, under an appropriate version of Keller-Osserman condition to this operator, we were able to show the existence of an infinite number of solutions to (1.1). Our solutions satisfy

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)| \geq \sqrt{1/k}$$

for a given  $k > 0$ .

Research about existence of explosive solutions (or unbounded solutions) is motivated principally by its applications in models of population dynamical, subsonic motion of a gas, non-Newtonian fluids, non-Newtonian filtration as well as in the theory of the electric potential in a glowing hollow metal body. Remarkable work about unbounded solutions was done by Keller [8] and Osserman [15], both in 1957. They established necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions and sub solutions to the semilinear and autonomous problem (that is,  $a \equiv 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u &= a(x)g(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u &\geq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where  $g$  is a non-decreasing continuous function. This is done under the condition

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{G(t)^{1/2}} = \infty, \quad \text{where } G(t) = \int_0^t g(s)ds, \quad t > 0. \tag{1.4}$$

After these works, a function  $g$  satisfies the well-known Keller-Osserman condition, for the Laplacian operator, if

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{G(t)^{1/2}} < \infty.$$

Recently, there have been a number of papers trying to obtain ‘‘Keller-Osserman conditions’’ for various operators. The authors have also considered this question for  $\phi$ -Laplacian operator in [18].

For (1.3) non-autonomous, it has arisen an important issues on existence of solutions, namely, ‘‘how radial’’ is  $a(x)$  at infinity; that is, how big is the function

$$a_{\text{osc}}(r) := \bar{a}(r) - \underline{a}(r), \quad r \geq 0,$$

where

$$\underline{a}(r) = \min\{a(x) : |x| = r\}, \quad \bar{a}(r) = \max\{a(x) : |x| = r\}, \quad r \geq 0. \tag{1.5}$$

As a consequence of this, we have that  $a_{\text{osc}}(r) = 0, r \geq r_0$  ,if and only if,  $a$  is symmetric radially for  $|x| \geq r_0$ , for some  $r_0 \geq 0$ . In particular, if  $r_0 = 0$  we say that  $a(x)$  is radially symmetric.

Considering  $a_{\text{osc}} \equiv 0$ , Lair and Wood in [12] proved that

$$\int_1^\infty ra(r)dr = \infty \tag{1.6}$$

is a sufficient condition for (1.3) to have radial solution. They considered  $g(u) = u^\gamma, u \geq 0$  with  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ , that is,  $g$  satisfies (1.4).

In 2003, Lair [11] allowed  $a(x)$  to be not necessarily radial in the whole space, but he did not allow  $a(x)$  to have  $a_{\text{osc}}$  too big. More exactly, he assumed

$$\int_0^\infty ra_{\text{osc}}(r) \exp(\underline{A}(r))dr < \infty, \quad \text{where } \underline{A}(r) = \int_0^r sa(s)ds, r \geq 0$$

and proved that (1.3), with suitable  $g$  that includes  $u^\gamma$  for  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ , admits a solution, if and only if, (1.6) holds with  $\underline{a}$  in place of  $a$ .

In this way, Mabroux and Hansen [13] in 2007 improved the above results, considering the hypothesis

$$\int_0^\infty ra_{\text{osc}}(r)(1 + \underline{A}(r))^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} dr < \infty.$$

For a more general operator, Rhouma and Drissi [5] in 2014 proved similar results.

Before stating our main results, we define a solution of (1.1) as a positive function  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  such that  $u \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (1 - ku^2)\nabla u \nabla \varphi dx - k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 u \varphi dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)g(u)\varphi dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this article we assume that  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a nondecreasing continuous function with  $g(s) > 0$  for  $s > 0$ . Also we use the condition

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(t)}{t} > 0. \tag{1.7}$$

Our first result reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that (1.7) is satisfied and*

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{G_0(t)^{1/2}} = \infty, \quad \text{where } G_0(t) = \int_0^t g(\sqrt{s})ds, t > 0. \tag{1.8}$$

*If  $a_{\text{osc}} \equiv 0$  and*

$$\int_0^\infty \left( s^{1-N} \int_0^s t^{N-1} a(t)dt \right) ds = \infty, \tag{1.9}$$

*then for each  $\sigma > 1$  and  $k > 0$ , there exists a solution  $u = u_{\sigma,k} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  to problem (1.1). Furthermore,*

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} u(x) \geq \sqrt{\sigma/k}.$$

