# Infinitely many homoclinic orbits for Hamiltonian systems with group symmetries * 

Cheng Lee


#### Abstract

This paper deals via variational methods with the existence of infinitely many homoclinic orbits for a class of the first-order time-dependent Hamiltonian systems $$
\dot{z}=J H_{z}(t, z)
$$ without any periodicity assumption on $H$, providing that $H(t, z)$ is Gsymmetric with respect to $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2 N}$, is superquadratic as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, and satisfies some additional assumptions.


## 1 Introduction

This paper is an extension of the work [7]. We consider the existence of infinitely many homoclinic orbits for the first-order time-dependent Hamiltonian systems

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}=J H_{z}(t, z) \tag{HS}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 N}, H \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 N}, \mathbb{R}\right), H(t, 0) \equiv 0$, and $J$ is the standard symplectic structure on $\mathbb{R}^{2 N}$,

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & -I_{N} \\
I_{N} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $I_{N}$ being the $N \times N$ identity matrix. By a homoclinic orbit we mean a solution $z \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2 N}\right)$ of (HS) which satisfies $z(t) \not \equiv 0$ and the asymptotic condition $z(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.

Establishing the existence of homoclinic orbits for systems like (HS) is a classical problem. Up to 1990, apart from a few isolated results, the only method for dealing with such a problem was the small-perturbation technique of Melnikov. In very recent years this kind of problem has been deeply investigated through variational methods pioneered by Rabinowitz, Coti-Zelati, Ekeland, Séré, Hofer,

[^0]Wysocki and others, see [2,4-6,8,11-12,14-18]. These papers considered Hamiltonians for the first-order systems (HS) of the form

$$
H(t, z)=\frac{1}{2} A z \cdot z+R(t, z)
$$

where $A$ is a $2 N \times 2 N$ symmetric and constant matrix such that each eigenvalue of JA has a nonzero real part, and $R(t, z)$ is periodic in $t$ and globally superquadratic in $z$. They showed that (HS) has at least one homoclinic orbit. The existence of infinitely many homoclinic orbits of (HS) was also established in $[16,17]$ if, in addition, $R(t, z)$ is convex in $z$.

Recall that, for the particular case of second order systems of the type

$$
-\ddot{q}=-L(t) q+W_{q}(t, q)
$$

where $L \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N^{2}}\right)$ is a symmetric matrix-valued function, the works [15] (among other results) and $[6,12]$ obtained some existence results for homoclinic orbits without periodicity assumptions on the Hamiltonian

$$
H(t, p, q)=\frac{1}{2}|p|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} L(t) q \cdot q+W(t, q) \quad(p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2 N}
$$

providing instead that the smallest eigenvalue of $L(t)$ grows without bound as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$, and $W(t, q)$ satisfies some growth assumptions.

Motivated by the works of $[6,12,15]$ Ding and Li studied in [9] the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t, z)=-\frac{1}{2} M(t) z \cdot z+R(t, z) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
M(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & L(t) \\
L(t) & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $L$ being an $N \times N$ symmetric matrix-valued function. They proved that (HS) has at least one homoclinic orbit under the assumptions:
$\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)$ The smallest eigenvalue of $L(t)$ approaches $\infty$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.,

$$
l(t) \equiv \inf _{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|\xi|=1} L(t) \xi \cdot \xi \rightarrow \infty \text { as }|t| \rightarrow \infty
$$

$\left(\mathrm{L}_{2}\right) L \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{N^{2}}\right)$ and there exists $T_{0}>0$ such that $2 L(t) \pm \frac{d}{d t} L(t)$ are nonnegative definite for all $|t| \geq T_{0}$;
$\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right) R \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and there exists $\mu>2$ such that

$$
0<\mu R(t, z) \leq R_{z}(t, z) \cdot z \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \text { and } z \neq 0
$$

$\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right) 0<\underline{b}=\inf _{t \in \mathbb{R},|z|=1} R(t, z) ;$
$\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}\right)\left|R_{z}(t, z)\right|=o(|z|)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $t$;
$\left(\mathrm{R}_{4}\right)$ there exist $0 \leq a_{1}(t) \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \gamma>1$ and $a_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\left|R_{z}(t, z)\right|^{\gamma} \leq a_{1}(t)+a_{2} R_{z}(t, z) \cdot z \quad \forall(t, z)
$$

In [7], Ding showed that (HS) possesses infinitely many homoclinic orbits if, in addition, $H(t, z)$ is even in $z$. The purpose of this paper is to show the same
conclusion under a general symmetry condition. Our arguments remain simple even under this general symmetry condition.