For non-radial potentials  $a(x)$ , we need to control the size of this non-radiality. So, for each  $\sigma > 1$ , let us assume that  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_\sigma : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ , defined by

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = \frac{(\sigma - 1)\sqrt{k}}{8\sqrt{\sigma}} t^2/g(t), \quad t > 0,$$

is non-decreasing and is invertible; such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \overline{H} &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma - 1}} \int_0^\infty \left( s^{1-N} \int_0^s t^{N-1} a_{\text{osc}}(t) dt \right) \\ &\times \left[ g\left(\mathcal{G}^{-1}\left(s \left( \int_0^s \overline{a}(t) dt \right)\right)\right) \right] ds < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

Our second result reads as follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume  $g$  satisfies (1.8) and that for  $t > 0$  the function  $g(t)/t^\delta$  is non-decreasing for some  $\delta \geq \sigma/(\sigma - 1)$ . Also suppose that  $a(x)$  is such that  $\underline{a}$  satisfies (1.9) and  $\overline{a}$  satisfies (1.10). Then there exists a solution  $u = u_{\alpha, \sigma, k, \varepsilon} \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of problem (1.1) satisfying*

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} u(x) \geq \sqrt{\sigma/k} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \leq u(0) \leq (\alpha + \varepsilon) + \overline{H}$$

for each  $\sigma > 1$  and  $\alpha, k, \varepsilon > 0$  given so that  $\alpha > \sqrt{\sigma/k}$ .

We note that this work contributes to the literature of quasilinear Schrödinger equation in at least two aspects: Firstly, as far as we know, there are no results considering this kind of operators (a positive perturbation) in the context of unbounded solutions. We mention the authors have already considered in [17] a negative perturbation, that is,  $k < 0$  in the problem (1.1). Secondly, we present a version of “Keller-Orseman condition” for this kind of operator that “captures” the influence of the perturbation term.

We organized this article the following way: in section 2, we establish an equivalent problem to the (1.1), via a very specific change of variable. In the last section we complete the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

## 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section, a change of variables allows us to transform problem (1.1) into a new problem. In the new problem, we establish a version of Keller-Orseman condition and show the existence of an entire solution that is unbounded.

First, we note that the problem (1.1) is equivalent to the modified quasilinear Schrödinger problem

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(l^2(u)\nabla u) - l(u)l'(u)|\nabla u|^2 &= a(x)g(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x) &\rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

whenever  $u(x) > \sqrt{\sigma/k}$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $l(t) = \sqrt{kt^2 - 1}$  for  $t > \sqrt{\sigma/k}$  for each  $k > 0$  and  $\sigma > 1$  given. In these situations, we conclude that the solutions obtained to (2.1) are solutions of the original problem (1.1).

So, we look for by a positive function  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  that satisfies  $u \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} l^2(u)\nabla u \nabla \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} l(u)l'(u)|\nabla u|^2 \varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)g(u)\varphi dx$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ; that is, this  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  will be an unbounded solution to (2.1).

To do this, first let us define  $l : [1/\sqrt{k\sigma}, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$l(t) = l_{\sigma,k}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{k\sigma}}{\sqrt{\sigma-1}}t - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma-1}} & \text{if } \frac{1}{\sqrt{k\sigma}} \leq t \leq \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{k}}, \\ \sqrt{kt^2 - 1} & \text{if } t > \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{k}}, \end{cases}$$

for each  $\sigma > 1$ , and set

$$L(t) = L_{\sigma,k}(t) = \int_{1/\sqrt{k\sigma}}^t l(s)ds \quad \text{for } t \geq 1/\sqrt{k\sigma}. \tag{2.2}$$

It is a consequence of the above definitions that the function  $L : [1/\sqrt{k\sigma}, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a  $C^2$ -injective function; that is, the inverse function  $L^{-1} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1/\sqrt{k\sigma}, \infty)$  is well-defined and  $L^{-1}$  is a  $C^2$ -function as well. After this, we are able to prove more Lemmas. The first lemma follows from the definitions and properties of  $l$  and  $L$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Under the above conditions, the functions  $l$  and  $L^{-1}$  satisfy:*

(1)  $0 \leq l(t) \leq \frac{\sqrt{k\sigma}}{\sqrt{\sigma-1}}t$  for all  $t \in [1/\sqrt{k\sigma}, \sqrt{\sigma/k}]$  and  $\frac{\sqrt{(\sigma-1)k}}{\sqrt{\sigma}}t \leq l(t) \leq \sqrt{kt}$  for all  $t > \sqrt{\sigma/k}$ ,