To state our result, we recall some standard notations concerning group actions of compact subgroups $G$ of the orthogonal group $\mathcal{O}(2 N)$. We let $V$ denote the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2 N}$ considered as a $G$-space. Hence $G$ acts diagonally on $V^{k}=\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 N}\right)^{k}$, i.e., $g\left(v^{1}, \cdots, v^{k}\right)=\left(g v^{1}, \cdots, g v^{k}\right)$ for $g \in G$ and $v^{i} \in V$ $(k \in \mathbb{N}, i=1,2, \cdots, k)$. If $G$ acts on two subspaces $X$ and $Y$, then a $G$ map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map which commutes with the action, i.e., $f(g x)=g f(x)$ for any $g \in G$ and $x \in X$. In the special case where the action on $Y$ is trivial ( $g y=y$ for all $g \in G$ and $y \in Y$ ) a $G$-map is also called invariant. $A$ subset $A$ of $V^{k}$ is said to be invariant if $g x \in A$ for every $g \in G$ and $x \in A$. We say that $G$ acts admissibly on $V$ if every $G$-map $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow V^{k-1}, \mathcal{O} \subseteq V^{k}$ an open bounded invariant neighborhood of 0 in $V^{k}$, has a zero on $\partial \mathcal{O}$.

Now we can state the symmetry condition.
(S) There exists a compact subgroup $G$ of $\mathcal{O}(2 N)$ acting admissibly on $V$ such that $g^{t} J g=J$ for every $g \in G$ and $H(t, z)$ is invariant with respect to the action, i.e., $H(t, g z)=H(t, z)$ for all $g \in G$ and $(t, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2 N}$.

Our result reads as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $H$ be of the form (1.1) with $L$ satisfying $\left(L_{1}\right)-\left(L_{2}\right)$ and $R$ satisfying $\left(R_{1}\right)-\left(R_{4}\right)$. Suppose, in addition, $H$ satisfies $(\mathrm{S})$. Then (HS) possesses infinitely many homoclinic orbits $\left\{z_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[-\frac{1}{2} J \dot{z}_{k} \cdot z_{k}-H\left(t, z_{k}\right)\right] d t \rightarrow \infty \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

The Borsuk-Ulam theorem states that $V=\mathbb{R}^{2 N}$ with the antipodal action of $G=\left\{I_{2 N},-I_{2 N}\right\}$ is admissible. So our result generalizes the result in [7].

A simple example of a matrix-valued function satisfying $\left(L_{1}\right)-\left(L_{2}\right)$ is $L(t)=$ $|t|^{\theta} I_{N}$ with $\theta>1$, which arises in the study of generalized harmonic oscillator problems. Consider the functions of the form $R(t, z)=b(t) W(z)$, where $b(t) \in$ $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, there exist positive constants $\underline{b} \leq \bar{b}$ such that $\underline{b} \leq b(t) \leq \bar{b}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and for some integer $m>0, W(z)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i}|z|^{\mu i}$ with $c_{i}>0(1 \leq i \leq m)$ and $1<\mu_{1} \leq \mu_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \mu_{m}$. If $\mu>2$, then $R(t, z)$ satisfies $\left(R_{1}\right)-\left(R_{4}\right)$.

The preliminary results are in Sec.2, and in Sec. 3 is the proof of Theorem 1.

## 2 Preliminaries

An abstract critical point theorem will be used for proving Theorem 1. This abstract theorem is introduced and proved in [3]. So we shall describe it briefly. For details see [3].