(2)  $0 \leq L(t) \leq \frac{\sqrt{k\sigma}}{\sqrt{\sigma-1}}t^2$  for all  $t \in [1/\sqrt{k\sigma}, \sqrt{\sigma/k}]$  and

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{(\sigma-1)k}}{\sqrt{\sigma}}t^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{(\sigma-1)\sigma}}{\sqrt{k}} \leq L(t) \leq \sqrt{kt^2},$$

for all  $t > \sqrt{\sigma/k}$ ,

(3)  $L^{-1}(t) \leq \sqrt{\frac{2t\sqrt{\sigma}}{\sqrt{(\sigma-1)k}} + \frac{\sigma}{k}}$  for  $t > 0$ , and

$$L^{-1}(t) \geq \begin{cases} \sqrt[4]{\frac{\sigma-1}{k\sigma}}\sqrt{t} & \text{for } \frac{1}{\sqrt{k\sigma(\sigma-1)}} \leq t \leq \frac{\sqrt{\sigma^3}}{\sqrt{k(\sigma-1)}}, \\ \sqrt{\frac{t}{\sqrt{k}}} & \text{for } t \geq \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{k}}, \end{cases}$$

(4) for  $t > \sqrt{\sigma/k}$ , the function  $\frac{t^\delta}{l(t)}$  is nondecreasing for each  $\delta \geq \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}$ .

In the sequel, we use the definitions and properties of  $l, L$  and  $L^{-1}$  to provide solutions (2.1) by establishing solutions to (2.3) below.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Assume  $u = L^{-1}(w)$ , where  $w \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a solution of the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w &= a(x) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w))}{l(L^{-1}(w))} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ w &> \sigma/\sqrt{k} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w(x) = \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Then  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a solution of problem (1.1) that satisfies  $u(x) \geq \sqrt{\sigma/k}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

*Proof.* First, note that  $u \geq \sqrt{\sigma/k}$  is a consequence of  $w \geq \sigma/\sqrt{k}$ . By the regularity of  $L$ , we obtain  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , because  $w \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Besides this, it follows from the behavior of  $L$  and  $L^{-1}$  that  $w(x) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$  if and only if  $u(x) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Since

$$w = L(u) = \int_{1/\sqrt{k\sigma}}^u l(s) ds,$$

it follows that

$$\nabla w = l(u)\nabla u = (ku^2 - 1)^{1/2}\nabla u;$$

that is,

$$\nabla u = (ku^2 - 1)^{-1/2}\nabla w.$$

Thus, for each  $\varphi \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we have

$$(1 - ku^2)\nabla u \nabla \varphi = -(ku^2 - 1)^{1/2}\nabla w \nabla \varphi. \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand, since  $w \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a solution of problem (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (ku^2 - 1)^{1/2}\nabla w \nabla \varphi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla w \nabla \{(ku^2 - 1)^{1/2}\varphi\} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{ku}{ku^2 - 1} |\nabla w|^2 \varphi \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) \frac{g(u)}{l(u)} (ku^2 - 1)^{1/2} \varphi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ku |\nabla u|^2 \varphi \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) g(u) \varphi - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ku |\nabla u|^2 \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Then using (2.4), we have  $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a solution to (1.1). This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

Below, we show the existence of a solution to (2.3) and after this, by using the second Lemma above, we are able to show the existence of a solution to Problem (1.3). This arguments relies on ideas found in [14].

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Since  $a_{\text{osc}} \equiv 0$ , that is,  $a(x) = a(|x|)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , we have that a radial solution for (2.3) can be obtained by solving the problem

$$\begin{aligned} (r^{N-1}w')' &= r^{N-1}a(r) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w))}{l(L^{-1}(w))} \quad \text{in } (0, \infty), \\ w'(0) &= 0, \quad w(0) = \alpha \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $r = |x| \geq 0$  and  $\alpha > \sigma/\sqrt{k}$  is a real number, for each  $\sigma > 1$  and  $k > 0$ .

Since  $a$ ,  $g$ ,  $l$  and  $L^{-1}$  are continuous functions, we can follow the approach in [21] to show that there exist a right maximal extreme  $\Gamma(\alpha) > 0$ , and a function  $w_\alpha \in C^2(0, \Gamma(\alpha)) \cap C^1([0, \Gamma(\alpha)))$  solution of the problem (3.1) on  $(0, \Gamma(\alpha))$ , for each  $\alpha > \sigma/\sqrt{k}$  given.

If we assumed that  $\Gamma(\alpha) < \infty$  for some  $\alpha > \sigma/\sqrt{k}$ , then we would obtain, by standard arguments of ordinary differential equations, that either  $w_\alpha(r) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \Gamma(\alpha)^-$  or  $w'_\alpha(r) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \Gamma(\alpha)^-$ ; that is,  $w_\alpha(|x|)$  would satisfy the problem

$$\begin{aligned} (r^{N-1}w')' &= r^{N-1}a(r) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w))}{l(L^{-1}(w))} \quad \text{in } (0, \Gamma(\alpha)), \\ w'(0) &= 0, \quad w(0) = \alpha > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \Gamma(\alpha)^-} w_\alpha(r) = \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \Gamma(\alpha)^-} w'_\alpha(r) = \infty.$$

So, using that a solution  $w$  of (3.2) is non-decreasing and  $l(t) \geq \sqrt{\sigma-1}$  for all  $t \geq \sqrt{\sigma/k}$ , we obtain that  $w$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (r^{N-1}w')' &\leq \frac{a_\infty}{\sqrt{\sigma-1}} r^{N-1} g(L^{-1}(w)) \quad \text{in } (0, \Gamma(\alpha)), \\ w(0) &= \alpha > 0, \quad w'(0) = 0, \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow \Gamma(\alpha)^-} w_\alpha(r) &= \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \Gamma(\alpha)^-} w'_\alpha(r) = \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where  $a_\infty = \max_{\bar{B}_{\Gamma(\alpha)}} a(x)$ .

By integrating the inequality above over  $(0, r)$  with  $0 < r < \Gamma(\alpha)$  and assuming  $\|w_\alpha\|_\infty \leq C < \infty$  for some  $C > 0$ , we obtain

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \Gamma(\alpha)^-} w'(r) \leq \Gamma(\alpha)^{1-N} \int_0^{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{N-1} g(L^{-1}(w(t))) dt < \infty$$

by the continuity of all involved functions. So, from now on, we assume that  $w_\alpha(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \Gamma(\alpha)^-$ .

By using  $w' \geq 0$  again, we can rewrite the inequality in (3.3) as

$$w'' \leq \frac{a_\infty}{\sqrt{\sigma-1}} (g \circ L^{-1})(w) \quad \text{for all } 0 < r < \Gamma(\alpha)$$

this lead us, after multiplying this inequality by  $w'$  and integrating it on  $(0, r)$ , to

$$\frac{1}{2} (w'(r))^2 \leq \int_0^r \frac{a_\infty}{\sqrt{\sigma-1}} (g \circ L^{-1})(w(s)) w'(s) ds = \frac{a_\infty}{\sqrt{\sigma-1}} \int_\alpha^{w(r)} (g \circ L^{-1})(s) ds;$$

that is,

$$\left( \int_\alpha^{w(r)} (g \circ L^{-1})(s) ds \right)^{-1/2} w'(r) \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{a_\infty / \sqrt{\sigma-1}} \quad \text{for all } 0 < r < \Gamma(\alpha).$$

Now, by integrating in the above inequality over  $(0, \Gamma(\alpha))$  and reminding that  $w_\alpha(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \Gamma(\alpha)^-$ , we obtain

$$\int_\alpha^\infty \left( \int_\alpha^t (g \circ L^{-1})(s) ds \right)^{-1/2} dt \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{a_\infty / \sqrt{\sigma-1}} \Gamma(\alpha) < \infty. \quad (3.4)$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 2.1-(3) and the monotonicity of  $g$ , it follows that

$$(g \circ L^{-1})(t) \leq g\left(\sqrt{\frac{2t\sqrt{\sigma}}{\sqrt{(\sigma-1)k}} + \frac{\sigma}{k}}\right) \quad \text{for all } t > \sigma/\sqrt{k};$$

that is,

$$\int_\alpha^t (g \circ L^{-1})(s) ds \leq \int_\alpha^t g\left(\sqrt{\frac{2s\sqrt{\sigma}}{\sqrt{(\sigma-1)k}} + \frac{\sigma}{k}}\right) ds \quad \text{for all } t > \alpha.$$