Let $E$ be a Hilbert space with an orthogonal action of a compact Lie group $G$. We are concerned with critical points of an invariant functional $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$. We need the following assumptions:
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ There exists an admissible representation $V$ of $G$ such that $E=\oplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} E^{j}$ is a $G$-Hilbert space with $E^{j} \cong V$ as a representation of $G$ for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ (note that $\mathbb{Z}$ can be replaced by $\mathbb{Z}^{*}=\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, depending on situations).
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ There exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $k \geq 1$

$$
\inf _{R>0} \sup _{u \in E_{k},\|u\| \geq R} I(u)=\lim _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \sup _{u \in E_{k},\|u\| \geq R} I(u)<a
$$

where $E_{k}=\oplus_{j \leq k} E^{j}$.
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right) b_{k}=\sup _{r>0} \inf _{u \in E_{k-1}^{\perp},\|u\|=r} I(u) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right) d_{k}=\sup _{u \in E_{k}} I(u)<\infty$.
$\left(\mathrm{A}_{5}\right)$ Every sequence $u_{n} \in F_{n}=E_{-n-1}^{\perp}=\oplus_{j \geq-n} E^{j}$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \geq a$ is bounded and $\left(\left.I\right|_{F_{n}}\right)^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, contains a subsequence which converges in $E$ to a critical point of $I$, which is the so-called (PS)* condition. Now we can state the abstract theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let $E$ be a $G$-Hilbert space and $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$ be a $G$-invariant functional satisfying $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{5}\right)$. Then I has an unbounded sequence of critical values. In fact, for each $k \geq 1$ with $b_{k}>a$ there exists a critical value $c_{k} \in$ $\left[b_{k}, d_{k}\right]$.

Remark 2.2: In [7], an abstract critical point proposition for even functionals is posed to prove its main result. The proposition requires $I$ to satisfy both (PS)* and (PS)** conditions.

Remark 2.3: The above conditions $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ show that the behavior of $I$ is quite interesting. Intuitively, $I$ behaves like fountain (see [3]).

Next we consider the symmetric matrix-valued functions $M \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2 N \times 2 N}\right)$ of the form

$$
M(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & L(t) \\
L(t) & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Suppose that $L$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{L}_{2}\right)$. Let $A$ be the selfadjoint operator $-J \frac{d}{d t}+$ $M$ with the domain $D(A) \subseteq L^{2} \equiv L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2 N}\right)$, defined as a sum of quadratic forms. Let $\{E(\lambda) \mid-\infty<\lambda<+\infty\}$ be the resolution of $A$, and $U=I-E(0)-$ $E(-0)$. Then $U$ commutes with $A,|A|$ and $|A|^{1 / 2}$, and $A=|A| U$ is the polar decomposition of $A$ (see [10]). $D(A)=D(|A|)=D(I+|A|)$ is a Hilbert space equipped with the norm

$$
\|z\|_{1}=\|(I+|A|) z\|_{L^{2}} \text { for all } z \in D(A)
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}}$ is the norm of $L^{2}$. It is not hard to check that $D(A)$ is continuously embedded in $W^{1,2} \equiv W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2 N}\right)$ (see [9]). Moreover we have

Lemma 2.4: Suppose $L$ satisfies $\left(L_{1}\right)$ and $\left(L_{2}\right)$. Then $D(A)$ is compactly embedded in $L^{2}$.

For the proof of the above lemma, see [9, Lemma 2.1].

Remark 2.5: From Lemma 2.4, it is clear that $(I+|A|)^{-1}: L^{2} \rightarrow L^{2}$ is a compact linear operator. Therefore a standard argument shows that $\sigma(A)$, the spectrum of $A$, consists of eigenvalues numbered by (counted in their multiplicities):

$$
\cdots \leq \lambda_{-2} \leq \lambda_{-1} \leq 0<\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \cdots
$$

with $\lambda_{ \pm k} \rightarrow \pm \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and a corresponding system of eigenfunctions $\left\{e_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}}$ of $A$ forms an orthonormal basis in $L^{2}$ (for the situation here, we use $\mathbb{Z}^{*}$, instead of $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

Now we set $E=D\left(|A|^{1 / 2}\right)=D\left((I+|A|)^{1 / 2}\right) . E$ is a Hilbert space under the inner product