As a consequence of this and (3.4), we have

$$\int_\alpha^\infty \left\{ \int_\alpha^t g\left(\sqrt{\frac{2s\sqrt{\sigma}}{\sqrt{(\sigma-1)k}} + \frac{\sigma}{k}}\right) ds \right\}^{-1/2} dt \leq \int_\alpha^\infty \left\{ \int_\alpha^t (g \circ L^{-1})(s) ds \right\}^{-1/2} dt.$$

So, by estimating in the last inequality and using (3.4) again, we obtain

$$\int_1^\infty G_0(t)^{-1/2} dt \leq C \left( \sqrt{a_\infty / \sqrt{\sigma-1}} \right) \Gamma(\alpha) < \infty,$$

for some real constant  $C > 0$ . This is impossible, because we are assuming that  $g$  satisfies (1.8).

It follows from Lemma 2.1-(1), hypothesis (1.7) and  $L^{-1}(w_\alpha) \geq \sqrt{\sigma/k}$ , that

$$\frac{g(L^{-1}(w_\alpha(r)))}{l(L^{-1}(w_\alpha(r)))} \geq \frac{g(L^{-1}(w_\alpha(r)))}{\sqrt{k}L^{-1}(w_\alpha(r))} \geq M > 0 \quad \text{for all } r > 0, \quad (3.5)$$

and for each  $\alpha > \sigma/\sqrt{k}$  given and for some  $M > 0$ , because  $w_\alpha(r) \geq \alpha$  for all  $r \geq 0$ .

Since,  $w_\alpha$  satisfies

$$w_\alpha(r) = \alpha + \int_0^r \left( t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1} a(s) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))}{l(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))} ds \right) dt, \quad r \geq 0, \quad (3.6)$$

it follows from (3.5), that

$$w_\alpha(r) \geq \alpha + M \int_0^r \left( t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1} a(s) ds \right) dt \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Set  $\beta > \alpha > \sigma/\sqrt{k}$ . Since the hypothesis  $g(t)/t^\delta$  being non-increasing implies (1.7), from Theorem 1.1 there exist positive and radially symmetric solutions  $w_\alpha, w_\beta \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  to the problems

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w_\alpha &= \bar{a}(|x|) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))}{l(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ w_\alpha(0) &= \alpha, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w_\alpha(x) = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w_\beta &= \underline{a}(|x|) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w_\beta))}{l(L^{-1}(w_\beta))} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ w_\beta(0) &= \beta, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w_\beta(x) = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where  $\underline{a}$  and  $\bar{a}$  were defined in (1.5).

Besides this, from (3.6), (3.7),  $w_\alpha, g, L^{-1}$  be non-decreasing and Lemma 2.1-(3), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} w_\alpha(r) &\leq 2 \int_0^r \left( \int_0^t \bar{a}(s) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))}{l(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))} ds \right) dt \\ &\leq 2g(L^{-1}(w_\alpha(r))) \int_0^r \left( \int_0^t \frac{\bar{a}(s)}{l(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))} ds \right) dt \\ &\leq 2g\left(\sqrt{2\sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)k}}w_\alpha(r) + \frac{\sigma}{k}}\right) \int_0^r \left( \int_0^t \frac{\bar{a}(s)}{l(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))} ds \right) dt \\ &\leq 2g\left(2\sqrt[4]{\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)k}}\sqrt{w_\alpha}\right) \int_0^r \left( \int_0^t \frac{\bar{a}(s)}{l(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))} ds \right) dt \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\sigma-1}}g\left(2\sqrt[4]{\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)k}}\sqrt{w_\alpha}\right) \left[ r \left( \int_0^r \bar{a}(t) dt \right) - \int_0^r t\bar{a}(t) dt \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\sigma-1}}g\left(2\sqrt[4]{\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)k}}\sqrt{w_\alpha}\right) r \int_0^r \bar{a}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

for all  $r > 0$  sufficiently large. That is, it follows from the definition of  $\mathcal{G}$ , that

$$2\sqrt[4]{\frac{\sigma}{(\sigma-1)k}}\sqrt{w_\alpha} \leq \mathcal{G}^{-1}\left(r \int_0^r \bar{a}(t)dt\right) \quad \text{for all } r \gg 0.$$