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)_{0}=\left(|A|^{1 / 2} z_{1},|A|^{1 / 2} z_{2}\right)_{L^{2}}+\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)_{L^{2}}
$$

and norm

$$
\|z\|_{0}=(z, z)_{0}^{1 / 2}=\left\|(I+|A|)^{1 / 2} z\right\|_{L^{2}}
$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^{2}}$ denotes the $L^{2}$ inner product.
Let $E^{0}=\operatorname{ker} A\left(\right.$ note $\operatorname{dim} E^{0}<\infty$, by Lemma 2.4), $E^{+}=\mathrm{Cl}_{E}$ (span $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ ) and $E^{-}=\left(E^{0} \oplus E^{+}\right)^{\perp_{E}}$, where $\mathrm{Cl}_{E} S$ denotes the closure of $S$ in $E$ and $S^{\perp_{E}}$ denotes the orthogonal complementary subspace of $S$ in $E$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E^{-} \oplus E^{0} \oplus E^{+} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, by Lemma 2.4, 0 is at most an isolated eigenvalue of $A$, for later convenience we introduce on $E$ the inner product

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(|A|^{1 / 2} z_{1},|A|^{1 / 2} z_{2}\right)_{L^{2}}+\left(z_{1}^{0}, z_{2}^{0}\right)_{L^{2}}
$$

for all $z_{i}=z_{i}^{-}+z_{i}^{0}+z_{i}^{+} \in E^{-} \oplus E^{0} \oplus E^{+}(i=1,2)$, and the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|z\|=(z, z)^{1 / 2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in E$. Clearly, $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{0}$. Moreover, $E$ is continuously embedded in $H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2 N}\right)$, the Sobolev space of fractional order (see [9]).

Lemma 2.6: Suppose $L$ satisfies $\left(L_{1}\right)$ and $\left(L_{2}\right)$. Then $E$ is compactly embedded in $L^{p}$ for all $p \in[2, \infty)$.

For the proof of the above lemma, see [9, Lemma 2.2].
Finally we introduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(z, x)=\left(|A|^{1 / 2} U z,|A|^{1 / 2} x\right)_{L^{2}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z, x \in E$. The form $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the quadratic form associated with $A$. Clearly, for $z \in D(A)$ and $x \in E$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(z, x)=(A z, x)_{L^{2}}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}(-J \dot{z}+M(t) z) \cdot x \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $E^{-}, E^{0}$ and $E^{+}$are orthogonal to each other with respect to $a(\cdot, \cdot)$, and furthermore

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
a(z, x)=\left(\left(P^{+}-P^{-}\right) z, x\right) & \text { for } z, x \in E \\
a(z, z)=\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2} & \text { for } z \in E \tag{1.5}
\end{array}
$$

where $P^{ \pm}: E \rightarrow E^{ \pm}$are the orthogonal projectors and $z=z^{-}+z^{0}+z^{+} \in$ $E^{-} \oplus E^{0} \oplus E^{+}$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Throughout this section, let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be satisfied. Let $E=D\left(|A|^{1 / 2}\right)$ with norm (2.2). By ( $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ ) and $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t, z) \geq \underline{b}|z|^{\mu} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { and } \quad|z| \geq 1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also by $\left(\mathrm{R}_{4}\right)$ and (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{z}(t, z)\right| \leq C\left(1+|z|^{\gamma^{\prime}-1}\right) \quad \forall(t, z) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma^{\prime}=\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}$, which, together with $\left(\mathrm{R}_{3}\right)$, yields that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is $C_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{z}(t, z)\right| \leq \varepsilon|z|+C_{\varepsilon}|z|^{\gamma^{\prime}-1} \forall(t, z) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|R(t, z)| \leq \varepsilon|z|^{2}+C_{\varepsilon}|z|^{\gamma^{\prime}} \forall(t, z) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subsequently, $C$ and $C_{i}$ stand for generic positive constants, not depending on $t$ and $z$.
Note that (3.1) and (3.4) imply $\gamma^{\prime} \geq \mu>2$.
Let

$$
\varphi(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} R(t, z) \quad \forall z \in E
$$