Now, setting

$$0 < S(\beta) = \sup\{r > 0 : w_\alpha(r) < w_\beta(r)\} \leq \infty,$$

we claim that  $S(\beta) = \infty$  for all  $\beta > \alpha + \bar{H}$  and for each  $\alpha > \sigma/\sqrt{k}$  given. In fact, by assuming this is not true, then there exists a  $\beta_0 > \alpha + \bar{H}$  such that  $w_\alpha(S(\beta_0)) = w_{\beta_0}(S(\beta_0))$ . So, by using that  $g(t)/t^\delta$  is non-decreasing for  $\delta > \sigma/(\sigma-1)$ , Lemma 2.1 and  $w_\alpha \leq w_{\beta_0}$  on  $[0, S(\beta_0)]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_0 \\ &= \alpha + \int_0^{S(\beta_0)} t^{1-N} \left[ \int_0^t s^{N-1} \left( \bar{a}(s) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))}{l(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))} - \underline{a}(s) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w_{\beta_0}))}{l(L^{-1}(w_{\beta_0}))} \right) ds \right] dt \\ &= \alpha + \int_0^{S(\beta_0)} t^{1-N} \left[ \int_0^t s^{N-1} \left( \bar{a}(s) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))}{l(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \underline{a}(s) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w_{\beta_0}))}{L^{-1}(w_{\beta_0})^\delta} \frac{L^{-1}(w_{\beta_0})^\delta}{l(L^{-1}(w_{\beta_0}))} \right) ds \right] dt \\ &\leq \alpha + \int_0^{S(\beta_0)} t^{1-N} \left[ \int_0^t s^{N-1} \left( \bar{a}(s) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))}{l(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))} - \underline{a}(s) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))}{l(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))} \right) ds \right] dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand, from  $g, l$  and  $w_\alpha$  being non-decreasing, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq t^{1-N} \left[ \int_0^t s^{N-1} \left( \bar{a}(s) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))}{l(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))} - \underline{a}(s) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))}{l(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))} \right) ds \right] \chi_{[0, S(\beta)]}(t) \\ &= t^{1-N} \left[ \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_{\text{osc}}(s) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))}{l(L^{-1}(w_\alpha))} ds \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma-1}} \left( t^{1-N} \int_0^t s^{N-1} a_{\text{osc}}(s) ds \right) g\left(\mathcal{G}^{-1}\left(t \int_0^t \bar{a}(s) ds\right)\right) := \mathcal{H}(t), \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \gg 0$ , where  $\chi_{[0, S(\beta)]}$  stands for the characteristic function of  $[0, S(\beta)]$ .

So, from the hypothesis (1.10) and (3.8), it follows that

$$\beta_0 \leq \alpha + \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(s) ds \leq \alpha + \bar{H},$$

but this is impossible.

Now, by setting  $\beta = (\alpha + \epsilon) + \bar{H}$ , for each  $\alpha > \sigma/\sqrt{k}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  given, and by considering the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w &= a(x) \frac{g(L^{-1}(w))}{l(L^{-1}(w))} \quad \text{in } B_n(0), \\ w &\geq 0 \text{ in } B_n(0), \quad w = w_\alpha \quad \text{on } \partial B_n(0), \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

we can infer by standard methods of sub and super solutions that there exists a  $w_n = w_{n,\alpha} \in C^1(\bar{B}_n)$  solution of (3.9) satisfying  $\sigma/\sqrt{k} < \alpha \leq w_\alpha \leq w_n \leq w_\beta$  in  $B_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

So, by defining  $w_m^n = w_m|_{B_n}$  for  $m > n$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  given, where  $w_m$  is a solution of Problem (3.9) in the ball  $B_m(0)$ , we obtain that  $\{w_m^n\}$  is a bounded  $m$ -sequence in  $C^{1,\nu_n}(\bar{B}_n)$  for some  $0 < \nu_n \leq 1$  by Regularity theory.

Hence, we can extract subsequences of  $\{w_m^n\}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} w_2^1, w_3^1, w_4^1, \dots &\xrightarrow{C^1(\bar{B}_1)} w^1, \\ w_3^2, w_4^2, w_5^2, \dots &\xrightarrow{C^1(\bar{B}_2)} w^2, \\ w_4^3, w_5^3, w_6^3, \dots &\xrightarrow{C^1(\bar{B}_3)} w^3, \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

So, the function  $w : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  given by  $w(x) = w^n(x)$  for  $x \in B_n$  is well-defined and the sequence  $\{w_{2^n}^n\}$  satisfies  $w_{2^n}^n \rightarrow w$  in  $C^1(K)$  for any compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $\sigma/\sqrt{k} < \alpha \leq w_\alpha \leq w \leq w_\beta$ ; that is,  $w \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and is a solution of (1.1).  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** Carlos Alberto Santos was supported by CAPES/Brazil Proc. no. 2788/2015-02. Jiazheng Zhou was supported by CNPq/Brazil Proc. no. 232373/2014-0.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Adachi, T. Watanabe; *Uniqueness of the ground state solutions of quasilinear Schrödinger equations*, Nonlinear Anal., 75 (2012), 819-833.
- [2] J. F. L. Aires, M. A. S. Souto; *Equation with positive coefficient in the quasilinear term and vanishing potential*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., 46 (2015), 813-833.
- [3] C. Alves, Y. Wang, Y. Shen; *Soliton solutions for a class of quasilinear Schrödinger equations with a parameter*, J. Differential Equations, 259 (2015), No 1, 318-343.
- [4] A. Ambrosetti, Z.-Q. Wang; *Positive solutions to a class of quasilinear elliptic equations on  $\mathbb{R}$* , Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 9 (2003), 55-68.
- [5] N. Belhaj Rhouma, A. Drissi; *Large and entire large solutions for a class of nonlinear problems*, Appl. Math. Comput., 232 (2014), 272-284.
- [6] J. Byeon, Z. Wang; *Standing waves with a critical frequency for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 165 (2002), 295-316.
- [7] R. Hasse; *A general method for the solution of nonlinear soliton and kink Schrödinger equations*, Z. Phys. B, 37 (1980), 83-87.
- [8] J. B. Keller; *On solutions of  $\Delta u = f(u)$* , Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 10 (1957), 503-510.
- [9] A. Kosevich, B. Ivanov, A. Kovalev; *Magnetic solitons*, Phys. Rep., 194 (1990), 117-238.
- [10] S. Kurihara; *Large amplitude quasi-solitons in superfluid films*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 50 (1981), 3262-3267.
- [11] A. Lair; *Nonradial large solutions of sublinear elliptic equations*, Appl. Anal., 82 (2003), 431-437.
- [12] A. Lair, A. Wood; *Large solutions of semilinear elliptic problems*, Nonlinear Anal., 37 (1999), 805-812.
- [13] K. Mabrouk, W. Hansen; *Nonradial large solutions of sublinear elliptic*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 330 (2007), 1025-1041.
- [14] A. Mohammed, G. Porcu, G. Porru; *Large solutions to some non-linear ODE with singular coefficients*, Nonlinear Anal., 47 (2001), 513-524.
- [15] R. Osserman; *On the inequality  $\Delta u \geq f(u)$* , Pacific. J. Math., 7 (1957), 1641-1647.
- [16] G. Quispel, H. Capel; *Equation of motion for the Heisenberg spin chain*, Physica A, 110 (1982), 41-80.
- [17] C. A. Santos, J. Zhou; *Infinite many blow-up solutions for a Schrödinger quasilinear elliptic problem with a non-square diffusion term*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., 62 (2017), 887-899.
- [18] C. A. Santos, J. Zhou, J. A. Santos; *Necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of blow-up solutions for elliptic problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Math. Nachr., 291 (2018), 160-177.
- [19] U. B. Severo, E. Gloss, E. D. da Silva; *On a class of quasilinear Schrödinger equations with superlinear or asymptotically linear terms*, J. Differential Equations, 263-6 (2017), 3550-3580.

- [20] Y. J. Wang; *A class of quasilinear Schrödinger equations with critical or supercritical exponents*, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 70 (2015), 562-572.
- [21] H. Yang; *On the existence and asymptotic behavior of large solutions for a semilinear elliptic problem in  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, 4, No 1 (2005), 187-198.

CARLOS ALBERTO SANTOS  
UNIVERSIDADE DE BRASÍLIA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, 70910-900, BRASÍLIA - DF, BRAZIL  
*E-mail address:* `csantos@unb.br`

JIAZHENG ZHOU  
UNIVERSIDADE DE BRASÍLIA, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, 70910-900, BRASÍLIA - DF, BRAZIL  
*E-mail address:* `jiazheng@gmail.com`