Equations (3.1)-(3.4) imply that $\varphi$ is well-defined, $\varphi \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(z) x=\int_{\mathbb{R}} R_{z}(t, z) x \quad \forall x, z \in E \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 2.6. In addition, $\varphi^{\prime}$ is a compact map. To see this, let $z_{n} \rightarrow z$ weakly in $E$. By Lemma 2.6 we can assume that $z_{n} \rightarrow z$ strongly in $L^{p}$ for $p \in[2, \infty)$. By (3.5)

$$
\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|=\sup _{\|x\|=1}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(R_{z}\left(t, z_{n}\right)-R_{z}(t, z)\right) x\right|
$$

By (3.3) and the Hölder inequality, for any $R>0$

$$
\left|\int_{|t| \geq R}\left(R_{z}\left(t, z_{n}\right)-R_{z}(t, z)\right) x\right|
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq C \int_{|t| \geq R}\left(\left|z_{n}\right|+|z|+\left|z_{n}\right|^{\gamma^{\prime}-1}+|z|^{\gamma^{\prime}-1}\right)|x|  \tag{1.6}\\
& \leq C\left[\|x\|_{L^{2}}\left(\int_{|t| \geq R}\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}+|z|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+\|x\|_{L^{\gamma^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{|t| \geq R}\left|z_{n}\right|^{\gamma^{\prime}}+|z|^{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)^{\left(\gamma^{\prime}-1\right) / \gamma^{\prime}}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

For $\varepsilon>0$, by (3.6) we can take $R_{0}$ so large that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{|t| \geq R_{0}}\left(R_{z}\left(t, z_{n}\right)-R_{z}(t, z)\right) x\right|<\varepsilon / 2 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\|x\|=1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, it is well-known (see [13]) that since $z_{n} \rightarrow z$ strongly in $L^{2}$,

$$
\left\|R_{z}\left(\cdot, z_{n}\right)-R_{z}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{R_{0}}\right)} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $B_{R_{0}}=\left(-R_{0}, R_{0}\right)$. Therefore, there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{|t| \leq R_{0}}\left(R_{z}\left(t, z_{n}\right)-R_{z}(t, z)\right) x\right|<\varepsilon / 2 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\|x\|=1$ and $n \geq n_{0}$. Combining (3.7) and (3.8) yields

$$
\left\|\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)-\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right\|<\varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq n_{0}
$$

Hence $\varphi^{\prime}$ is compact.
Let $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the quadratic form given by (2.3), and define

$$
I(z)=\frac{1}{2} a(z, z)-\varphi(z) \quad \forall z \in E .
$$

By (2.5)

$$
I(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}\right)-\varphi(z) \quad \forall z \in E
$$

for all $z=z^{-}+z^{0}+z^{+} \in E^{-} \oplus E^{0} \oplus E^{+}$. Then $I \in C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$. Note that by (2.4) a standard argument can show that the nontrivial critical points of $I$ on $E$ are homoclinic orbits of (HS).

Let $\hat{E}_{1}=E^{-} \oplus E^{0}$ and $\hat{E}_{2}=E^{+}$with $\left\{e_{-n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ respectively, where $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}}$ is the system of eigenfunctions of $A$ (see Remark 2.5). Then

$$
E=\hat{E}_{1} \oplus \hat{E}_{2}=\oplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}} E^{j}
$$

where $E^{1}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{2 N}\right\}, E^{2}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{2 N+1}, \cdots, e_{4 N}\right\}, \cdots ;$
$E^{-1}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{-1}, e_{-2}, \cdots, e_{-2 N}\right\}, E^{-2}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{-2 N-1}, \cdots, e_{-4 N}\right\}, \cdots$. Set also $E_{n}=\oplus_{j \leq n} E^{j}$ and $F_{n}=E_{-n-1}^{\perp}=\oplus_{j \geq-n} E^{j}$ for $j, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}$. It remains to check the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. The action of $G$ on $E$ is simply given by $(g z)(t)=g z(t)$. Since $g$ commutes with $J$ and $H(t, z)$ is invariant with respect to the action, it is clear that $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ is satisfied. Assumption $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ follows from

Lemma 3.1. For each $k \geq 1$ there exists $R_{k}>0$ such that $I(z)<0$ for all $z \in E_{k}$ with $\|z\| \geq R_{k}$.

Proof. By (3.4), ( $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ ) and the fact that $|z|^{\mu} \leq|z|^{2}$ for $|z| \leq 1$, we have for any $\varepsilon$ with $0<\varepsilon \leq \underline{b}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t, z) \geq \varepsilon\left(|z|^{\mu}-|z|^{2}\right) \quad \forall(t, z) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $d>0$ be such that $\|z\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq d\|z\|^{2}$ for all $z \in E$ (by Lemma 2.6) and take $\varepsilon=\min \left\{\frac{1}{4 d}, \underline{b}\right\}$. Then by (3.9) for $z=z^{-}+z^{0}+z^{+} \in E_{k}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I(z) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}} R(t, z) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}+\varepsilon\|z\|_{L^{2}}^{2}-\varepsilon\|z\|_{L^{\mu}}^{\mu}  \tag{1.10}\\
& \leq\left\|z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left\|z^{0}\right\|^{2}-\varepsilon\|z\|_{L^{\mu}}^{\mu}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim}\left[E^{0} \oplus\left(\oplus_{0<j \leq k} E^{j}\right)\right]<\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & =\left(z_{0}+z^{+}, z\right)_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|_{L^{\mu^{\prime}}}\|z\|_{L^{\mu}} \\
& \leq C(k)\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|z\|_{L^{\mu}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so $\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\| \leq C^{\prime}(k)\|z\|_{L^{\mu}}$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\prime \prime}(k)\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|^{\mu} \leq\|z\|_{L^{\mu}}^{\mu} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(k), C^{\prime}(k)$ and $C^{\prime \prime}(k)>0$ depend on $k$ but not on $z \in E_{k}$. Equations (3.10) and (3.11) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(z) \leq\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left\|z^{-}\right\|^{2}-\varepsilon C^{\prime \prime}(k)\left\|z^{0}+z^{+}\right\|^{\mu} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in E_{k}$. Equation (3.12) implies that there is $R_{k}>0$ such that

$$
I(z)<0 \quad \forall z \in E_{k} \text { with }\|z\| \geq R_{k}
$$

Note that the above estimate (3.12) also gives $\sup _{z \in E_{k}} I(z)<\infty$, that is, $\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$ holds. Next, $\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ is a consequence of

Lemma 3.2. There are $r_{k}>0, a_{k}>0(k \geq 1)$ with $a_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
I(z) \geq a_{k} \quad \forall z \in E_{k-1}^{\perp} \text { with }\|z\|=r_{k}
$$

Proof. Define

$$
\eta_{k}=\sup _{z \in E_{k}^{\perp} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|z\|_{L^{\gamma^{\prime}}}}{\|z\|}
$$

Clearly $\eta_{k} \geq \eta_{k+1}>0$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad k \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $\eta_{k} \rightarrow \eta>0$. Then there is a sequence $z_{k} \in E_{k}^{\perp}$ with $\left\|z_{k}\right\|=1$ and $\left\|z_{k}\right\|_{L^{\gamma^{1}}} \geq \frac{\eta}{2}$. since $\left(z_{k}, e_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for each $e_{n}\left(n \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}\right), z_{k} \rightarrow 0$ weakly in $E$ and by Lemma $2.6,\left\|z_{k}\right\|_{L^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction. The claim (3.13) is proved.

By (3.4) with $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{4 d}$ ( $d$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.1) and $C=C_{\varepsilon}$ we have, for $z \in E_{k-1}^{\perp}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(z) & =\frac{1}{2}\|z\|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}} R(t, z) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4}\|z\|^{2}-C\|z\|_{L^{\gamma^{\prime}}}^{\gamma^{\prime}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4}\|z\|^{2}-C \eta_{k-1}^{\gamma^{\prime}}\|z\|^{\gamma^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $r_{k}=\left(2 \gamma^{\prime} C \eta_{k-1}^{\gamma^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{-1}{\gamma^{\prime}-2}}$ and $a_{k}=\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{2 \gamma^{\prime}}\right) r_{k}^{2} \quad$ one obtains

$$
I(z) \geq a_{k} \quad \forall z \in E_{k-1}^{\perp} \text { with }\|z\|=r_{k}
$$

Since $\gamma^{\prime}>2$, equation (3.13) shows that $a_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 3.3 $I$ satisfies $\left(A_{5}\right)$.

Proof. Let $I_{n}=\left.I\right|_{F_{n}}$. Suppose $z_{n} \in F_{n}$ such that $0 \leq I\left(z_{n}\right) \leq C$ and $\varepsilon_{n}=\left\|I_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$. By definition and $\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
I\left(z_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2} I_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right) z_{n} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{1}{2} R_{z}\left(t, z_{n}\right) z_{n}-R\left(t, z_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_{z}\left(t, z_{n}\right) z_{n} \\
& \geq\left(\frac{\mu}{2}-1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} R\left(t, z_{n}\right) \tag{1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (3.14) and hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{R}_{4}\right)$ give $\left\|R_{z}\left(t, z_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{\gamma}}^{\gamma} \leq C\left(1+\left\|z_{n}\right\|\right)$, and hence by Lemma 2.6,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}^{+}\right\|^{2} & =I^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right) z_{n}^{+}+\int_{\mathbb{R}} R_{z}\left(t, z_{n}\right) z_{n}^{+} \\
& \leq C\left\|z_{n}^{+}\right\|\left(1+\left\|R_{z}\left(t, z_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{\gamma}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}^{+}\right\| \leq C\left(1+\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{1 / \gamma}\right) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}^{-}\right\| \leq C\left(1+\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{1 / \gamma}\right) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $E^{0}=\{0\}$, (3.15) and (3.16) imply $\left\|z_{n}\right\| \leq$ Const $\forall n$. Suppose $E^{0} \neq\{0\}$. For $z \in E$, let

$$
z^{1}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
z(t) & \text { if } & |z(t)|<1, \\
0 & \text { if } & |z(t)| \geq 1,
\end{array} \quad z^{2}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & |z(t)|<1 \\
z(t) & \text { if } & |z(t)| \geq 1
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Since by Lemma 2.6

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|z_{n}^{1}\right|^{\mu} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|z_{n}^{1}\right|^{2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|z_{n}\right|^{2} \leq C\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{2}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}^{1}\right\|_{L^{\mu}} \leq C\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{2 / \mu} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.1) and (3.14),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}^{2}\right\|_{L^{\mu}} \leq C\left(1+\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{1 / \mu}\right) \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $L^{2}$ orthogonality and Hölder's inequality with $\mu^{\prime}=\frac{\mu}{\mu-1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}^{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} & =\left(z_{n}^{0}, z_{n}\right)_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq\left\|z_{n}^{0}\right\|_{L^{\mu^{\prime}}}\left(\left\|z_{n}^{1}\right\|_{L^{\mu}}+\left\|z_{n}^{2}\right\|_{L^{\mu}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence since $\operatorname{dim} E^{0}<\infty$ and (3.17)-(3.18) hold, one sees

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}^{0}\right\|_{L^{\mu}} \leq C\left(\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{2 / \mu}+\left\|z_{n}\right\|^{1 / \mu}\right) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combination of (3.15)-(3.16) and (3.19) shows that again $\left\|z_{n}\right\| \leq$ Const. Finally since $\varphi^{\prime}$ is compact, a standard argument shows that $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

Proof of Theorem 1. What we have done so far shows that $I$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. Hence $I$ has a positive critical value sequence $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$ with $c_{k} \rightarrow \infty$. Let $z_{k}$ be the critical point of $I$ such that $I\left(z_{k}\right)=c_{k}$. Then $z_{k}$ is a homoclinic orbit of (HS) and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(-\frac{1}{2} J \dot{z}_{k} \cdot z_{k}-H\left(t, z_{k}\right)\right) d t=I\left(z_{k}\right)=c_{k} \rightarrow \infty
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
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